



# **Muni Metro Capacity Study**

Community Working Group Meeting #2

November 16



- 1. Introductions/ice-breaker
- 2. Re-cap of meeting roadmap/Study purpose
- 3. Existing and future capacity forecasts
- 4. Initial capacity improvement ideas and review



# Introductions and ice breaker

### For everyone

- What's your name?
- Share what plans you have for the upcoming holiday season.

### If you missed the first meeting, please also share:

- Where do you live/work?
- What's your connection to Muni Metro? (e.g. live/work near one of the lines, regular rider, etc.)
- What perspectives do you bring? Community or group you represent?



# **Meeting Roadmap**

Meeting #1 (November 2): Introduction

**\*Today\* Meeting #2** (Thursday, November 16): Project need and potential solutions to be studied

**Potential subsequent meeting topics** (approximately quarterly):

- Vision development for future rail system
- Benefits and tradeoffs of potential capacity expansion strategies
- Range of potential packages of systemwide improvements
- Funding and implementation timeline, phasing of improvements
- Limited discussion of specific improvements on key surface lines



## **Role of Muni Metro Capacity Study**

Answer the following questions:

- 1. How much more capacity is needed?
  - When?
  - Where?
- 2. How much more capacity do planned strategies "buy" us?
- 3. What other strategies should be added to our plans to accommodate future needs?
- 4. What is the most strategic way to fund these improvements?



### Playing the long game for major funding: FTA's Core Capacity Grant Program

- Provides federal funding to expand the capacity of existing transit systems that are over capacity
- Application process can take 4-6 years to prepare/apply/be awarded
- Up to \$1 Billion grants are distributed (half project cost)
- Ideal for systems like Muni Metro, which need to simultaneously address capacity and aging infrastructure





# Muni Metro system demand forecasting approach

- Travel model outputs from San Francisco's activity-based travel model, <u>SF-CHAMP</u>
- Uses observed travel patterns, detailed representations of San Francisco's transportation system, population and employment data, transit boardings, roadway volumes, and number of vehicles available to predict future travel
- Each Muni Metro system segment's future capacity represented by comparing:
  - **Demand**: SF-CHAMP model outputs for 3-hour morning rush hour
  - Capacity: 139 people per car (-3.7 ft^2/standing passenger) \* trains per hour \* length of train (e.g. 1 vs. 2-car) 3 hour rush hour



# **Existing Muni Metro system**





### **Today's system: generally, not overcapacity** Fullest segments: 1) N-Judah to 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue 2) Twin Peaks Tunnel





# By 2050, segments forecast overcapacity

1) Market Street Subway; 2) Twin Peaks Tunnel; 3) N-Judah to 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue



\*includes capacity benefits anticipated from the Train Control Upgrade Project



# Capacity strategy development and screening approach





## **1. Long list of capacity-improving ideas**

Ideas generated via past study review including:

- SFMTA Rail Capacity Strategy
- MTC Core Capacity Planning Study
- ConnectSF Transit
   Strategy
- Past 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue/M-Ocean View studies

#### **Potential Capacity-Improving Strategies**

- 1. 3-car N Judah trains
- 2. 3- or 4-car trains between SF State and downtown
- 3. Different vehicles for better performance on surface-only lines
- 4. Low-floor trains for all lines
- 5. Transit-only/-preferential streets
- 6. Signal priority/pre-emption
- 7. New turnback track at Harrison Street
- 8. Service restructuring
- 9. Grade separation at key locations
- 10. Coupling trains at portals

(More information on each strategy at end of slide deck)

# 2. Screen for most promising to improve capacity

#### **Greatest potential**

- Train Control Upgrade Project (TCUP), assumed in baseline
- 3-cars to La Playa/Judah
- 3- or 4-cars between Downtown and SF State
- Service restructuring

#### Further Study

- Different vehicles for surface-only lines
- Low-floor trains for all lines
- New turnback track at Harrison Street
- Grade separation at key locations

#### Low potential

• Coupling trains at portals

#### Complementary

- Transitonly/preferential streets
- Transit signal priority/preemption

# 2. Screen for most promising to improve capacity

Greatest potential	Further Study	Low potential	Complementary
<ul> <li>Train Control Upgrade Project (TCUP), assumed in baseline</li> <li>3-cars to La Playa/Judah</li> <li>3- or 4-cars between Downtown and SF State</li> <li>Service restructuring</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Different vehicles for surface-only lines</li> <li>Low-floor trains for all lines</li> <li>New turnback track at Harrison Street</li> <li>Grade separation at key locations</li> </ul>	• Coupling trains at portals	<ul> <li>Transit- only/preferential streets</li> <li>Transit signal priority/pre- emption</li> </ul>

Strategy's preliminary capacity benefits analysis results presented today.



## **3- and 4-car trains**

What is it? Operate 3-car train to Judah/La Playa and 3- or 4-car train between Downtown and SF State.

**Benefit:** Could provide 50-100% more capacity on these lines.

**Tradeoff:** May require lengthening station platforms, consolidating stops, and/or closing intersections.

Reminder: for consideration to solve future 20+ year capacity needs. This Study will not be a decision-making phase for infrastructure plans to support longer trains, which would require extensive future outreach and additional analysis.



## **Route restructuring**

What is it? Remove one or more 1- to 2-car Muni Metro rail lines from the subway to allow their scheduled slots in the subway to be used by 3- to 4car trains

**Benefit:** Could alleviate crowding by providing 2-3 times as much capacity per train slot

**Tradeoff:** Some riders would need to transfer (more travel time, need to physically change locations, etc.)

Reminder: for consideration to solve future 20+ year capacity needs. This Study will not be a decision-making phase for any service restructuring ideas, which would require extensive future outreach and additional analysis.



### **2050 Business as Usual**





# 2050 with 3-cars to Judah/La Playa and between Downtown and SF State





# 2050 with 3-cars to Judah/La Playa and between Downtown and SF State and one surface-only line





# 2050 with 3-cars to Judah/La Playa and between Downtown and SF State and multiple surface-only lines





# **Evaluation framework**

#### Key funding objectives

- Capacity: Expand capacity enough to meet demand where needed
- State of Good Repair: Effectively repair or replace aging infrastructure

#### Additional important goals

- **Cost effectiveness** (are there other ways to achieve the same results for less money?)
- Improve transit speed and reliability (necessary for capacity increases to be effective)
- **Trade-offs** How many tradeoffs (e.g. construction disruption or other impacts on surrounding neighborhoods, how well solutions respond to community member and rider concerns
- Accessibility: Improve Muni Metro system accessibility
- Equity: Improve Muni Metro equity

# Capacity strategy development and screening approach





# **Discussion questions**

- 1. What questions or comments do you have about the existing and future capacity forecasts and initial screening of strategies?
- 2. What comments or concerns do you have on the tradeoffs expressed for the strategies of focus tonight (3-car trains, route restructuring)?
- 3. What feedback do you have on the draft evaluation framework?

