Octavia Boulevard Enhancement Project - May 2016 Open Houses (x2) Comment Summary

	Comments				·		x2) Co		g" (Type	•	tion)						
						<i>•</i>											/ /
			in the second se	AD A	Hot	ADT DO TO	se l	CH-00	s /			See.			e e	Jo Bo	
No.	Individual Comment	Conservation of the second	est of the second	Contraction of the state of the	Contraction of the second	Peoe C. Allowed	Ste Oalt	Popole Po	Bickork	A. S.	Contro Street	Alle Clean	S. Holder	les tiend	roound St.	200 Million	/
	Why change? You need this lane for cars turning right from Octavia (to Gough); there will be more traffic on oak with the forced right (on Page at Octavia, another proposal); Please don't change Oak Street, we have lived here for 34 years and this is a horrible idea! It's already difficult to get out of our 10 car garage on Oak Street with the		x			x	x							x		x	
1	current traffic (already heavy). Oak at Gough has a lot of trucks unloading for Victoria Liquors, Rich Table, Otora Sushi, Century 21 Cafe																
2	(Angled) parking (on Oak) will not provide more parking (there are driveways); Fell St (angled) parking okay as there are no driveways I am writing you to ask that no changes be made to Oak Street parking between Octavia and Gough Streets. I am a						×									x	
	I am winning you to ask that ho changes be indeed to day size parking between Octavia and cough stretes. I am a tenant on this section of Oak Street. Regularly, both cars and trucks double park along this section of Oak Street, much of it legitimate business usage. Currently this has no impact on traffic flow here. With the proposed changes, any double parking would be disastrous as it would narrow this section of Oak to one lane! Back up and congestion																
	will become a daily issue for us!! We don't need or want that. Please leave well enough alone. Please throw away the "traffic flow models" and head those of us who are here daily. I'm a 30 year resident of SF. One thing I can say for certain: every time parking or traffic changes are made in SF, for whatever reason, they have yielded universally						×			×						x	
	bad outcomes! Please don't mess up my neighborhood! We have an old saying in the tradeswhen it ain't broken, don't fix it!! Oak Street ain't broken! Thanks for listening. Please head the words of those of us who are here every day.																
3	I live on Oak between Octavia and Gough and support the proposed changes that include two way streets, reducing the number of lanes and back angle parking. Anything that can be don't to calm the speeding traffic on our block						x			x							
4	would be great.																
5	No!! I do not support back angle parking on Oak Street! Why would you want to remove one lane of traffic from the only street that actually has a decent traffic flow coming west to east? Haight Street and Page Street are both traffic nightmares now causing constant problems in the neighborhood. Removing a lane of traffic from Oak Street will only increase problems with traffic. And for what? A few extra parking spaces? The neighborhood needs to deal with the current traffic issues - blocking of the box at Oak and Laguna all day long resulting in the sounds of car horrs beginning in the early morning is a prime example.						x			x							
	I see someone is campaigning to stop SFMTA from considering angled parking on Oak between Octavia and Gough. I live on the block in question and support SFMTA on this.																
	I share concerns about backup and additional rage and horns outside my home. However I also park here and have watched the number of neighborhood residents increase and the number of parking spaces decrease. I would like SFMTA to research the angled parking proposal.			Ì			×			x							
6	If you aren't planning the same for Fell street, I recommend that too. The northern side of the same block on Fell seems like a good candidate.			Ì													
Ĵ	Thanks to you and your team for talking with me at Friday's open house about the proposed Octavia-area changes. I'd like to follow up by pointing out several of my concerns.																
	 I urge you to NOT remove parking on east side of Octavia Boulevard between Haight and Fell streets. Your proposal, according to your map, would widen sidewalks in three half-block chunks (Parcels M, R, and T) and remove at least 15 parking spaces, and I understand there may be future plans to restrict parking on the other half- 			Ì													
	Territory at reast to parking spaces, and inderstand uner may be rudie plants to restrict parking of the outer nam- block parcels (N, S, and U). In addition, the proposed closing of Octavia street between Hayes and Linden streets would remove at least 6 more parking spaces. That means the removal of 21 parking spots on Octavia alone.																
	The plans to remove a traffic lane and create angled parking on Oak and Fell streets won't mitigate the loss of these parking spots sufficiently. The map says angled parking could add "up to 10 new parking spaces. Most of these new spaces would be on Fell, seeing as there are several driveways on Oak. However, the Fell Street parking is metered, so I assume that instead of "up to 10," there would actually be about 3 new spaces (on Oak) for residents.			Ì													
	metered, so I assume that instead of "up to 10," there would actually be about 3 new spaces (on Oak) for residents. These removals, plus the recent and proposed removals of many other spaces due to bulbouts, longer red zones at corners, new developments, etc., are too much. They don't benefit current residents, nor workers at local late-night				×		×									x	
	establishments coming from the East Bay who can't use early-closing BART. Keeping these parking spots on Octavia's local access street does not add to traffic congestion.			Ì													
	 I'm concerned the Oak Street "Road Diet Proposal" could create problems between Gough and Octavia. Currently, with three lanes, traffic flow in the middle and left lanes isn't affected by right-lane parallel parkers and 																
	right-turning autos waiting for pedestrians at Gough. I'm wondering if the traffic-lane removal and angled parking on Oak Street will create bottlenecks that could even extend into the boulevard's intersection. I also wonder if it will become more difficult for autos to back out of the several garages that are on the left side of Oak Street.																
7 8	Crosswalk which crosses Fell along the west side of Octavia takes too long. Very much in favor of any efforts to curb traffic and parking in order to make it safer for pedestrians and cyclists NO to Oak & Fell going to 2 lanes. They are arteries and need to continue to be used as alternative access routes to				x		x	x	x								
9	NO to car & rein guing to 2 ratins. They are a refer to and need to Continue to be used as an enhandwe access routes to highway and downtown. Traffic is already backed up on Oak to Divis daily and commuter shuttles will now need to use these streets! No net parking gain (Oak Street proposal). When Van Ness is changed there will be more traffic on Gough, causing						x			x							
10	a bigger back up on Oak Street turning onto Gough. Fell Street change needed; (diagram showing left turn lanes, one EB lane on Fell Gough to Frankling); as it is cars						×			x				×			
11 12	try to turn from both lanes Gough to Fell because there are 2 lanes on Fell; crossing guard on this corner agrees this change should be made. Traffic westbound is backed up to end of street to Franklin in the PM Not very supportive of closing lanes on Fell/Oak. NIMBY's eary on prevented turns from Octavia to Page, Haight, etc. Octavia needs traffic relief	x	x				x			×							
13 14	Don't reduce lanes or bulbout on Fell. Traffic is already too slow tunring onto Octavia. Angled parking stinks - trucks stick out, creating other hazards		x				x x			×						x	
15 16	No No No (to angled parking). Traffic is already too slow here. So much traffic would slow because of people backing up into spots (more so than currently). As a cyclist, prefer keeping these as vehilcle arteries. I am writing to voice my approval of back-in parking on Oak Street between Gough and Octavia Streets. The						×									x x	
	rain wining to tota my approvation backing pains of total values between Outogri and Octava studets. There is no early total starting of total values and any of the three open lanes to drive too fast creating noise pollution and air pollution that affects residents living on that thoroughfare. There is no need for three lanes when the eastbound Oak street ends aburptly at Franklin S with a		x				x			x						x	
17	one-way anyhow. Fell Street, which is surrounded by shops, is a much better thorough fare for people exiting the freeway and heading downtown. Oakstreet would best serve the community with restricted traffic flow and more parking as your proposal recommends.																
	I am living at XXX Oak Street between Gough and Octavia. I have seen some posters of people not happy with the change of the parking to back angle parking. I am writing to let you know that the proposed solutions has my full support! It would be great to reduce the traffic																
	Tain writing to let you know the proposed solutions has my fun support it would be great to reduce the traine on Oak Street, which is already too busy with the car traffic than it should be. What would be nice is if proposed solution also saved the historical curb of the old fire station, but I can totally understand if this is not feasible.		x				x			×						x	
18																	
	My name is and I am an 8 year resident of Hayes Valley.I am writing to say NO to the back angle parking on Oak Str. This street is a very busy street and back angle parking would stop the flow of the traffic. Also, both lanes are needed because this is such a heavily used street. As a resident of San Francisco and a person who frequently uses Oak Str, back angle parking would only serve to increase the back up of traffic.		x				x			x						x	
19 20	Lily Street could be both directions or 1 way going the other (West) direction (to keep cars that need to access oak from having to go up Page) Page Street cars afraid to turn (left) onto Octavia (no directional paint). 2 lanes westbound would eliminate					x				x		x					
21 22 23	Auge officer can's and to tarn (bit) offic occurs (b) and can be an exceeded by the second barry of the se	×				x x x			x x	x							
24	Oak was designed to carry traffic to a freeway, plus Octavia is not the same - Page St, etc. have to take some backup traffic onto Octavia In general bike facilities on Page aren't as necessary if pedestrian safety features are done well. Page would make a					x				×							
25 26	great "bike boulevard" with lots of traffic calming + greenway to slow vehicles Diverting westbound Page Street might conflict with school buses					×		×	×	×							
	Dear Supervisor Breed As you know tonight there is an important open house on Page Street and Western Addition traffic calming.																
	We are very enthusiastic about SFMTA ideas to divert private car traffic away from Page in order to better build-up cycling mode share and improve safety. When the freeway was removed it was never intended that Page and Haight would become freeway on-ramps. The city has been slow to respond to this unintended consequence. I urge you to be open and supportive to the traffic diversion pilot proposal for Page at Laguna and to also consider																
	that a system-wide approach might also include making sure traffic that is now on Page be steered back to Oak where it belongs, and not to Haight. I also hope you can consider the following:			Ì		x											
	Haight is the "transit" street and every effort should be made to implement Muni forward. That means keeping excessive private car traffic off of Haight and discouraging freeway-bound traffic. Page is the key east-west cycling street, and every effort should be made to keep private cars from using it as freeway access.			Ì													
	Oak/Fell are the default private car and private Google bus streets and every effort should be made to keep most private automobile traffic on the couplet, and to manage the corridor using metering techniques that make it flow more smoothly.					Ì											
27	and of course, all three must be made safer or pedestrians. thanks and hope to see you this evening.			Ì													
28	Parking protected bikeway towards Market; green-backed sharrows elsewhere Put some (guidelines) for turns onto Octavia from Page; signage and/or painted lines let south turning cars know that they have a dedicated lane to turn into		x			x x				x							
30 31	Parking management for school Additional bike route - "other Wiggle" - from PROXY to Grove to Buchanan and turn right onto Page and VOILA!					x x			×		x					x	
32 33 34	No car traffic to cross Fell St / Close this 1/2 block to cars (SB Octavia btwn Linden and Hayes) Homeless encampments This movement is confusing for bicyclists (NB Octavia Blvd to Octavia St at Hayes)				x x x				x		x						
35 36	Designate taxi/uber/lyft drop off zones (Hayes St between Octavia and Laguna) Non-stop dog poop here next to playground. Is there any soil testing for hookworm, roundworm?	x x			_					x	×		x			x	
37 38 39	(Need) right turn lane (on Hayes approaching Gough) Native plants and poillinators for bees and butterflies Close Octavia from Linden to Hayes - traffic does not move and there is anory drivers velling/hinking EVERYDAY	x x			x					x	x		x				
39 40 41	Close Octavia from Linden to Hayes - traffic does not move and there is angry drivers yelling/hinking EVERYDAY Frankly I don't give a damn about any of these proposals if a safe barrier isn't put up here (Fell at Patricia's Green) to prevent my frienda' kids from being killed! I love closing the lanes next to the park for safety. (+2 supporters)				x		x			x x	x						
41	Please keep the bike lane. Maybe with some "please slow down" signs to keep the Tour de France people from going fast Concern #1: Closing down Octavia may create congestion on Linden Alley between Gough and Octavia, where the				×			x		x	×						
1	'living alley' is. Instead of using Hayes Street, cars will be forced to use Linden Alley when they are coming from Fell (off freeway). Concern #2: Closing Octavia would change direction of Linden Alley. Entering Linden from Gough is problematic									x	×	×					

			/			•											//
			in the second second	Contraction of the second	Contraction of the second	to all the second	Solo Color	and the second	*	- Section - Sect	entre to	1000 000000000000000000000000000000000	e Hore	in contraction of the second	(Bourne)	in the second	
No.	Individual Comment	ی چې	000	0.00	5 ⁵⁰ q ⁴	\$ `	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	George Contraction	on the	-99 ³	000	or Pilor		6038	33	o ostino	/
44	Don't do #7 (Trial CLosure at Patricia's Green). Much of the local traffic will be diverted to Laguna and Laguna corridor, which is already a mess especially during day. Those two sides of Octavia Green aren't super high traffic - at least from Octavia Blvd to Hayes, not sure about Hayes to Fell via Octavia. Traffic enforcement at Hayes/Octavia is an issue, pedestrian safety. people blowing through stop signs, etc. I feel the problem exists more			×							x		x		x		
45 46	Please address right (left?) turn area in front of play area - London Breed has discussed replacing hollow pillars with cement pillars (in front of play structure on Fell) to prevent cars from running into playground (this has happened!) Westbound right turns should not be allowed from center lanes on Octavia		x x			x			x x		x						
47 48	bike only please! make bulbouts beautiful and artistic, otherwise pedestrians and motorists don't appreciate bulbouts		x				x	x		x							
49 50	love the bulbs! bulbout? (SE corner of Hayes and Laguna)						x			x			x				
50	This crosswalk takes forever to get a cross signals! (Fell at SB Octavia)					x	x			^			^				
52	Table all access lanes & switch parking to opposite side of lane						×									x	
53	Is left still allowed here? (NB Octavia to WB Haight from side lane)	x							×								
54	I see there are plans to take away a bunch of parking spaces along Octavia Boulevard between Fell and Haight streets in order to widen the local access streets. Please reconsider and do not remove these parking spaces. The city has already taken away a lot of parking around Hayes Valley, and this is making it more difficult to park here. I pay for a parking permit and must use my car to commute to my job where public transit in a option. If these proposed spaces are removed, it will create more of a burden for residents who depend on cars to get to their jobs. Why do these sidewalks need be widened? Is the city catering to building developers over current residents?			ĸ												x	
55	Cars cannot turn right from Hayes onto Gough as they have to wait for pedestrians. Cars make illegal and dangerous moves, out of frustration, having to wait 5minutes and several lights before they can turn. Especially weekends and peak periods, cars are backed up to Laguna St. Cars trying to make that left off of Octavia onto Hayes St, can become dangerous and there is hostility and road rage every day, from people trying to get across that intersection. I'm hoping you can make that all walk, ke they do in the financial district, then cars can turn unencumbered. We believe this would solve many of our gridlock issues on Hayes.								x				x				
56	Please do not close one lane on Oak Street between Octavia & Gough. The reduction of one lane will bottleneck traffic at the intersection of Oak & Gough. Two lanes will become ONE when delivery trucks and cars double park. Delivery trucks are for Otoro Sushi, Rich Table, Gourmet & more, and Victoria Liquors. Delivery trucks are not in the habit of using the yellow loading zone. Other times parents park their cars and leave them unattended in the yellow zone. When parents from the French & Asian International school park in the yellow it makes the problem worse. The trucks during those times park in the driveways along Oak or double park along Oak. Enclosed are pictures showing delivery trucks double parked on the South side of Oak throughout the day. Also are a couple of pics of trucks double parked on the North side of Oak.Traffic is already congested at this intersection.		x						x							x	
57	Raised intersections at Gough, Laguna, Webster (on Page Street)																
58	Cement barrier to force cars to turn right at Oak/Octavia (will eliminate most accidents)		×						×								
59	Light timing at Gough/Hayes turning right onto Gough is almost impossible during peak periods. Needs retiming.								×				×	×			
60	Why no bulbout near kids' playground? (SE corner Buchanan and Hayes)						x						×				
61	Right turn lane (neeed); Hayes EB approaching Gough Appreciate efforts to reduce collisions - even when that means slowing cars, removing parking, etc. A few concerns: right turns from freeway to Market when there is a red arrow (crossing bike and ped dangerous!), Fell/Octavia west crosswalk takes too long to get a signal	x		xx		x	×	×	×				×	×			
63 64	Give someone a job to stand there (Market/Octavia, SE corner) to enforce no right turn! Add a sharrow (on Market) to alert motorists coming off of freeway and turning right onto Market to possible presence of cyclists			x x													
65	Cars make illegal left here (EB Hermann to NB Laguna); need solid median; make bulb bigger		-														
66	Bikes still going through crosswalk (at Laguna/Market) while pedestrians are present. Dangerous for peds		-	x			x	×							×		
67 68	Please align crosswalks (Valencia at Market) Lots of double-parkers in bike lane (outbound Market Valencia to Octavia)		-	x			x	x								x	
69	Yes! (to inbound bike lane on Market, Octavia to Valencia)			x				x								~	
70	Change direction of Lily Street (from EB to WB)								x			x					
71	The traffic ruins Linden, if closing, close at Octavia/Fell					x			x		×	×					
72 73	Love all the sidewalk extensions! So many families here! Don't remove parking on Octavia between Haight and Fell. It takes away from current residents. Bike sharing station is not needed. There are residents with children who need their cars.	x x					×	×								x	
74	Yes! Rethink all the Octavia side access to ped/bike + local access only		×				×	x									
75	Ped crossing timing is too short and timing occurs after green arrow, which is confusing to pedestrians - need longer timing and better signage .						×		x				x	x			
76	Please preserve parking for walking families - SFMTA reps have addmited at mtgs that we can't rely on MUNI to get childcare, their work in a timely manner. Pls fix MUNI firs tbefore eliminating parking	x														×	
77	More parking! That gets cars off the street. There are too many cars circling, looking for spaces.	x														x	
78	We need less parking, and charge more for it (+2 supporters)	×														x	
79 80	(Need) red light camera for right hand turn or something at Oak/Octavia. Runining the red and almost hitting peds Corner of Linden and Gough can use a crosswalk - thank you!	x	x			x	x x		x			x		x		x	
81 82	Keep high flow streets high flow. Make bike lanes on other streets Slower traffic means less injuries!	x															
02	oromon avanto movilla toda injuntoa:	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>					1	1	1	1	1	1	l	L	