

SFMTA Municipal Transportation Agency

Parking Permit Program Evaluation and Reform Project

Citizens' Advisory Council November 03, 2016

Existing permit areas



- ✤ 29 permit areas
- 95,000 permits issued annually
- 153,000 eligible households (44% of S.F. households)
- 78,000 permitted parking spaces
 (28% of on-street parking)
- Eligibility covers 25%
 of City's geography

Current RPP program

- 1. Focused on discouraging parking by commuters from outside a neighborhood
- 2. Neighbor- and neighborhood-driven, governed by the petition process

Key goals of reform project

- 1. Clarifying area formations, extensions, boundaries, and regulations
- 2. Managing demand for curb space in mixed-use areas
- 3. Managing demand for curb space in highdensity areas
- 4. Supporting the Transit First Policy

Project timeline

Project components



Research of the program's existing conditions and best practices for residential parking management

Summary of existing data by permit area (Census, employment, transportation, land use, and other data)

Parking utilization study in 12 neighborhoods

Online household survey of a representative sample of all San Francisco residents

Project timeline

Phase 1 of public engagement – 4 open houses to solicit feedback on background research and issues

Phase 2 of public engagement – 11 workshops to solicit feedback on initial policy approaches

Phase 3 of public engagement – 2 focus groups, 1 open house, and an online survey vet final policy proposals

Presentation of policy proposals (with public hearings)

Public engagement



November 2015 CAC Recommendations

- Investigate making temporary parking passes easier to obtain
- Investigate limiting permits to one per licensed driver
- Investigate eliminating parking permits for buildings built with fewer parking spaces than residential units

November 2015 CAC Recommendations

 Investigate making temporary parking passes easier to obtain



- Investigate limiting permits to one per licensed driver
- Investigate eliminating parking permits for buildings built with fewer parking spaces than residential units

Clarifying areas – *Issue*

Area DD









0.02 sq. miles



Clarifying areas – *Issue*



Clarifying areas – *Issue*







Clarifying areas – *Policy options*

A. Improve area formation process

Current policy

- Resident petition required
 - 250 signatures
 - 1 mile of street frontage
- Occupancy surveys
- License plate surveys
- Community meeting
- Legislate changes

Proposed policy option

- Residents ask for a neighborhood parking assessment
- Conduct community
 workshops/surveys
- Address problems with corresponding solutions (permit parking just one of multiple tools)

Clarifying areas – *Policy options*

B. Pre-plan boundaries and regulations

Current policy

- Permit area boundaries and regulations established by petition, grow organically
- Boundaries irregular and vary in size
- Regulations vary within and between areas

Proposed policy option

 Pre-plan ultimate boundaries and regulations for legibility, management of local parking pressures, and efficient enforcement

Clarifying areas – Policy options

C. Subdivide areas and standardize regulations

Current policy

- Areas vary from 0.03 sq. miles to 1.3 sq. miles
- Regulations vary within and between areas

Proposed policy option

- Subdivide large areas to reflect actual neighborhood parking sheds
- Standardize regulations for legibility, management of parking pressures, and efficient enforcement

Mixed-use areas – *Issue*



Mixed-use areas – *Issue*



Mixed-use areas – Policy options

A. Neighborhood parking plans

Current policy

- Residents submit petitions to establish permit parking
- Staff verifies, conducts field work, and legislates area extensions

Proposed policy option

- Residents/businesses express desire for parking solutions
- Consideration of business and other curb management needs incorporated
- Staff works with neighborhoods to determine needs and develop comprehensive curb management plans

Mixed-use areas – *Policy options*

B. Paid + permit parking overlay

Current policy

 Visitors may park in permit areas up to the posted time limit

Proposed policy option

- Visitors may park in permit areas if they pay (permit holders park for free)
 - More flexibility
 - More efficient enforcement
 - Price high enough to retain availability for residents and other permit-holders
 - Legal questions
 - Issues for the unbanked

Mixed-use areas – Policy options

B. Paid + permit parking overlay





High-density areas - Issue

Permits issued as a percentage of parking supply



High-density areas - Issue







Route A-3 Telegraph Hill

Average Weekday Occupancy October 2015



High-density areas - Issue

Parking search times



In Areas A and C, 40% of people circle for over 15 minutes and park 4 or more blocks away from home

Source: RPP Evaluation Household Survey, Nov 2015

A. Cap the number of permits issued

Current policy

- 4 permits per household
- May petition for more
- No area-wide permit caps

Proposed policy options

- Area-wide caps
- 2 permits per household
- ✓ 1 permit per driver

B. Incentivize use of off-street parking

Current policy

- Access to off-street parking not considered
- Same cost for permit

Proposed policy option

 Charge more for permit if driver has access to off-street parking

C. Graduated pricing for permits

Current policy

- Permits up to 4 per household are each the same price
- Graduated pricing for permits in excess of 4 per household

Proposed policy option

 Graduated pricing for <u>all</u> permits

D. Exclude some new buildings

Current policy

• All buildings within permit areas eligible for permits

Proposed policy options

- New residential buildings in zoning districts with parking maximums not eligible for permits
 - Developers could elect to exclude new buildings from permit eligibility
 - Legal risks

Next Steps

- Present to PAG Nov. 18th
- Resolve legal questions
- Prepare detailed estimates of impacts
- Present to MTA Board in early 2017 with recommendations for policy proposals

sfmta.com/neighborhoodparking