

SFMTA

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency SFNTA Community Survey

SFMTA Board of Directors June 15, 2021

Background Introduction

Data

- In February 2021, the SFMTA Board as part of the annual Board of Directors workshop participated in a prototype prioritization exercise.
- Feedback from that process was that additional community opinions and data were needed on the various programs and projects as part of the exercise.
- The best way to solicit this feedback was through a consultant led survey process on the SFMTA's services and project priorities as well as how much support the agency has.
- As the SFMTA looks to expand service and support the re-opening of the City, this data is one of many sources that will support tradeoffs and decision making.

Data

Decisions Supported by Data

Over the summer, we will present a wide array of data on what will be needed to restore and expand service and ensure that the City's transportation infrastructure is not only functional, but resilient.



Decisions Supported by Data

Two additional surveys will be completed between now and the end of the calendar year which will also provide the Board data for the development of the upcoming Consolidated Budget and 5-Year Capital Improvement Program

Travel Decision Survey

Modal and trip choices. Track modal trends, to support meeting modal and climate goals.

Muni Rider Survey

Muni Rider satisfaction tracking usage of Muni service and understand key issues that drive overall customer satisfaction as well as barriers to using Muni

Data

Baseline - 2018 Muni Rider Survey Data

Prior to the pandemic, 61% of riders rode Muni regularly.



Source: 2018 Muni Rider Survey, prepared by COREY, CANAPARY & GALANIS RESEARCH https://www.sfmta.com/sites/default/files/reports-and-documents/2018/12/12-18-18_item_11_muni_rider_survey_2018.pdf

Data

Baseline - 2018 Muni Rider Survey Data

Prior to the pandemic, 63% of riders rated the quality of the service as "excellent" or "good".



Source: 2018 Muni Rider Survey, prepared by COREY, CANAPARY & GALANIS RESEARCH <u>https://www.sfmta.com/sites/default/files/reports-and-documents/2018/12/12-18-18_item_11_muni_rider_survey_2018.pdf</u>

Data

Baseline - 2018 Muni Rider Survey Data

This, however, was trending down from the high of 70%.

2018 Trending: Overall Rating of Muni Service Excellent and Good Ratings Combined



Important Note: Between 2001-2004, a 5 point scale was used: excellent, good, fair, poor and very poor. Since 2005, a four point scale has been used: excellent, good, fair, and poor.

Source: 2018 Muni Rider Survey, prepared by COREY, CANAPARY & GALANIS RESEARCH <u>https://www.sfmta.com/sites/default/files/reports-and-documents/2018/12/12-18-18_item_11_muni_rider_survey_2018.pdf</u>

Data

Baseline – 2019 Controller's Office City Survey

The 2019 San Francisco City Survey confirms this trend.

Muni ratings sink to 2013 levels from a B- to a C+



Forty-percent of respondents rate Muni an "A" or "B" in 2019, down from a high of 59% in 2017. Respondent ratings of the courtesy of drivers remains the highest rated of Muni attributes, and the only to increase from 2017. Of all ratings in the 2019 City Survey, Muni's ability to manage crowding receives the lowest rating, a C average, with only 33% rating it an "A" or "B".

Source: 2019 San Francisco City Survey https://sfcontroller.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Auditing/City%20Survey%202019%20-%20Report.pdf



San Franciscans' Attitudes Toward SFMTA and Transit Service

Key Findings of a Citywide Survey Conducted April 19-28, 2021



Survey Methodology

Dates	April 19-28, 2021					
Survey Type	Dual-mode Voter Survey					
Research Population	Registered San Francisco Voters					
Total Interviews	1,151 voters 100 Interviews conducted per Supervisorial District, weighted to represent true geographic distribution of voters					
Margin of Sampling Error	(Full Sample) ±3.0% at the 95% Confidence Level (District Samples) ± 9.8% at the 95% Confidence Level					
Data Collection Modes	Telephone Online Interviews Interviews					
Languages	English, Spanish and Chinese					



(Note: Not All Results Will Sum to 100% Due to Rounding)



Perceptions and Use of SFMTA

A majority approves of SFMTA's performance, although only one in five "strongly" approve.

I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing.

	Strng. Appr. Smwt. Ap	pr. 🗖 Smv	vt. Disappr. 🗧 Strng. Di	sappr. 🔳 Don't Know	Total Appr.	Total Disappr.
M	The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni	21%	48%	16% 10% ^{6%}	69%	26%
M SFM	The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA	21%	43%	16% 11% 9%	64%	27%
	BART	21%	39%	14% <mark>7%</mark> 19%	60%	21%
	^San Francisco city government	13%	46%	17% 17% 8%	59%	34%
Caltrai	Caltrain	16%	33% 6%	43%	49%	8%
FM3 Q1	. ^Not Part of Split Sample					

RESEARCH

A majority has ridden Muni at least once since the start of the pandemic...

Since the start of the pandemic, how often have you ridden Muni?



RESEARCH

Those most likely to have ridden at least a few times a month are:

- Latinos
- Don't have access to a car
- Non-college educated men
- District 6 residents
- Chinese speakers
- Household incomes below \$74,500

13

...but before the pandemic three-quarters said they rode 2-3 times per week.

<u>Before the pandemic</u>, did you regularly, that is at least 2 or 3 times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation.



RESEARCH

Most respondents' impressions of Muni are based on their experiences riding *pre*-pandemic.

Would you say your perceptions of Muni are mainly shaped by: your experience riding it pre-pandemic, your experience riding it since the start of the pandemic, or what you have heard, read, or seen about Muni over the last several months?





A majority says Muni service is "good" but only one in ten say it is "excellent."

How would you rate the quality of Muni's service: is it excellent, good, not so good or poor?

■ Exc./Good ■ Not So Good/Poor ■ Don't Know





Respondents' descriptions of Muni reflect contrasting impressions.

If you had to describe Muni in one or two of your own words, how would you describe it?

(Open-ended; Asked of Half Sample; n=576)

Unreliable/inconvenient/slow 17% Efficient/reliable/dependable 12% Not run well, not Negative/needs improvement enough trains, 11% stations are a mess, Convenient/easy to use 9% security is sketchy. It's OK/doing their best 9% Necessary/essential/useful 8% Lots of wasted potential: not Public transportation/bus service enough trains around rush hour, 7% trains don't move fast enough, and Good/great 6% get stuck in their own traffic jams... Expensive 6% Dirty 5% MUNI has definitely improved a lot Dangerous/rough 4% since the early 1990s. I appreciate the Crowded 3% increased reliability and expansion of services over the years. Mixed feelings 2%



San Franciscans perceive a wide range of benefits to Muni.

Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe <u>Muni</u>. Please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well.

	 Total Well 🛛 🗖 Tot	Difference	
A vital part of the community	92%	<mark>6%</mark>	+86%
Good for the environment	82%	<mark>11%</mark>	+71%
Easy to use	83%	<mark>15%</mark>	+68%
Easily accessible	78%	18%	+60%
Convenient	74%	25%	+49%
Affordable	 71%	25%	+46%
Does a good job of serving the whole city	63%	31%	+32%
Trustworthy	63%	33%	+30%
Reliable	62%	36%	+26%
Up-to-date technologically	55%	37%	+18%
Responsive to community concerns	42	.% 36%	+6%
Well-managed	44	% 43%	+1%
Fast	48%	6 49%	-1%
Uses taxpayer money efficiently	38	3% 40%	-2%
Innovative	40)% 52%	-12%
Safe from crime	42	.% 54%	6 -12%



At the same time, they have concerns about it being "crowded" and "dirty."





Q7. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe <u>Muni</u>. Please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. Split Sample



The most important change respondents mention wanting to see is increased service.

What's the most important change you would like to see made to Muni? (Open-ended; Asked of Hal Sample; n=575)





Improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains is the top priority.

I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority.



RESEARCH

Having frequent service is seen as a higher priority than having service very close to one's home.

I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important:

Having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are slightly further from where I live



OR

Having a Muni stop very close to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently

Both/Neither/Don't know







Having more frequent, reliable service was seen as a higher priority than lowering fares for everyone.

■ Frequent/Not Cheaper ■ Cheaper But Infrequent ■ Both/Neither/Don't Know I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. Latinos 49% 39% 12% When thinking about improving Muni African Americans service, which is more important: 43% 10% 48% Chinese 56% 33% 11% Having more All AAPI frequent, reliable 61% 31% 8% service rather than 63% All Voters of Color lowering fares for 55% 35% 10% everyone Whites 72% 20% 8% Lowering fares for everyone rather than having more 28% Income under \$35K 35% 45% 20% frequent, reliable Muni service Income \$35-52K 55% 35% 10% Income \$52-75K 61% 29% 10% Both/Neither/ 10% Income \$75-175K Don't know 68% 27% 5% Income \$175K+ 76% 16% 8%

Q10b.

RESEARCH

Providing quick, convenient transit, repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and improving service for communities dependent on transit are the highest-priority improvements.

I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. Please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important?

	important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important?						
Ext. Impt. Very Ir	npt. 🔳 Smwt. Impt.	Not Too Impt.	Don't Know	Ext./Very Impt.			
Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco		36%	15%	80%			
Repairing and maintaining Muni equipmen and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety frequency, and reliability	43%	37%	14%	80%			
Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transition		35%	15%	79%			
Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to al		39%	16%	78%			
Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable	40%	36%	17%	76%			
Improving the flow of traffic	30%	39%	24%	70%			
FM3 Q13. Split Sample				-			

RESEARCH

Restoring Muni service, improving access for people who are disabled and expanding reduced fares were also perceived as important priorities, although with less urgency.

Ext. Impt. Very Impt.	Smwt. Impt.	Not Too Impt.	■ Don't Know	Ext./Very Impt.
Restoring Muni service to pre-pandemic levels	32%	37%	20% <mark>6%</mark>	5% 69%
Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled	37%	32%	19% 7%	5% 68%
Expanding reduced fares for youth, seniors, and low-income residents	35%	32%	18% 11%	68%
Addressing the backlog of maintenance work	27%	41%	22% 7	% 68%
Rebuilding San Francisco's aging rail network	33%	34%	22% 6%	5% 67%
Making street safety improvements for walking	32%	33%	23% 9%	65%



Reducing crowding and delays and getting Muni on firmer financial footing were middle-tier priorities.





A majority say it is important to add more transit lanes and convert Muni busses to EV.





Adding more HOV lanes and making Slow Streets permanent were the lowest priorities relative to other items tested.

🔳 Ext. Impt. 🛛 🔳 Very Im	pt. 🔳 Sn	nwt. Impt.	Not Too I	mpt.	Don't Knov	_~ E	Ext./Very Impt.
Updating bus yards for earthquake safety	20%	279	%	32%	14%	8%	47%
Allowing restaurants and businesses to use curbside and public outdoor spaces permanently	24%	5 22	2% 20	%	28%	5%	46%
Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety	24%	20	% 28	3%	25%		44%
Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to Fisherman's Wharf	19%	23%	299	%	24%	6%	42%
Adding more high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on freeways and highways to provide express service for longer trips within San Francisco and the region	16%	20%	32%		27%	6%	36%
Making the Slow Streets Program permanent	15%	17%	25%	3	35%	8%	32%



When asked about a series of potential cuts to Muni, those directly highlighting a reduction in service were most concerning.

I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. Tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning.



RESEARCH



Slow Streets

A majority has heard at least a little about the Slow Streets Program recently.

Have you heard, seen, or read anything recently about the Slow Streets Program?







Slow Streets Program Description Provided

During the pandemic, SFMTA implemented the "Slow Streets" program that limits through-traffic on certain residential streets to be used as a public space for people traveling by foot and by bicycle. Nearly 30 corridors have become slow streets throughout the city. On these Slow Streets, signage and barricades have been placed to minimize vehicle traffic and prioritize walking and biking.



A majority supports making Slow Streets permanent and expanding it.

■ Total Supp.

Total Opp.

Don't Know

Some people have proposed making existing Slow Streets permanent and expanding the program to include more streets in San Francisco. Do you support or oppose that proposal?







Support for Funding Muni

Nearly three-quarters agree there is a need for more Muni funding.

Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve Muni in San Francisco?





Nearly four in five conceptually support funding proposals on a future ballot.

Some funding proposals may appear on a future ballot to finance the Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure that we have been discussing, including bringing service to pre-pandemic levels, improving reliability and frequency, improving pedestrian and bike safety, supporting the transition to electric vehicles and repairing and maintaining aging Muni infrastructure. In general, do you support or oppose this funding proposal?





Conclusions

Conclusions

- Overall, most approve of the job being done by SFMTA and think the quality of service is good, but few say it is "excellent" or have *strongly* positive opinions about Muni.
- The most commonly-chosen adjectives to describe Muni are favorable ones; respondents indicate that it is a vital part of the community, easy to use, and good for the environment.
- Respondents prioritize using funds to repair and maintain Muni equipment and facilities, provide quick convenient access to all parts of San Francisco, increase and improve Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit, and ensure Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all.
- Additionally, a majority favors having frequent and reliable service over service very close to where they live; a similar number prefers to have more frequent and reliable service over having lower fares.
- Approximately three-quarters see a need for additional funding and a large majority conceptually backs potential funding proposals to provide it.



For more information, contact:



1999 Harrison St., Suite 2020 Oakland, CA 94612 Phone (510) 451-9521 Fax (510) 451-0384

Dave Metz

Dave@FM3research.com

Lucia Del Puppo

Lucia@FM3research.com



Hybrid Electric

Hybrid Electric

Thank you.