

# Capital Prioritization and Advocacy Strategy (CIP+)

**Board of Directors** February 15, 2022 How does the SFMITA identify capital/infrastructure priorities?

> Capital Planning Asset Management

The core two plans that guide capital priorities are the SFMTA 20-Year Capital Plan and annual State of Good Repair Report.



**MTAB November 2021** Consolidates all capital needs; financially unconstrained

Reference: <u>2021 SFMTA 20-Year Capital Plan</u> Reference: <u>2020 SFMTA State of Good Repair Report</u>

M



**MTAB July 2021** Aged-Based condition assessment and costs of existing infrastructure

### Asset Data by Infrastructure Type

The total SFMTA asset replacement value is estimated at \$15.6 billion. Asset replacement value provides a baseline when assessing levels of investment across asset classes.



\*The train control system is not accurately modeled in our analysis; we know the system is near the end of its useful life with a higher replacement value than presented in the 2020 SGR Report.

#### Reference: 2020 SFMTA State of Good Repair Report

### Asset/Infrastructure Condition

**Age Based Condition Scores** are based on the age of an asset and use a scale of 1 to 5. The weighted average condition score for all SFMTA assets in FY2020 is 3.07.



\*The train control system is not accurately modeled in our analysis; we know the system is near the end of its useful life with a higher replacement cost than presented in the 2020 SGR Report.

Reference: 2020 SFMTA State of Good Repair Report

Planning



### The value of assets beyond their useful life is \$3.83 billion.

This **backlog** represents deferred investments in infrastructure replacement or rehabilitation. The backlog represents assets where an end-of-lifecycle decisions needs to be made; either these assets will be retired, replaced in-kind, or upgraded with new technology or systems.





#### Reference: 2020 SFMTA State of Good Repair Report

Plann	ing	Prio	ritization		Advocacy					
Total C by Cap	-			Capi	tal Plan	is \$31	.3 billio	n. Need	i <b>n the 2</b> ds are br nance an	<b>20-year</b> oken up d expand
\$12,000										\$10,903
\$10,000										_
\$8,000										
\$6,000			\$5,567							
\$4,000		\$4,746				\$5,025				_
\$2,000		_				_		\$1,515	\$1,969	_
\$-	\$204			\$694	\$567		\$66			
φ-	Comm/IT	Facility	Fleet	Parking	Security	Streets	Тахі	Traffic Signals & Signs	Transit Fixed Guideway	d Transit Optimization & Expansion
				■ Restore	Enhance	Expand				

# The Capital Improvement Program (CIP) is a fiscallyconstrained 5-year investment plan for delivery of transportation capital projects.

It serves as an implementation plan for regional, citywide, and agency-wide strategies and policy goals:

- SFMTA Strategic Plan
- SFMTA 20-Year Capital Plan
- Street Safety/Vision Zero
- Muni Forward
- Fleet Plan
- Building Progress Program

### • State of Good Repair

- Bicycle and Pedestrian Strategies
- Plan Bay Area
- SF General Plan
- Neighborhood & Area Plans
- SFCTA Transportation Plan

## 20-Year Capital Plan

"What and Why" Policy Priorities 5-Year CIP "How and When" Fiscal Constraints

### **Revenue Constraint**

### Baseline FY23-27 CIP

\$3,500,000,000



### 225 Projects \$2.5 Billion Investment

- FY 2023 2027 Capital Improvement Program "balancing" in progress
- Current project funding needs exceed revenues; will consider cashflow management and project phasing options
- Several large projects:
  - LRV4 Replacement Phase
  - Vehicle Mid-Life Overhauls
  - Central Subway Closeout
  - Computer Based Train Control
  - Potrero Yard Modernization
- Planning for contingency needs (risk analysis)

How does the SFMTA decide what to fund with limited resources?

> Weather Map Risk Analysis

With the data from the 20-Year Capital Plan, reflecting unconstrained "need" and the revenue constraint placed on many SFMTA revenue sources through the 5-Year Capital Improvement Program, we develop the **Weather Map.** 

The Weather Map is a check against what we "should" fund versus what we "can" fund. If discretionary funds materialize OR a competitive grant opportunity comes, we look to fill these gaps first.

Very often we see this data shows funding gaps generally match infrastructure backlogs.

Planning

### FY 2023 – 2027 Capital Improvement Program <u>Baseline</u>

<b>Revenue Constraint</b>						
	Capital E	Budget				5-Year CIP
Program	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	Total (Current)
Fleet	170.47	151.90	199.23	419.59	237.40	1,178.59
Transit Optimization	53.37	30.13	96.95	53.56	100.39	334.40
Transit Fixed Guideway	78.72	54.96	126.16	144.30	83.38	487.53
Streets	53.21	39.84	35.92	21.55	35.64	186.16
Facility	24.03	49.32	51.86	9.00	24.40	158.62
Signals	33.03	17.01	19.15	14.95	6.54	90.69
Communications & IT	0.53	2.79	2.20	1.70	2.80	10.02
Parking	0	0	0	0	0	0
Security	1.93	1.93	1.93	1.93	1.93	9.69
Тахі	0.65	0.35	0.73	0.001	0.52	2.27
Total	415.97	348.25	534.13	666.60	493.02	2,457.97

Planning

### FY 2023 – 2027 CIP Cycle "Weather Map"

### **Needs versus Gaps**

Capital Program		2021 Capital Needs	% of Capital Needs	Year CIP Needs (25%)	Pr	oposed CIP	% of CIP Revenues	Delta	% Need Met
Fleet	\$	7,534	21.4%	\$ 1,884	\$	1,179	47.9%	\$ (705)	<mark>62.57%</mark>
Transit Optimization & Expansion	\$	10,903	31.0%	\$ 2,726	\$	334	13.6%	\$ (2,391)	12.27%
Transit Fixed Guideway	\$	2,384	6.8%	\$ 596	\$	488	19.8%	\$ (109)	<mark>81.79%</mark>
Streets	\$	5,149	14.6%	\$ 1,287	\$	186	7.6%	\$ (1,101)	<mark>14.46%</mark>
Facility	\$	4,760	13.5%	\$ 1,190	\$	159	6.5%	\$ (1,031)	<mark>13.33%</mark>
Traffic Signals	\$	2,293	6.5%	\$ 573	\$	91	3.7%	\$ (482)	<mark>15.82%</mark>
Communications & IT	\$	271	0.8%	\$ 68	\$	10	<b>2.8</b> %	\$ (58)	<mark>14.81%</mark>
Parking	\$	964	2.7%	\$ 241	\$	-	0.0%	\$ (241)	0.00%
Security	\$	865	2.5%	\$ 216	\$	10	0.4%	\$ (207)	<b>4.48%</b>
Тахі	\$	66	0.2%	\$ 17	\$	2	0.1%	\$ (14)	<b>13.70%</b>
TOTAL	. \$	35,188	100%	\$ 8,797	\$	2,458	102%	\$ (6,339)	27.94%

Priority 0 projects and programs always reflect existing agency commitments, where dollars have been spent on prior phases and projects need to continue schedule.

- **Central Subway** contract and project closing costs (est. \$35 m 45 m).
- Major street corridor projects ready for competitive grants or other possible sources (\$19 – 21 m).
- Regional Measure 3 bridge toll funds are still stuck in the courts; this could result in a cashflow problem for critical fleet and facilities project including: LRV 4, Muni Metro East Expansion, etc. (\$140 m)
- **Potrero Yard Modernization Project** is a "pay-go" project. Funding is secured through design, but construction funds will be needed in the 5-year CIP window (\$190 \$200 m)
- Facility renovations have always been funded by operating funds, as no secure federal or regional source exists other than SB 1 State of Good Repair dollars (\$150 m)

# What is our advocacy strategy to add to the 5-Year CIP?

Building CIP+

In this 5-Year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) cycle, capital revenues will be at their lowest levels in nearly a decade.

However, new sources and opportunities could result in a substantial increase in CIP revenues during the 5-Year Period. We call this **CIP+**.

+\$400 m General Obligation Bond

+\$140 m Sales Tax Reauthorization

+\$280 m Infrastructure Bill Grants

+\$210 m Competitive Grants RANGE: \$300 m - \$1 b 2

Advocacy

### **CIP+ Strategy Elements**

**Voter Initiatives** 

General Obligation Bond \$400 m

Transportation Sales Tax Reauthorization \$140 m Federal, State and Regional Advocacy

State Budget Surplus for Transportation 75% State Transit Assistance (STA) 25% Transit and Inter- City Rail Program (TIRCP) Trailer Bill

Flexible Dollars for Capital & Operating Additional Grant dollars for Train Control System, Muni Forward Improvements on Rail Corridors Facilities & Electrification

### Allocation of Federal Formula Funds

Flexibility within Transit Capital Priorities Re-Fund Deferrals (\$40+ million) Increase the Fixed Guideway Regional Cap **Competitive Grants** 

3

Average Competitive Grant Cycle (5-Years) \$210 m

Federal Infrastructure Bill Competitive Programs (5-Years) \$280 m

Federal Capital Investment Grant **??**  The SFMTA applies for numerous competitive grants per year, with a nearly 50% success rate and bringing in on average of \$40 million per year.



### **Upcoming Competitive Grants and Projects**

Program	Status	Potential Project(s)	Target Ask	Appli- catio ns	Capital Program s	Due
Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP)	Production	Train Control Upgrade Program; Muni Forward K, N and Geary Lines	\$200 M	1	TO; FG	3/3/22
FTA Enhanced Mobility (5310)	Production	Enhanced Mobility	\$1 M	2	TA	3/2/22
RAISE Production		Howard Streetscape Presidio Planning	\$25 M	2	ST; FC	4/14/22
Active Transportation Program (ATP)	Production	Howard Streetscape, Tenderloin, Bayview	\$24.0 M+	3	ST	6/15/22
Bus and Bus Facilities & Low/No Emission		Fleet Expansion; Charging Facilities; Kirkland E-Bus Infrastructure	\$25-30 M	2	FT; FC	5/22
Solutions for Congested Corridors /LPP (State)		Train Control Upgrade & other	\$10-15 M	1	TF/TO/ST	8/22

### **CIP+ Priorities**

CIP+ is a framework, an advocacy platform and process by which we can build regional partnerships and priorities

### Increases in State of Good Repair Funding

(TCP Regional Policy for Increases in Federal Formula Funds, State Budget Surplus, Sales Tax Reauthorization)

### Fully funding safety improvements on the High Injury Network

(State Active Transportation Program, Highway Safety Improvement Program, US DOT Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE), USDOT Safe Streets for All, GO Bond, Regional One Bay Area Grant Program (OBAG), Sales Tax Reauthorization)

### Advancing Zero Emission Bus or Battery Electric Bus and Facilities Infrastructure

(1500% in FTA Low or No Emission (LONO) Vehicle Program, FTA Bus and Facilities Grant, State Transit and Inter City Rail Program (TIRCP), GO Bond, State Surplus, Sales Tax Reauthorization)

# Advancing Muni Metro Modernization – Muni Forward Rail Improvements, Fleet and Train Control System

(TIRCP, GO Bond, Regional Transit Performance Initiative, State Surplus, Sales Tax Reauthorization)

### Advancing the Building Progress Program

(1500% in LONO, RAISE, Bus and Bus Facilities Grant, GO Bond, Sales Tax Reauthorization)

### Cable Car Program

(Federal Advocacy, Sales Tax Reauthorization)

### Fund Estimate CIP+: \$300 m - \$1 billion



Hybrid Electric

#### Hybrid Electric

# Thank you.