

Policies for On-Street Parking Management

September | 2012 | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA



Why?

- Articulate and clarify past practice
- Transparency and consistency
- Ensure practice aligned with the SFMTA's overall mission
- Facilitate dialogue among stakeholders
- Guide for the public on how, when, and where parking management tools are implemented



What?

- Codify existing SFMTA practices
- Summarize <u>where</u> and <u>when</u> the SFMTA may use parking management tools on a blockface or frontage
- Summarize the principles that guide SFMTA parking management
- Serve as a guideline for future parking management decisions
- Provide framework for incremental policy improvements in the future

Process

- Public request for clarification
- GIS analysis
 - Zoning as guideline
 - Highlight patterns, exceptions, and gaps
- Submitted for external review
- Groundwork for review
 - Improvements in implementation of meter policy
 - Revision of RPP policy

Principles

- Limited right of way should be well used
- Parking availability is critical
- Encourage travel by sustainable modes of transportation
- Promote access to commercial areas
- Improve quality of life in residential areas
- Reduce emissions and pollutants
- Generate revenue for transportation needs
- Create a consistent, understandable, and transparent framework for parking management decision-making

Meter policy overview

• Areas appropriate for metering

- Commercial areas
- Public facilities, parks, recreational facilities, and open spaces
- Major transportation corridors
- High-density residential buildings

• Areas not appropriate for metering

- Primarily residential areas
- Low parking demand

• Other areas, including mixed use (considerations)

- Occupancy
- Zoning and land use
- Community outreach
- Trip generators, adjacency, continuity



RPP: existing practices

- Implemented (1976) to discourage commuters and visitors from parking long-term in residential areas
- Not intended to ensure adequate parking supply

• Current considerations

- Zoning and land use
- Non-resident parking burden
- Community input
- Contextual factors
- In the future, the SFMTA will review how RPP might be improved

Examples – Medium density residential





Examples – High density residential, mixed use, PDR



Examples – Public facilities, parks and open space



Next steps

- In place as guideline for staff in making parking management decisions
- SFMTA will review how RPP might be improved
- Facilitates inter-departmental dialogue and public outreach process
- Goal is less politicized, more fact-based parking management decisions