STRATEGIC PLAN METRICS FY13 YEAR-END REPORT



| RATEGIC PLAN METRICS FY13 YEAR-END REPORT Metric Target FY12 Avg FY13 Avg Jul 2012 Aug 2012 Sep 2012 Oct 2012 Nov 2012 Dec 2012 Jan 2013 Feb 2013 Mar 2013 Apr 2013 May 2013 Jun 2013 Jul 2013 I | | | | | | | | | | | Municipal Transportation | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|
| ID Metric | Target | FY12 Avg | FY13 Avg | Jul 2012 | Aug 2012 | Sep 2012 | Oct 2012 | Nov 2012 | Dec 2012 | Jan 2013 | Feb 2013 | Mar 2013 | Apr 2013 | May 2013 | Jun 2013 | Jul 2013 | Monthly Trend |
| Goal 1: Create a safer transportation experience for everyo | ne | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 1.1: Improve security for transportation system users | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.1 SFPD-reported Muni-related crimes/100,000 miles | 3.39 | 3.77 | 7.56 | 4.85 | 5.09 | 5.98 | 5.65 | 5.26 | 4.81 | 7.24 | 9.44 | 10.68 | 9.24 | 11.37 | 11.01 | 11.18 | ~~~ |
| 1.1.2 Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while on a Muni vehicle); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 3.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.2 Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while waiting at a Muni stop or station); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 2.90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.3 SFPD-reported taxi-related crimes | | 3 | 3.9 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5 | |
| 1.1.4 Security complaints to 311 (Muni) | | 42 | 36.3 | 37 | 42 | 39 | 27 | 40 | 31 | 44 | 29 | 35 | 40 | 34 | 38 | 39 | ~~~ |
| Objective 1.2: Improve workplace safety and security | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.1 Workplace injuries/200,000 hours | 14.6 | 16.2 | 13.8 | 14.2 | 17.9 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 12.8 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 13.9 | 10.4 | 11.7 | \ |
| 1.2.2 Security incidents involving SFMTA personnel (Muni only) | | 11 | 11.7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 12 | 19 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 12 | ~~~ |
| 1.2.3 Lost work days due to injury | | 3,764 | 3,912 | 4,242 | 4,535 | 3,495 | 3,779 | 3,646 | 3,773 | | | | | | | | ~ |
| Employee rating: I feel safe and secure in my work environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | 3.23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 1.3: Improve the safety of the transportation system | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.3.1 Muni collisions/100,000 miles | 4.53 | 5.03 | 5.23 | 5.12 | 4.91 | 4.67 | 6.42 | 4.45 | 5.05 | 4.27 | 5.81 | 5.18 | 6.20 | 5.41 | 5.23 | 5.72 | - |
| 1.3.2 Collisions involving motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists | Awaiting FY1 | 3 results. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.3.2 Collisions involving taxis | Awaiting FY1 | 3 results. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.3.3 Muni falls on board/100,000 miles | | 4.65 | 4.25 | 4.94 | 4.65 | 4.99 | 4.24 | 3.49 | 4.30 | 4.40 | 3.97 | 2.97 | 4.42 | 3.75 | 4.87 | 4.28 | \} |
| 1.3.4 "Unsafe operation" Muni complaints to 311 | | 179 | 157.1 | 158 | 179 | 166 | 173 | 129 | 123 | 158 | 152 | 156 | 179 | 164 | 148 | 176 | \ |
| 1.3.5 Customer rating: Safety of transit riding experience; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 3.40 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goal 2: Make transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, ridesharing & o | carsharin | g the pr | referred | d mean | s of tra | vel | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 2.1: Improve customer service and communications | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.1 Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with transit services; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 2.55 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.2 Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with taxi availability; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 2.52 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.3 Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with bicycle network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 2.76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.4 Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with pedestrian environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 3.58 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.5 City Survey rating: Communications to passengers; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 3.20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.6 Percentage of color curb requests addressed within 30 days | | 87% | 93.3% | 89% | 92% | 88% | 94% | 89% | 95% | 96% | 97% | 97% | 92% | 99% | 91% | 98% | \ |
| 2.1.6 Percentage of hazardous traffic sign reports addressed within 24 hours | | 99% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | |
| 2.1.6 Percentage of parking meter malfunctions addressed within 48 hours | | 85% | 81.8% | 82% | 84% | 81% | 86% | 63% | 79% | 80% | 82% | 87% | 86% | 87% | 84% | 86% | ~~ |
| 2.1.6 Percentage of traffic and parking control requests addressed within 90 days | | 81% | 79.1% | | 69% | | | 76% | | | 82% | | | 89% | | 79% | |
| 2.1.6 Percentage of traffic signal requests addressed within 2 hours | | 97% | 96.8% | 98% | 94% | 99% | 97% | 97% | 97% | 95% | 99% | 97% | 93% | 98% | 98% | 99% | > |
| 2.1.7 Percentage of actionable 311 Muni-related complaints addressed within 28 days | | 87% | 90% | 91% | 93% | 87% | 86% | 93% | 82% | 82% | 87% | 94% | 97% | 96% | 92% | 90% | \langle |
| 2.1.8 Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni vehicles; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 2.45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.9 Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni facilities (stations, elevators, escalators); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 2.54 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

STRATEGIC PLAN METRICS FY13 YEAR-END REPORT



| ID Metric | Target | ΕV12 Ανσ | ΕV12 Λνα | Jul 2012 | Λυσ 2012 | San 2012 | Oct 2012 | Nov 2012 | Dec 2012 | Ian 2013 | Eab 2013 | Mar 2012 | Apr 2013 | May 2013 | lun 2012 | Jul 2012 | Monthly Trend |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| in Metric | Target | F112 AVg | F113 AVE | Jui 2012 | Aug 2012 | 3ep 2012 | OCI 2012 | 1404 2012 | Dec 2012 | Jan 2015 | LED 5012 | IVIAI 2015 | Api 2013 | IVIAY 2015 | Juli 2013 | Jul 2013 | Wontiny Frend |
| Objective 2.2: Improve transit performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of transit trips with <2 min bunching on Rapid Network (<1 min for headways of 5 min or less) | 4.0% | 5.3% | 5.5% | 5.3% | 6.3% | 6.0% | 6.2% | 5.1% | 5.2% | 4.8% | 5.1% | 5.1% | 5.4% | 5.7% | 5.9% | 5.9% | M |
| 2.2.1 Percentage of transit trips with + 5 min gaps on Rapid Network | 13.9% | 18.5% | 17.6% | 19.1% | 20.3% | 19.1% | 18.8% | 17.0% | 19.1% | 16.6% | 17.0% | 15.7% | 15.2% | 16.8% | 16.9% | 16.9% | ~~~ |
| 2.2.2 Percentage of on-time performance for non-Rapid Network routes | 85% | 61.0% | 59.5% | 60.0% | 57.2% | 56.9% | 57.6% | 59.1% | 58.4% | 60.0% | 59.2% | 60.4% | 61.9% | 61.6% | 61.3% | 62.4% | |
| 2.2.3 Percentage of scheduled service delivered | 98.5% | 96.6% | 96.8% | 95.3% | 94.0% | 95.7% | 96.2% | 96.7% | 96.0% | 97.8% | 96.7% | 98.4% | 99.2% | 97.9% | 97.6% | 98.0% | ~~~~ |
| 2.2.4 Percentage of on-time departures from terminals | 85% | 76.9% | 73.5% | 76.4% | 70.0% | 70.2% | 71.1% | 73.1% | 72.2% | 74.5% | 73.6% | 75.0% | 76.1% | 75.0% | 74.4% | 75.0% | <u></u> |
| 2.2.5 Average Muni system speed | Results repo | rting to beg | gin in FY14. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.6 Percentage of on-time performance | 85% | 60.1% | 58.9% | 59.0% | 55.6% | 56.0% | 56.6% | 58.9% | 58.2% | 60.5% | 59.8% | 60.7% | 61.3% | 60.4% | 59.6% | 59.8% | |
| Percentage of trips over capacity during AM peak (8:00a-8:59a, inbound) at max load points | | 6.4% | 7.5% | 7.5% | 7.7% | 8.5% | 9.4% | 7.8% | 7.1% | 6.6% | 7.6% | 7.4% | 7.0% | 6.7% | 5.4% | 6.4% | ✓ |
| Percentage of trips over capacity during PM peak (5:00p-5:59p, outbound) at max load points | | 7.1% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 10.1% | 8.5% | 8.9% | 6.7% | 8.0% | 6.4% | 5.9% | 7.0% | 7.6% | 7.3% | 7.1% | 8.1% | ^\ <u>\</u> |
| 2.2.8 Mean distance between failure (Bus) | | 3,300 | 3,310 | 2,820 | 3,087 | 2,815 | 2,877 | 3,071 | 3,197 | 3,631 | 3,723 | 4,170 | 3,712 | 3,427 | 3,771 | 3,921 | |
| 2.2.8 Mean distance between failure (LRV) | | 3,137 | 3,571 | 4,211 | 3,358 | 3,657 | 3,660 | 3,910 | 3,167 | 3,927 | 4,440 | 3,984 | 3,655 | 2,806 | 2,682 | 2,714 | <u> </u> |
| 2.2.8 Mean distance between failure (Historic) | | 2,055 | 2,224 | 2,454 | 6,566 | 2,200 | 2,144 | 1,990 | 1,891 | 1,958 | 2,316 | 1,620 | 2,530 | 2,025 | | | ^ |
| 2.2.8 Mean distance between failure (Cable) | | 2,936 | 3,735 | 4,571 | 6,202 | 4,248 | 2,386 | 4,244 | 2,624 | 2,649 | 2,811 | 4,814 | 5,488 | 4,979 | | | ~~~ |
| 2.2.9 Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered | Please see 2. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.10 Percentage of scheduled mileage delivered | Results repo | | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.11 Ridership (rubber tire, average weekday) | | | | 486,497 | 505,630 | 517,674 | 515,379 | 484,545 | 500,121 | 467,267 | 488,616 | 493,484 | 501,281 | 504,740 | 478,503 | 483,554 | ~~~ |
| 2.2.11 Ridership (faregate entries, average weekday) | Results repo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.12 Percentage of days that elevators are in full operation | | 93.6% | 96.3% | 96.8% | 96.8% | 98.9% | 96.2% | 96.9% | 91.7% | 96.5% | 95.8% | 98.4% | 96.7% | 96.8% | 93.7% | 96.2% | ~~~ |
| 2.2.13 Percentage of days that escalators are in full operation | | 94.2% | 88.1% | 84.5% | 87.1% | 87.1% | 89.3% | 87.3% | 84.1% | 85.7% | 87.0% | 93.0% | 88.2% | 88.0% | 95.7% | 93.6% | ~~~ |
| Objective 2.3: Increase use of all non-private auto modes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.1 Non-private auto mode share (all trips) | 50% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 45% | 2011 Mo | de Share Survey) |
| Objective 2.4: Improve parking utilization and manage parking demand | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4.1 Parking reliability rate of SFpark spaces | | 70.0% | 71.9% | 69.4% | 67.8% | 67.3% | 66.7% | 69.6% | 72.9% | 78.9% | 74.5% | 72.7% | 73.3% | 74.0% | 76.1% | 76.9% | |
| 2.4.2 Parking reliability of SFMTA garage spaces | | 97.8% | 97.7% | 99.2% | 98.7% | 99.0% | 98.8% | 96.8% | 93.2% | 97.7% | 98.2% | 98.4% | 96.8% | 96.8% | 98.6% | 98.0% | ~~ |
| 2.4.3 # of secure on-street bicycle parking spaces | | 5,732 | 6,792 | 5,836 | 5,956 | 6,012 | 6,084 | 6,098 | 6,014 | 6,366 | 6,372 | 6,456 | 6,558 | 6,632 | 6,720 | 6,792 | |
| 2.4.3 # of secure off-street bicycle parking spaces (garage bicycle parking) | | 846 | 882 | 846 | 846 | 846 | 846 | 846 | 846 | 882 | 882 | 882 | 882 | 882 | 882 | 882 | |
| 2.4.4 On-street payment compliance (SF <i>park</i> pilot areas only) | | | 53.3% | 53.7% | 53.7% | 52.9% | 52.9% | 51.8% | 52.4% | 53.2% | 54.4% | 54.7% | 53.3% | 52.9% | 53.4% | 53.6% | ~~~ |
| Goal 3: Improve the environment and quality of life in San F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 3.1: Reduce the Agency's and the transportation system's resource | consumption | on, emissi | ions, wast | e, and n | oise | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 Metric tons of CO2e for the transportation system | 1,515,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2,155,000 (2010) |
| 3.1.2 % of SFMTA non-revenue and taxi fleet that is alternative fuel/zero emissions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 94% (taxi) |
| 3.1.3 % biodiesel to diesel used by SFMTA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2% (FY11) |
| 3.1.4 Number of electric vehicle charging stations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 33 |
| 3.1.5 Citywide gasoline consumption rate | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 14 | 9,156,104 (2009) |
| 3.1.6 Agency electricity consumption (kWh) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 | 23,746,104 (FY11) |
| 3.1.6 Agency gas consumption (therms) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 579,043 (FY11) |
| 3.1.6 Agency water consumption (gallons) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 21,301,010 (FY11) |
| 3.1.7 Agency compost production (tonnes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 14 (CY09) |
| 3.1.7 Agency recycling production (tonnes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 535 (CY09) |
| 3.1.7 Agency waste production (tonnes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 593 (CY09) |
| Objective 3.2: Increase the transportation system's positive impact to the eco | onomy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2.1 Estimated economic impact of Muni service delays (annualized) | | | \$50M | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

STRATEGIC PLAN METRICS FY13 YEAR-END REPORT



| | ATEGIC PLAN METRICS FY13 YEAR-END REPORT | 1 | _ | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | T | ı | 1 | I | 1 | | Y | Municipal Transportation |
|-------|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| ID | Metric | Target | FY12 Avg | FY13 Avg | Jul 2012 | Aug 2012 | Sep 2012 | Oct 2012 | Nov 2012 | Dec 2012 | Jan 2013 | Feb 2013 | Mar 2013 | Apr 2013 | May 2013 | Jun 2013 | Jul 2013 | Monthly Trend |
| Obje | ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effectively | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.3.1 | % of all capital projects delivered on-budget by phase | Results repo | orting to be | gin in FY14 | i. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.3.2 | % of all capital projects delivered on-time by phase | Results repo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Obje | ctive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.4.1 | Average annual transit cost per revenue hour | \$187 | \$195 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$195 (FY12) |
| 3.4.2 | Passengers per revenue hour for buses | | 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 70 (FY12) |
| 3.4.3 | Cost per unlinked trip | | \$2.77 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$2.77 (FY12) |
| 3.4.4 | Pay hours: platform hours ratio | | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.13 | 1.12 | 1.13 | 1.12 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.11 | ~~~ |
| 3.4.5 | Farebox recovery ratio | | 31% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 30.8% (FY12) |
| Obje | tive 3.5: Reduce capital and operating structural deficits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.5.1 | Operating and capital structural deficit | Make progr | | | _ | nd | | | | \$70M a | dditional | | | | | | | od Repair (SOGR) and transit (FY12) |
| Goa | 14: Create a workplace that delivers outstanding service | 2 | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | tive 4.1: Improve internal communications | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.1 | Employee rating: I have the Information and tools I need to do my job; scale of 1 (high) to 5 (low) | | | 3.45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.1 | Employee rating: I have access to information about Agency accomplishments, current events, issues and challenges; scale of 1 (high) to 5 (low) | | | 3.40 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.2 | % of employees that complete the survey | | | 34.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.3 | Employee rating: I have a clear understanding of my division's goals/objectives and how they contribute to Agency success. | | | 3.44 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.4 | Employee rating: I have received feedback on my work in the last 30 days. | | | 3.14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.5 | Employee rating: I have noticed that communication between leadership and employees has improved. | | | 2.92 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.6 | Employee rating: Discussions with my supervisor about my performance are worthwhile. | | | 3.42 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ctive 4.2: Create a collaborative and innovative work environment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Employee rating: Overall employee satisfaction; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 3.36 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.2 | Employee rating: My concerns, questions, and suggestions are welcomed and acted upon quickly and appropriately. | | | 2.94 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.3 | Employee rating: I find ways to resolve conflicts by working collaboratively with others. | | | 3.89 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Employee rating: I am encouraged to use innovative approaches to achieve goals. | | | 3.34 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.5 | Employee rating: Employees in my work unit share job knowledge to solve problems efficiently/effectively | | | 3.67 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.6 | Employee rating: I feel comfortable sharing my thoughts and opinions, even if they're different than others'. | | | 3.58 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.7 | Employee rating: My work gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment. | | | 3.68 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Obje | ctive 4.3: Improve employee accountability | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | % of employees with performance plans prepared by start of fiscal year | | | 20.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | % of employees with annual appraisals based on their performance plans | | | 18.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | % of strategic plan metrics reported | | | 92% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.3.3 | Unscheduled absence rate by employee group (Transit operators) | | 12.2% | 8.6% | 9.4% | 10.5% | 9.3% | 6.6% | 7.0% | 9.0% | 8.9% | 10.3% | 8.5% | 6.9% | 8.3% | 9.0% | 8.8% | ▽ |
| | Employee rating: My manager holds me accountable to achieve my written objectives. | | | 3.55 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ctive 4.4: Improve relationships and partnerships with our stakeholders | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.4.1 | Stakeholder rating: satisfaction with SFMTA decision-making process/communications; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | Survey will | be conduct | ed in FY14. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |