**Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Council (MBTIF AC)**

Bruce Agid – Chair
Sarah Bertram – Vice Chair
Bernadette Jimenez
Yoyo Murphy
John Peck, Alternate

**Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Council Meeting**
Thursday, October 22, 2026 – 10 a.m.-12 p.m.
Mission Hall Building Room #2109
550 16th Street
Minutes - **DRAFT**

| **Advisory Committee Seats** |
|---|
| **Seat 1 (Chase Center)**<br>Yoyo Murphy, Voting member<br>Vanessa Gonzalez, Alternate |
| **Seat 2 (UCSF)**<br>Bernadette Jimenez, Voting member<br>Vicki Sundstrom, Alternate |
| **Seat 3 (Neighborhood resident)**<br>Bruce Agid, Voting member<br>Bruce Huie, Alternate |
| **Seat 4 (Neighborhood business owner)**<br>Vacant, Voting member<br>John Peck, Alternate |
| **Seat 5 (Neighborhood resident)**<br>Sarah Bertram, Voting member<br>Sarah Davis, Alternate |

**1. Call to order/roll call - Bruce Agid, Chairperson and Erin Swick, Advisory Council Liaison**

- Called to order at 10:01 a.m.
- Voting members in attendance: Bruce Agid, Sarah Bertram, Vanessa Gonzalez (alternate), Vicki Sundstrom (alternate), and John Peck (alternate)
- Others in attendance: Erin Swick, SFMTA; John Gravener, SFMTA; Shanika Bell, SFMTA; Laurice Gonzales, Public Works; Jasmine Saguitan, SFPD; Santi Manchanda, Chase Center

**2. Approve Minutes: September 24, 2026 meeting**

- Sarah Bertram moved to approve.
- Vicki Sundstrom seconded.

- Public Comment: None

- Approved. Motion passed. Aye's 5 -0

**3. Old Business**

**a) SFMTA report of FY 2025-26 planned versus actuals**

- Erin Swick presented on behalf of SFMTA staff
- Discussion:
    - Bruce Agid had comments on Slide 2, and asked that clarification be provided for next meeting:
        - First question has to do with the calculations in the number of events being used to estimate budgeting. There is no consistency in the numbers being used across departments and there needs to be more consistency.
        - Second, adding a breakdown of event sizes quarterly and by size will help with seeing where the forecasted and actual numbers do not align and assist in sharing explanation of variances.
        - It appears there was overstaffing for MTAP, which could result in exceeding budget?
    - No additional comments or questions related to this item.

**b) Response from SFMTA Director Julie Kirschbaum**

- No response was provided to the Council's letter. This should continue as old business.

Public Comment: None

**4. Departments present FY 2027-28 and FY 2028-29 final budget plan per department**

- Erin Swick presented planned service from the SFMTA, noting that labor costs are projected to be 37% lower for the first quarter due to projection of fewer events during that time. MTAP staffing would accordingly be reduced as well. It was noted that as information about the number of project events for FY 2027-28 and FY 2028-29 was added to the spreadsheet shared by Chase Center staff, budgeting numbers would adjust. It was also pointed out that edits to the events spreadsheet may have been made after city departments created their slides for presentation, and this could account for discrepancies.
- Discussion:
    - Bruce Agid pointed out that the initial slide was actually part of old business budget reporting for FY26-27 because the information was to have been part of the SFMTA presentation at the September 2026 meeting. It was also highlighted that the numbers did not match up with Chase Center's projections and thus the slide, and those following, would need to be updated. Bruce also pointed out the numbers for events at Chase Center show a big variance between fiscal years, and he asked that SFMTA fine-tune their numbers for next meeting. It was also noted that the new template that departments would use could help alleviate the issue with reporting and projection related to the number of events; this would help with having more accurate budgeting numbers, especially for actual costs.

    - Sarah Bertram suggested that a tighter system be in place so that projection numbers are consistent across city departments. The meeting in November will circle back to this issue of discrepancy in number of events.
    - Erin Swick and Santi Manchanda (Chase Center) spoke about there being a review at the end of each month to then send out the actual of the events held. This would be distributed to SFMTA, SFPW, and SFPD.
    - No additional comments or questions related to this item.
- Laurice Gonzales presented planned service for Public Works, including the budget expenditures planned and actual through FY 2027-28 and FY 2028-29. It was pointed out that there is a discussion about whether or not trash can servicing would transition to the Port. The budget for the servicing was not included in the FY 2028-29 proposed budget. Also included with presentation was the budget for costs related to illegal street vending.
- Discussion:
    - Sarah Bertram shared that she had followed up with Nikki Henry, who is in charge of the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (OCII) and noted that their office had not heard about the potential change for trash can servicing. Sarah asked for a level of confidence that the trash cans would continue to be serviced.
    - Bruce Agid pointed out that the Port is not a part of these meetings, and that in discussions such as this related to trash can servicing, the Port needs to be present. This is a discussion that continues since 2019 to be facilitated by the SFMTA Liaison with PW and the Port. Bruce asked whether or not the Council needs to go back and have the ordinance rewritten so as to include other departments (i.e., the Port) or if the Port will be providing these services that funding can be provided through an internal City mechanism . This should be part of old business.
- Jasmine Saguitan presented for the PD. She noted that the numbers being presented were very similar to those presented at the previous meeting. The funding for staffing will continue to come out of the PD budget. The jump in costs, as presented, is due to contractual raises for officers. While some officers are on a higher pay scale, there are those who are lower because of their status being probationary. Budget projects were mostly broken down my quarters, something that the Council has been asking for. It was pointed out that depending on the type of event, staffing could fluctuate. In some cases, resources are shared with Oracle Park, and this is reflected in the numbers for staffing.
- Discussion:
    - Bruce pointed out that SFMTA has some significant work to do in clarifying their numbers, PW just has a little bit of refinement to do, and PD has everything that was asked.

Public Comment: None

**5. Departments report on metrics**

- Jasmine Saguitan shared that the was some internal miscommunication between staff and the analyst team, and so they were not able to present their crime metrics. The department will present at the November 2026 meeting.
- Discussion
    - None
- Erin Swick presented for SFMTA. She shared that she has found a section of the ordinance that calls out that SFMTA needs to be reporting out on travel time data to the Advisory Council. The data will look at travel time and on-time performance for the 15 Bayview Hunters Point Express, the 22 Fillmore, and the T Third. The goal is to give the Advisory Council a better understanding of Muni overall health before Chase Center events so that the Advisory Council can consider if they want to advocate for potential adjustments. Erin reached out to internal teams to try and figure out the level of detail that the department already has and can present quarterly.
- Discussion:
    - None
- Laurice Gonzales highlighted the map that is often shared during each meeting. She then shared a graph that relays the number of internal service orders and those that are generated through 311 calls. The data is further broken down into the type of cleaning that is requested, from simple trash pick up to steamers/mechanical cleaning. Laurice also pointed out there is an attempt to only count service orders once, avoiding the inclusion of requests for the same thing.

**6. Review draft of Metrics Executive Dashboard**

- Bruce Agid shared two spreadsheets of a "dashboard" for metrics. The intent of the dashboard is to take all these metrics being discussed and have a one- or two-page summary that the Advisory Council or any department heads could review to see the services and costs budgeted and being provided. He also shared that some sections would be more pertinent to some departments and not others and would also include TMP data from the Chase Center.
- Discussion:
    - Santi noted that Chase Center staff could enter the TMP data into the spreadsheet.
    - Next steps: The SFMTA liaison will work with Chair Agid and Vice-Chair Bertram to finalize the Metrics Executive Summary over the next quarter.

Public Comment: None.

**7. Report out on MBTIF funding in Mayor's budget proposal**

- Erin Swick stated that there was no update but that she will continue to pursue.
- Discussion:
    - Will continue as old business

Public Comment: None

**8. Report out on Controller's analysis of revenue projections for Chase Center and associated caps for MB TIF Funding**

- Erin Swick shared that the Controller's Office is currently updating all of their revenue forecasting for the City's upcoming five-year financial plan, which is targeted for a mid-December release. An update for the Advisory Council could come at the end of the current calendar year. Will be agendized for the January meeting.

**9. Draft letter to Mayor's Budget Office**

- Moved to next meeting due to insufficient time and needed corrections/edits to department budget forecasting. Bruce Agid suggested that the letter from last year be used as a starting point for the new draft.

Public Comment: None

**10. Public Comment**

- None.

**11**. **Advisory Committee Comments and Future Agenda Items**

- Bruce Agid advised that the MB TIF Advisory Council should present to MTAB as an agendized item or whether updates would be provided during public comment. This could happen on a quarterly basis. He feels that there should be some kind of report out. This would be similar to the report outs from the SFMTA CAC.
- Erin noted she will try again to get an update.

Public Comment: None

**12. Adjournment**

- Motion to adjourn:
  - Moved by Sarah Bertram.
  - Seconded by Vicki Sundstrom.
- Public Comment: None
- Approved 5-0
- Adjourned at 11:53 a.m.