SUMMARY

This measure amends the California Vehicle Code to authorize the use of automated enforcement systems to enforce excessive speeding violations over the posted limit in the City of San Jose and the City and County of San Francisco for a five-year pilot period. The measure sets forth specific parameters for where the technology can be utilized, how the revenue can be used, and how a citation can be contested as well as other requirements. ASE is intended as a traffic safety tool that enhances and complements traditional police enforcement.

BACKGROUND

Annually in San Francisco, traffic collisions have caused about 30 fatalities and over 200 severe injuries; and in San Jose, more than 40 people have lost their lives and approximately 150 have been severely injured in recent years.

Unsafe speed is a primary collision factor in many fatal and severe injury collisions in both cities, and is a fundamental predictor of crash survival. Pedestrians and cyclists are at a heightened risk of injury in speed-related crashes — studies show that if a pedestrian is hit by a car traveling 40 mph, there is an 80% chance the pedestrian will be killed, but if a driver strikes a pedestrian while traveling 20 mph, there is a 90% chance the pedestrian will survive.

Both San Jose and San Francisco have adopted Vision Zero traffic safety initiatives to build better and safer streets, educate the public on traffic safety, enforce the most dangerous traffic violations, and adopt policy changes in order to eliminate traffic deaths and reduce severe injury collisions. Many of the streets with the highest incidence of fatal and severe injury crashes are in Communities of Concern, disproportionately impacting these disadvantaged communities.

In the United States, 142 communities have installed speed cameras with dramatic results, including:

- A reduction in drivers traveling more than 10 mph over the speed limit
- A reduction in citations issued as drivers change their dangerous driving behaviors
- Most significantly, a reduction in crashes that result in serious injury or death.

Currently, ASE is not allowed in California. The National Transportation Safety Board released a new report in July 2017 recommending states remove barriers to automated speed enforcement, as it is a proven and effective tool to counter excessive speeding.

WHAT THE BILL DOES

This bill would authorize on a pilot basis the use of automated enforcement for excessive speeding violations. The program requirements would include:

1. **Location**: Cameras would only be used on streets with a documented speeding problem resulting in fatalities and injury collisions, and not freeways. In both San Francisco and San Jose, only 5% of city streets would be eligible for ASE. However, 27% of all speed-related injury collisions in San Francisco, and 44% in San Jose, occur on those 5% of eligible streets in each city.

2. **Public Notice**: signs displaying “Radar Enforced” along ASE enforced corridors and at major jurisdictional boundaries, public hearings and information campaign

3. **Citation type**: administrative penalty, no points on record, $100 fee

4. **Speed**: ASE triggered at 10 mph over posted speed limit, limit of one citation per day

5. **Warning phase**: warnings sent for 90 days before any fines begin

6. **Privacy**: Images redact personally identifiable information, citations sent to vehicle owners like a parking ticket, images and records are confidential

7. **Adjudication**: owners may contest ticket similar to a parking ticket

8. **Equity**: accommodations for low-income drivers including a payment plan and community service option to pay fines

9. **Revenue**: program cost recovery and then re-invested into supporting safe streets

10. **Camera calibration**: Regularly inspected and in accordance with manufacturer’s instructions or at minimum, once a year by a third party lab

11. **Operation**: overseen by trained peace officers or other trained city employees

12. **Oversight**: an evaluation submitted after 5 years assessing safety impacts
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