Prop K/AA Grouped Allocation Requests March 2014 Board Action

Enclosure Table of Contents

No.	Fund Source	Project Sponsor ¹	EP ² Line Item/ Category Description	Project Name	Phase	Funds Requested	Page No.
1	Prop K	ТЈРА	Downtown Extension to a Rebuilt Transbay Terminal	Transbay Transit Center	Design, Construction	\$3,450,000	1
2	Prop K	BART	BART Station Access, Safety and Capacity	Embarcadero & Montgomery Capacity Implementation Strategy	Planning	\$112,500	15
3	Prop K	SFCTA, DPW	Relocation of Paul Street Caltrain Station to Oakdale Avenue	Quint-Jerrold Connector Road	Conceptual Engineering, Environmental Studies	\$123,972	41
4	Prop K	SFMTA	Bicycle Circulation/Safety	King Street Bicycle Lancs	Environmental, Design, Construction	\$34,000	63
5	Prop K	DPW	Transportation/ Land Use Coordination	2nd Street Improvement	Environmental, Design \$172,843		75
6	Ргор К	РСЈРВ	Transportation/ Land Use Coordination	Caltrain North Terminal Study	Planning	Planning \$22,940	
7	Prop K	SFMTA	Transportation/ Land Use Coordination	19th Avenue/M-Ocean View	Planning	\$306,000	113
8	Prop K	SFCTA, SFMTA	Transportation/ Land Use Coordination	Central Subway Phase 3 - Initial Study	Planning	\$173,212	141
9	Prop AA	MOHCD	Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements	Hunters View Transit Connection	Construction	\$1,844,994	163
	·			Total Requested		\$6,240,460	

Acronyms include BART (Bay Area Rapid Transit District); DPW (Department of Public Works); MOHCD (Mayor's Office of Housing & Community Development); PCJPB (Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board); SFCTA (San Francisco County Transportation Authority); SFMTA (San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency); and Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA).

² EP stands for Expenditure Plan; DTX stands for Caltrain Downtown Extension.

FY of Allocation Action:	2013/14						
Project Name:	Central Subway- Phase III - Initial Study						
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency						
Prop K Category: Prop K Subcategory: D. TSM/Strategic Initiatives ii. Transportation/Land Use Coordination Prop K EP Project/Program: Prop K EP Line Number (Primary): Prop K Other EP Line Numbers: Current Prop K Request: Prop AA Category: Current Prop AA Request: Supervisorial District(s): SCOPE Sufficient scope detail should be provided to allow Authority staff to evaluate the reasonableness of the proposed schedule. If there are prior allocations for the same project, provide an update on progress. Describe any outread							
Prop K Category:							
Prop K Subcategory:	ii. Transportation/Land Use Coordination filled in.						
Prop K EP Project/Program:	b. Transportation/Land Use Coordination						
-	Current Prop K Request: \$ 173,212						
Prop AA Category:							
	Current Prop AA Request: \$						
	Supervisorial District(s): 2,3						
included in the scope. Long scopes may Worksheet 7-Maps.or by inserting additional Project sponsors shall provide a brief exp 2) level of public input into the prioritizat K/Prop AA 5-Year Prioritization Program Plans and/or relevant 5YPPs. Indicate whether work is to be performed The San Francisco Municipal Transportations.	be provided in a separate Word file. Maps, drawings, etc. should be provided on						
Phase III - Initial Study. This request wor northern extension of the Central Subway	ald fund an initial planning study to determine the high-level feasibility and issues for a y from its current planned terminus in Chinatown to Fisherman's Wharf. This initial termining future land acquisitions and in the forthcoming SFMTA Rail Capacity Study.						

SCOPE OF WORK Central Subway – Phase III Initial Study FINAL - PENDING

Background

The T-Third Light Rail Transit (LRT) line opened in April 2007 as the first new rail line in the eastern part of San Francisco in over 50 years. The new rail line extended 5.1 miles from the San Francisco County Line near Visitacion Valley to the Caltrain Station at 4th and King Streets. Phase II of T-Line will extend the line from 4th and King Streets to Stockton and Clay Streets in Chinatown. The \$1.5 billion, 1.7 mile long extension will include four new stations and address transit need and congestion in a busy north-south corridor in the heart of downtown San Francisco. Phase II has received a full funding grant agreement (FFGA) from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). The extension is expected to open for service in 2019. The actual Phase II construction will reach into North Beach where the tunnel boring machines will be removed from the ground at the intersection of Powell Street, Columbus Avenue and Union Street (Pagoda Palace site).

Study Objectives

The Central Subway – Phase III Initial Study ("Initial Study") will analyze at a high-level the potential feasibility, benefits, and issues of extension of the T-Third LRT line from Chinatown (the northernmost station of Phase II) through North Beach and Russian Hill to Fisherman's Wharf. Three possible alignments will be examined as a part of the Initial Study.

The Initial Study will be a multi-agency effort led by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) with input from the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) and the San Francisco Department of Planning (SF Planning).

The report will focus on feasibility with respect to the following items key areas:

- Alignment
- Grade Options
- Construction Methods
- Land Use & Economic Development
- Transit & Traffic Analysis
- Costs & Funding

The following table outlines the key focus areas that will be addressed with initial preferred action, but may change as more information is gathered.

Task Summary

- 1. Administration and Ongoing Management
- 2. Transportation Analysis
- 3. Land Use and Economic Conditions Analysis
- 4. Constructability Analysis

- 5. Costs, Funding, and Next Steps
- 6. Final Report

1. Administration and Ongoing Management

Task 1.1: Finalize Initial Study scope, agency roles, consultant roles, and tentative schedule among the SFMTA, SFCTA, and SF Planning and applicable on-call consultant services. Execute project charter among the three agencies to finalize roles, responsibilities and procedures. Establish planning goals and study outline.

- SFCTA will manage the distribution of funds, lead the transportation modeling and FTA New Starts ratings calculations, and assist with transportation analysis.
- SF Planning will write the scope of work for the economic development consultant task order and lead the analysis of land use and economic development.
- SFMTA will lead and manage the overall project and be responsible for all final deliverables.

Task 1.2: SFMTA will convene regular project meetings (once a month or more based on deliverables) with key staff from SFMTA, SF Planning, and SFCTA. SFMTA will create and distribute agendas prior to meetings and distribute notes and action items via email following meetings.

Task	Deliverables	Documentation	Roles
1.1	 Final Scope Project Charter Executed Consultant Task 	Documents themselves	SFMTA will lead scope finalizing and project charter, with SF Planning and SFCTA participating
	Orders • Initial Study outline	200	SFMTA will lead the Initial Study outline, with SF Planning and SFCTA participating and reviewing
	E Total		 SF Planning will create a consultant task order scope for the economic development SFCTA will execute consultant task orders
1.2	Management meetings	Meeting agendas, notes, and action items.	SFMTA to schedule meetings, create and distribute meeting agendas and record and distribute notes and action items to SFCTA, and SF Planning
			SFCTA, SFMTA, and SF Planning will attend meetings

2. Transportation Analysis

Task 2.1: SFMTA will summarize existing and currently planned transit service and traffic conditions that are projected to be present in the project area (North Beach, Russian Hill, Telegraph Hill, Fisherman's Wharf) upon completion of Phase II of the T-Third LRT line. The summary will include service and frequencies of transit service (including any proposed changes from the Transit Effectiveness Project), transit facilities (i.e. transit only lanes), and street network configurations for automobiles and non-motorized travel.

Task 2.2: SFMTA will evaluate issues present concerning the addition of a new station in the North Beach area at the site of the Pagoda Palace or in the immediate vicinity.

Task 2.3: SFMTA will summarize conceptual alignment and station options for a Phase III extension of the Central Subway north of the existing line end at the intersection of Powell Street, Columbus Avenue and Union Street. This summary will include discussion of potential nexus opportunities with other transportation and public realm plans (i.e. Conrad Square). In addition, it will document the relative size and service quality (i.e. crowding levels, congestion, wait time, speed) of the travel markets that various alignments and station options would serve (i.e. tourists, convention attendees, residents, workers). This section will also document any communities of concern and location of populations with unique travel needs (i.e. zero auto and low income households).

Task 2.4: The Transportation Authority will develop preliminary travel ridership projections for the Phase III extension based on a representative land use and service plan scenario. These projections will drive a high-level analysis of New Starts competitiveness.

Task	Deliverables	Documentation	Roles
2.1	Summary of existing transit service and	Section in Initial Study	SFMTA will lead task,
	traffic conditions (post Phase II	report.	SFCTA and SF
s = \u0	completion)		Planning will review.
2.2	Summary of issues concerning a North	Section in Initial Study	SFMTA will lead task,
Name of the	Beach station	report.	SFCTA and SF
	The Care Care		Planning will review.
2.3	Summary of conceptual alignment	Section in Initial Study	SFMTA will lead task,
	options	report.	SFCTA and SF
	45 L 190	entre de la companya	Planning will review.
_	n lie Sir Fe	*9	
2.4	Preliminary Ridership Forecasts	Section in Initial Study	SFCTA will lead task,
		report.	SFMTA and SF
	qua el		Planning will review.

3. Land Use and Economic Conditions Analysis

Task 3.1: SF Planning will summarize existing and future land use conditions within the project area. Future conditions will both assume an "existing conditions" scenario without a Phase III Central Subway extension, and a build scenario with a Phase III Central Subway extension.

Task 3.2: SF Planning will summarize existing and future conditions within the project area with an emphasis on topography of the project area.

Task 3.3: SF Planning with consultant support will summarize existing and future conditions for economic conditions within the project area. Future conditions will assume an "existing conditions" scenario without a Phase III Central Subway extension, and a build scenario with a Phase III Central Subway extension. This analysis will include the role of various travel markets that Phase III would serve in supporting our economy (i.e. visitors, and large employers).

Task	Deliverables	Documentation	Roles
3.1	Summary of existing and future land use conditions within the project area.	Section in Initial Study report.	SF Planning to lead, SFMTA and SFCTA to review.
3.2	Summary of existing and future land forms (topography) within the project area	Section in Initial Study report.	SF Planning to lead, SFMTA and SFCTA to review.
	Summary of existing and future economic conditions	Section in Initial Study report.	Consultant-led task, managed by SF Planning, with SFMTA and SFCTA review.

4. Constructability Analysis

The Initial Study will evaluate the constructability of various horizontal and vertical alignments and station locations with regards to geotechnical conditions, construction methods, sea level rise vulnerability, major utility conflicts and construction costs.

Task 4.1: The SFMTA with consultant support will evaluate preliminary alignment profiles based on existing geotechnical information

Task 4.2: The SFMTA with consultant support will discuss feasibility and recommendation of construction method for the alignments

Task 4.3: The SFMTA will identify potential major utility conflicts based on existing information

Task 4.4: The SFMTA with consultant support will conduct a risk analysis with regards to sea level change

Task 4.5: The SFMTA with consultant support will prepare a preliminary construction cost estimate

Task	Deliverables	Documentation	Roles
4.1	Geotechnical assessment	Section in Initial Study report.	Consultant-led task with management by SFMTA, SFCTA review.
4.2	Construction method feasibility	Section in Initial Study report.	Consultant-led task with management by SFMTA, SFCTA review.
4.3	Identification of potential major utility conflicts	Section in Initial Study report.	SFMTA lead, SFCTA review.
4.4	Risk analysis with regards to sea level change	Section in Initial Study report.	Consultant-led task with management by SFMTA, SFCTA review.
4.5	Preliminary construction cost estimate	Section in Initial Study report.	Consultant-led task with management by SFMTA, SFCTA review.

5. Costs, Funding and Next Steps

<u>Task 5.1</u>: The SFMTA will use the results of Task 4.5 to perform high-level project-level cost estimates for promising options and summarize findings.

Task 5.2: The SFMTA will perform an initial analysis of existing and future public and public/private funding sources including but not limited to development contributions, tax increment and other funding opportunities from potential land-use zoning changes. The list of existing funding strategies will include but not be limited to federal New Starts funding, local sales tax funding, and other available local sources in addition to the private contributions from potential land-use changes. The Transportation Authority will perform a high-level calculation of a potential New Starts rating based on results from the transportation ridership analysis in Task 2.

<u>Task 5.3:</u> The SFMTA will document potential next steps and agency responsibilities for Central Subway Phase III. The Initial Study will reference the SFMTA Rail Capacity Improvement Strategy to develop a citywide rail transit optimization and expansion assessment during 2014 that will be the successor to the "Four Corridors Plan" adopted in 1995.

Task	Deliverables	Documentation	Roles
5.1	Summary of high-level cost estimates	Section in Initial Study report.	SFMTA to lead, SFCTA to review.
5.2	Summary of existing funding	Section in Initial Study report.	SFMTA to lead; SFCTA will

	sources		develop New Starts ratings; SF Planning will develop funding potential from land use strategies.			
5.3	Outline next steps and responsibilities.	Section in Initial Study report.	SFMTA to lead, SFCTA and SF Planning to review.			

6. Final Report

Task 6.1: SFMTA will draft a final report summarizing all relevant information, findings and conclusions and information will be developed in the several deliverables listed in this scope of work summary.

Task 6.2: SFMTA will produce a presentation summarizing the Report's findings and recommendations. This presentation may be used for public outreach, presentation to policy boards and executive staff, and other uses as needed.

Task	Deliverables	Documentation	Roles
6.1	Final Report	Final report document	SFMTA to lead, SF Planning and SFCTA to review.
6.2	Final Report Presentation	Final report slide deck	SFMTA to lead, SF Planning and SFCTA to review.

				FY	2013/14			
Project Name:	Central S	ubway- Phase	e III - Initial Study					
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency							
	ENVIRON	MENTAL	CLEARANCE	对 的有关系包含				
Туре :	Categoric	ally Exempt		Completic	Completion Date			
Status:	Not Appl	icable			(mm/ du/ yy)			
Enter dates for ALL project p	phases, not just	for the curre						
year. Use 1, 2, 3, 4 to denote que detail may be provided in the te		X/XX for th	ie fiscal year (e.g. 2	2 <mark>010/11). Addi</mark>	tional schedule			
		Sta	rt Date	En	d Date			
		Quarter	Fiscal Year	Quarter	Fiscal Year			
Planning/Conceptual Engineer	ing	3	2013/14	1	2014/15			
Environmental Studies (PA&E)	_	To the second		THE PLANT OF	hel I a			
R/W Activities/Acquisition	•							
Design Engineering (PS&E)								
Prepare Bid Documents		1 7 1 1 1 1	r ugal migur_ mar	eds in some flyt	7/1 ==			
Advertise Construction			q					
Start Construction (e.g., Award	Contract)							
Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)								
Project Completion (i.e., Open	for Use)							
Project Closeout (i.e., final expe	enses incurred)				t)			
	SCHEDULE	COORDIN	ATION/NOTE:					
Provide project delivery milesto					for public			
involvement, if appropriate. For Describe coordination with oth impact the project schedule, if a	or planning effort ner project sched	ts, provide st	tart/end dates by t	task here or in t	the scope (Tab			
The study is anticipated to be co	ompleted by July	2014.						

FY 2013/14

nicipal Transpo RY BY PHAS	E - CUR				
		RENT REC			
Iulti-phase alloc		TOWNS AND TOWNS	QUES	T	
	cations wi				basis.
eful segment) p	hase (e.g.	Islais Creek	Phase	1 construction) covered by the
		Cost	for Cu	rrent Reques	t/Phase
Yes/No	To	otal Cost]	Current Request	Prop AA - Current Reque
Yes	\$	173,212	\$	173,212	-
- 1 ²	-				
	\$	173,212	\$	173,212	\$
IARY BY PHA	SE - EN	TIRE PRO	JECT		
otal Cost	Son	irce of Cost	Estin	nate	
173,212	Simila	r efforts			
		wr =	11.2		
				1073	
173,212				1 = 16	
	Dest available in cost estimate, work of the cost of t	Yes \$ IARY BY PHASE - EN Dest available information cost estimate, which should the should be s	Yes Total Cost Yes \$ 173,212 \$ 173,212 IARY BY PHASE - ENTIRE PRO Dest available information. Source of cost estimate, which should improve in the state of th	Yes Total Cost \$ 173,212 \$ \$ \$ 173,212 \$ \$ \$	Yes Total Cost Request \$ 173,212 \$

N/A

Years

Expected Useful Life:

E9-150

MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET

- 1. Provide a major line item budget, with subtotals by task and phase. More detail is required the farther along the project is in the development phase. Planning studies should provide task-level budget information.
- 2. Requests for project development should include preliminary estimates for later phases such as construction.
- 3. Support costs and contingencies should be called out in each phase, as appropriate. Provide both dollar amounts and % (e.g. % of construction) for support costs and contingencies.
- 4. For work to be performed by agency staff rather than consultants, provide base rate, overhead multiplier, and fully burdened rates by position with FTE (full-time equivalent) ratio. A sample format is provided below.
- 5. For construction costs, please include budget details. A sample format is provided below. Please note if work will be performed through a contract.
- 6. For any contract work, please provide the LBE/SBE/DBE goals as applicable to the contract.

Central Subway Phase III - Initial Study - Budget Totals

	Tot	als by l'ask		SFMTA	FMTA SECTA		SF Planning		Consultants	
Administration and Ongoing			858							
1 Management	\$	14,126	Ş	4,100	Ş	8,286	S	1,740	\$	_
2 Transportation Analysis	\$	24,344	Ş	16,400	Ş	7,074	\$	870	S	
3 Land Use and Economic Analysis	\$	58,039	Ş	984	S	230	S	26,825	S	30,000
4 Constructability Analysis	\$	55,900	Ş	4,920	\$	690	S	290	Š	50,000
5 Costs, Funding, and Next Steps	\$	7,123	Ş	3,936	\$	1,012	S	2,175	S	-
6 Final Report	\$	13,680	\$	9,840	Ş	795	Ş	3,045	_	-
Totals	\$	173,212	\$	40,180	\$	18,087	\$	34,945	S	80,000

Summary by Agency	Amount			
SFCTA (Consultant plus Staff)	Ş	98,087		
SFMTA	Ş	40,180		
SF Planning	\$	34,945		
Total	\$	173,212		

Central Subway Phase III - Initial Study - SECTA

		SPCTA Task Subtotal		Transportation Planner		Senior Transportation Planner		Deputy Director		Intern	Contract Administration
Hourly Rates			\$	59	\$	69		\$ 115	\$	35	\$64
Administration and Ongoing								- V1	_		-
1 Management	\$	8,286	-			4		14	_		100
2 Analysis of Transportation Alternatives	\$	7,074		50		16		8		60	
3 Land use Analysis	\$	230						2			
4 Constructability Analysis	\$	690		4			Ī	6		over The second	
5 Costs, Funding, and Next Steps	\$	1,012				8	T	4			
6 Final Report	\$	795		1		4		4			
Sub-Total Hours		281		51	100	32		38	Z or	60	100
Sub-Total - Cost	\$	18,087	\$	3,009	\$	2,208	Ę	\$ 4,370	\$	2,100	\$ 6,400

Central Subway Phase III - Initial Study - SFMTA

	FFA Task Subtotal	Transit Planner		
Hourly Rates		\$	164	
Administration and Ongoing				
1 Management	\$ 4,100		25	
2 Transportation Analysis	\$ 16,400		100	
3 Land Use Analysis	\$ 984		6	
4 Constructability Analysis	\$ 4,920	411	30	
5 Costs, Funding, and Next Steps	\$ 3,936		24	
6 Final Report	\$ 9,840		60	
Hours	245		245	
Cost	\$ 40,180	\$	40,180	

Central Subway Phase III - Initial Study - SF Planning

	SF Planning Task Subtotal		Planner III		
Iflourly Rates			Ş	145	
1 Administration and Ongoing	\$	1,740	- 2	12	
2 Transportation Analysis	\$	870		6	
3 Land Use and Economic Analysis	\$	26,825		185	
4 Constructability Analysis	\$	290		2	
5 Costs, Funding, and Next Steps	\$	2,175		15	
6 Final Report	\$	3,045		21	
Sub-Total - Hours		241	77	241	
Sub-Total - Cost	\$	34,945	\$	34,945	

Central Subway Phase III - Initial Study - Consultant

	Consultant Task Subtotal		
Flourly Rates			
1 Administration and Ongoing			
2 Transportation Analysis			
3 Land Use and Economic Analysis	\$	30,000	
4 Constructability Analysis	\$	50,000	
5 Costs, Funding, and Next Steps			
6 Final Report			
Sub-Total - Cost	\$	80,000	