

SFMTA Municipal Transportation Agency

Next Generation Customer Information System

SFMTA Board of Directors Policy and Governance Committee March 23, 2018

WHY NOW? – THE SAN FRANCISCO CONTEXT

- In 1999, San Francisco launched the first U.S. real-time information system •
- Since then, technology and transportation choices have changed rapidly
- For the first time in 15+ years, we have a chance to do a refresh



A NEW TRANSPORTATION LANDSCAPE

Transportation Network Company (TNC) Activity



Source: TNCs Today: A Profile of San Francisco Transportation Network Company Activity (San Francisco County Transportation Authority)

- TNCs now generate 170,000 vehicle trips per day, typically with only 1 to 2 passengers
- 20-26% of peak period traffic in Downtown/SOMA, which delays Muni
- Concentrated in areas with extensive Muni service

Methods

Quantitative

Comprehensive Survey (Available in English, Chinese and Spanish; online and paper upon request) 5,856 complete responses; ±1.3% margin of error at a 95% confidence level

External Stakeholder Examples



311	SF Board of Supervisors
BART	SF Travel
Chamber of Commerce	SFMTA Citizens' Advisory Council (CAC)
Chinatown Community Development Center (CCDC)	SFMTA Multimodal Accessibility Advisory Committee (MAAC)
Chinatown Tenants Association	SFMTA Policy and Governance
Hotel Council	SFUSD-Access
Independent Living Resource Center	Senior Action and Disability Network
Lighthouse for the Blind	SF Transit Riders
Mercy Housing	Youth Commission
Rebuild Potrero	The Village
Save Muni	₄ Transbay Joint Powers Authority

╋

HOW THE NEW SYSTEM WILL ADDRESS DETERRENTS TO RIDERSHIP

Deterrents to Transit Ridership

Ride on-board Muni would take too long

Scheduled service was too infrequent

Muni did not arrive when predicted

There was a service delay

Muni was too crowded

I did not feel safe or secure

Transfers were required

Muni did not stop for me

Muni was not clean

Muni was too expensive



Percentage of Survey Responses (up to 2 factors)

Waiting Time Until Next	During the Day	During the Evening or At	When Transferring
Muni Vehicle		Night	
5 min	97%	94%	93%
10 min	73%	67%	59%
15 min	35%	34%	22%
20 min	14%	15%	8%
30 min	5%	5%	3%

Finding #1

When arriving randomly at a stop without any realtime information, customers are generally willing to wait 10 - 15minutes.

Finding #2

Wait tolerance declines significantly during the evening or at night

Finding #3

Wait tolerance is significantly less for transfers

MUNI SERVICE FREQUENCY



Service frequency often meets customer expectations during the day, but not during the evening and other off-peak times

A 20-MINUTE WAIT: FOUR TEST SCENARIOS

- Survey presented customers with a hypothetical 20-minute Muni wait
- Respondents answered four situational questions testing how different types of information could influence mode choice



Customer arrives at shelter sign predicts a 20-minute wait



Checks smartphone before walking to stop, showing a 20-minute wait



Countdown sign displays an earlier-arriving alternative



Customer's smartphone app also advertises Uber and Lyft

A 20-MINUTE WAIT: TOP LEVEL RESULTS





- Survey confirms disparities in median household income by gender, ethnicity and other demographic variables
- As income rises people are less willing to wait for Muni
- The status quo can further a two-tiered transportation system based on income
- With better transit information, respondents are much more likely to ride Muni across all income brackets, regardless of demographic background

Median Household Income: Female \$75-100K, Male \$100-125K People of Color: \$50-75K, White: \$100-125K



With many parallel lines, taking an alternative Muni route is viable throughout much of San Francisco



Comparing the two scenarios with and without TNC ads on a transit app:

- The income gap reappears
 with TNC ads
- TNC ads decreased transit mode share by up to 14 percentage points depending on income bracket

Median Household Income: Female \$75-100K, Male \$100-125K People of Color: \$50-75K, White: \$100-125K

MANY APPS PRIORITIZE TNC ADS OVER TRANSIT INFO







Many third-party apps (63% market share) prominently advertise TNCs when displaying transit predictions obtained through open data

HIGHER TNC AVAILABILITY REDUCES TRANSIT MODE SHARE



- Higher TNC availability also reduces transit mode share across all income levels
- In TNC-dense areas, there is high potential to attract people to Muni with alternatives and other info

Impact of Showing Transit Alternatives on Signs by Income and TNC Density (Scenario 1 vs 2) Countdown Sign Predicts a 20-Minute Wait



TRANSIT PASSES ENCOURAGE MUNI RIDERSHIP



Finding #1

Of respondents riding at least four days per week where a pass could make financial sense, 34% pay-as-they-go.

Finding #2

Compared to pass users, pay-asyou-go customers are more likely to consider other transportation modes for each trip

Finding #3

Passes currently break even at 30 single rides compared to 27.5 in 2009

CUSTOMERS WANT A BETTER ENROUTE INFO EXPERIENCE



On-Board Digital Signage



Solar-Powered Signage

"I do not own a smartphone. Please do not make the system so dependent on owning one"

"On board screens that show arrival times of connecting bus, MuniMetro, BART and Caltrain lines would be helpful. Sometimes it's not always convenient to check times on a phone when standing on a crowded bus or holding bags/handrails/kids, etc. "

"Have signs that work at every stop, update outages and line delays, and provide visual information on board vehicles to show transfers available at each stop...bring this very dated system into the 21st century. We live in a city of innovation...utilize it!"

"Announce expected arrival times of intersecting routes at each stop."

SYSTEM ELEMENTS

shelters and selected transit stops without

power



service updates, transfer connection times and other information on separately-procured on-board vehicle

signs

POTENTIAL SYSTEM FEATURES

System Features	Current	Future		
System Software				
Predictions Engine	✓	✓ (improved)		
Crowding Level Alerts	x	✓		
Alternative Route Suggestions	X	✓		
Real-Time Temporary Service Changes	✓ (limited)	✓		
Connections with other systems	X	✓ (depends on API availability)		
Stationary Digital Signage				
Powered Shelters	✓	✓		
Unpowered Shelters & Stops	X	✓		
On-Board Digital Signage (back-end)				
Stop Announcements	✓	✓		
Connection Times	x	✓		
Service Delay & Reroute Alerts	X	✓		
Mobile Platform & Website				
Mobile App	 (primarily mobile ticketing) 	 ✓ (enhanced capabilities) 		
Accessible Itineraries	X	✓		
Analytics Platform				
Usage Trends & Analytics	✓ (limited)	 ✓ (enhanced capabilities) 		

• Incorporates input from customers and an internal multi-disciplinary team

Technology and Transportation

- Technology has radically altered the transportation landscape
- Our system is adapting to the "sharing economy"

Challenges and Opportunities

- The status quo could intensify inequities by creating income-based transportation systems
- Better real-time information has the potential to alter the psychology of mode choice and bring riders back to transit
- Real-time info can promote a more equitable and sustainable transportation system
- Lessons learned will help other transit systems prepare for the future

NEXT STEPS

Phase	Functionality	Tentative Date
Planning	 Request for Proposals Vendor Selection Contract Negotiations 	Spring 2018 - Fall 2018
1	 1-for-1 replacement of existing shelter signs Real-time arrival predictions generated for each stop Mobile Platform & Website Analytics Platform (basic) 	Summer 2019
2	 Additional signs at unpowered and non-shelter locations Transfer connection, service delay and travel alternatives functionality On-board signage (separate procurement) Analytics Platform (enhanced) 	Summer 2020 - Fall 2021