

Hayes Valley Curb Management

HVNA Working Group

March 4, 2020



Meeting objectives

- 1. Residential Permit Parking
 - Review public input on RPP policy options
 - Review & discuss policy options for RPP in Hayes Valley
- 2. Hayes Street Quick Build
 - Review and discuss curb management proposal
 - Outreach to merchants
- 3. Next Steps

Hayes Valley Study Area



User Name: rcooper

M



- Manage curb for optimal use
- Improve safety and encourage walking, bicycling and transit
- Match number of RPP permits issued and available parking

Market – Octavia Plan

Policy basis for planning

The Market-Octavia Plan's transportation and parking related objectives, specifically Objective 5.4, further the neighborhood's goal to reduce dependence on private automobile travel.

(Objective 5.4) Manage existing parking resources to maximize service and accessibility to all.

Consider revisions to the Residential Parking Permit (RPP) program that make more efficient use of the on-street parking supply. (Policy 5.4.1) Many San Franciscans live in older neighborhoods where parking for existing residences and businesses is scarce and they rely on a limited amount of onstreet parking. Limit the issuance of parking permits based on the availability of parking spaces, and through increasing fees for on-street permits to more closely reflect their true market value.

Public input summary

- In fall 2019, SFMTA posted a MetroQuest survey on the Hayes Valley Parking project website, <u>www.sfmta.com/hayesvalleyparking</u> to solicit input from a broad base of residents and businesses.
 - 7,282 postcards mailed with link to Hayes Valley MetroQuest survey
 - 504 participants
 - 5959 data points
 - 782 comments

Public input summary MetroQuest survey-screen 2



SFMTA www.sfmta.com/hayesvalleyparking

Public input summary-Transportation priorities-screen 2

Below: Each ranking item, showing how often each item was ranked in each position, ordered by average. Note that 1 is the highest rank.



Map indicators are geo-coded

The survey included an interactive map and respondents were asked to drag one of the feature icons to a location on the map where they felt that issue was a concern. [Map below is a composite of all markers dragged onto the map]



Map markers by type

Below, the number of map markers that respondents placed on the map by category. The category, Safety Features, generated the most map markers and Car Share generated the least.

Below: Each marker type, showing how many markers have been dropped, plus any additional input through dropdowns.

Car_Share	Loading_Zone	Bike_Facilities	Parking	Wider_Sidew	Safety_Featu
Markers: 49	Markers: 194	Markers: 204	Markers: 226	Markers: 369	Markers: 527
	Question 1: 114 Passenger 35 Commercial	Question 1: 1 What type of 118 Separated bik 29 Bikeshare pod 14 Bike parking c	Question 1: 30 RPP meters P 90 Residential pe 29 No regulation 13 Meters 13 General time I	Question 1: 44 Outdoor dining 44 More room for 182 More room for 40 Gathering are	Question 1: 7 Which safety f 4 Transit island 122 Street closure 11 Stop sign 56 Speed humps 25 Separated bik

11 Red zones for... 20 Continental cr... 76 Bulbouts for s...

Map markers—<u>loading zones</u>

Below, a count of loading zone map markers, by type and general location

Feature	# Markers	Frequent Locations		
Passenger	114	Hayes just east of Octavia, north side	Hayes between Laguna and Octavia, South Side	Franklin, west side, between Fell and Hayes
Commercial	35	Hayes just east of Laguna	Near Hayes and Gough	Near Hayes and Octavia

Map markers-parking

Below, a summary of parking map markers, by type of parking selected in the pulldown menu. For the most part, markers were spread evenly throughout the neighborhood.

Feature	# Markers	Frequent Locations			
Residential Permit Parking	90	Page between Octavia and Laguna	Fell between Octavia and Webster	Around the intersection of Hayes and Buchanan	
Paid or Permit	30	Page between Laguna and Gough	Around the intersection of Octavia and Grove	Near Gough between Page and Oak	
No regulation	29	Page between Franklin and Laguna	[rest of points scattered evenly]		
Meters	13	North of Fell Street	[rest of points scattered evenly]		
General time limit	13	Hayes from Gough to Laguna	[rest of points scattered evenly]		

Screen 4: RPP Permit Scenarios

The following slides present the data collected from the three RPP Scenarios presented in the survey. The three scenarios are:

- 1. Keep the status quo—keep the policies regarding the number of permits that could be issued to each household and the RPP Area boundaries the same.
- 2. Expand the RPP Area to allow families who do not have off-street parking to obtain RPP permits. For instance, no off-street parking has been provided for much of the new housing built in Hayes Valley. *[Existing eligible households, even if they have one or two garage spaces, are allowed to obtain up to 4 permits under current policy.]*
- 3. Reduce the number of permits households may purchase from 4 to 1.

Public input summary – RPP_Screen 4

The majority of respondents support limiting the number of RPP permits issued within Hayes Valley, are in less agreement about maintaining the status quo and are split on expanding the RPP Area



Screen shot– RPP_1st scenario: maintain status quo

4	Resident Parking Permit / RPP						
RIOS	Status Quo	Expand RPP Areas	Limit RPP Permits				
PERMIT SCENARIOS	Please rate this Detional C Optional C Status Quo Most streets in Hayes Resident Permit Parki restrictions. Residents these streets only are parking permits. Resid newer buildings, inclu Alchemy, are not eligit	★ ★ comment Valley have ng (RPP) s & businesses on eligible for dents of several ding The					

Legend

M

Public input summary – RPP-maintain status quo

Scenario: Status Quo



Mentions	Comments
29	Allow all residents of Hayes Valley to have RPP permits
9	Residents of new buildings should not have RPP permits if there is a garage; and, residents knew when they moved in that there would be no parking.
21	Other miscellaneous comments

Screen shot – 2nd scenario: expand RPP Area

4	Resident Parl	king Permit /	RPP	0	What to do 📀 Next Task
RIOS	Status Quo	Expand RPP Areas	Limit F	RPP Permits	
PERMIT SCENARIOS	Please rate this Detional C Control of the scenario, some located outside the cur of the Residential Perior (pink hatch marks on the added to the RPP Area for permits.	★ ★ omment eas of the buildings rrent boundaries mit Parking Areas map) would be	Potential Fotos Fotos Harano Dubees	RPP Area Expa	Insion Build and and and and and and and and and an

Public input summary – Expand RPP eligibility

Scenario: Expand RPP Eligibility

140 125 100 80 60 40 20 0 Banking (Stars)

■1 **■**2 **■**3 **■**4 **■**5

Mentions	Comments		
20	Expand RPP Areas to allow all Hayes Valley residents to have permits		
3	All residents of new buildings to have RPP if there is no parking provided		
5	New buildings should not have RPP since they were intended to be car-free		
4	Residents with garages should not be allowed to have RPP		
5	No on-street parking reserved for residents		
5	Do not expand the RPP Area at all; there is enough RPP-restricted parking		

Screen shot – 3rd scenario: limit RPP permits

4	Resident Parl	king Permit / I	RPP	What to do	Next Task
ARIOS	Status Quo	Expand RPP Areas	Limit RPP Perm	its	
PERMIT SCENARIOS	Please rate this Definit RPP Perme Each household in Habe eligible for up to 1 Currently up to 4 perme but only on currently el 98% of households has	its average of the system of the			Legend

Public input summary – limit RPP permits



Mentions	Comments
23	Limit permits to one or two per household; limit permits per driver
	Increase price of permit; increase price of permit for 2nd or 3rd permit; if have garage,
10	limit permit to only 1 or 0 or increase price for permit if have garage.
6	Replace parking with parklet or other use; eliminate RPP

Scenario: Limit RPP Permits

Proposed RPP policy changes

- Create new RPP Area
 - See map—generally bounded by Fulton, Market, Franklin and Webster
 - Combine parts of Area S and Area R
 - Establish consistent hours & days of enforcement
 - Ensures everyone has equal access to RPP, newcomers and low-income treated equal to existing residents
- All residents limited to one permit per address
- Added RPP block faces to be Paid or Permit [this is a new form of curb mngt. that allows flexibility]

Hayes Street Curb Management

- [note: a temporary closure of Hayes Street until 12/31/20 is in process. The proposal that follows is for the long term]
- Prior research, outreach, and planning
 - 2018 Hayes Valley loading study
 - Many businesses near Hayes/Octavia intersection support loading zones
 - Curb Management Strategy
 - Suggests proactively adding loading zones
 - Metroquest survey
 - Many responses supporting passenger loading

Hayes Street curb management

- Proposal Summary
 - Addition of new passenger loading zones on Hayes
 - Some zones evening-only, with general parking or commercial loading during the day
 - Focused on two blocks between Laguna and Gough
 - Addition of commercial loading
 - Focused on areas where business loading needs are high
 - Changes to nearby streets
 - Changes to loading on Gough
 - Changes on Octavia

Hayes Street curb management

- Future research, outreach, and planning
 - Meeting with local businesses
 - "Office hours" format
 - Focus on yellow zone timing and green zones
 - Specific outreach to business that generate lots of loading
 - Loading research study
 - Outreach to residents
 - Support from HVNA
 - Further study of nearby streets
 - RPP/Meters on Laguna
 - Loading on Linden and Gough
 - Other discuss

Next Steps

- 1. SFMTA develops RPP proposal showing
 - a. boundary of new area
 - b. proposed parking/curb regulations by block
 - c. days and hours of enforcement
- 2. SFMTA develops Hayes Street proposal
- 3. Presentation to SFMTA internal stakeholders
- 4. Presentation to HVNA T&P Committee for feedback
- 5. Feedback incorporated into proposal and presented to HVNA T&P Committee and Board
- 6. Revise proposals as needed
- 7. Start legislative process

Background

The following slides were presented in previous meetings but are added here for reference.

Hayes Valley Parking Inventory



Document Path: G101_Projects/Parking_Group/ResidentialParkingPermits_HayesValley/04_AXO1hv_base.mxd User Name: rccoper

M

Hayes Valley Parking Occupancy



Document Path: G:/01_Projects/Parking_Groupl/ResidentialParkingPermits_HayesValley/04_MXD/kw_endtime.t User Name: rocoper

New and Planned Housing



User Name: rooone

ՈՍ

Current and Future Conditions

Total Housing	Current	Future/Expanded Area
Total Housing	6,070	6,993
RPP Eligible Units	3,969	5,212
Permits Issued	1,031	1,355 +/-
Ratio Permits/Housing	26%	26%
Total Parking	2,350	2,598 +/-
Metered Spaces	665	644
RPP Spaces	1,238	1,508 +/-
Ratio Permits/RPP Spaces	83%	90%

Residential Parking Issues

- Continued population growth will increase pressure on curb
 - 923 new and planned housing units
- Residents of new housing request eligibility for RPP Permits
 - Alchemy by Alta & Openhouse (400+ units)
 - 11 Franklin
 - 450 Hayes
- Current policy allows up to 4 permits/address
- SFMTA seeks input from community before acting on new petitions

Curb Management Issues

- Not a new challenge
- High demand from businesses for loading
- Critical part of many SFMTA projects
- Lack of loading leads to safety hazards, transit delay, congestion
- New mobility trends exacerbating issues



Curb Management Strategy

- Support agency goals like Vision Zero, Transit First
- Prioritization framework: base decisions on efficiency, equity
- Pilot projects and data collection
- Recommended strategies and tools



