

Services of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Incorporating Sea Level Rise into Capital Planning in San Francisco

Review of Guidance Adopted by Capital Planning Committee

November 4, 2014 San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors

David Behar Climate Program Director, SFPUC Chair, CCSF Sea Level Rise Committee Craig Raphael Transportation Planner, Capital Finance SFMTA



- Focus set by Mayor's Office in 2013:
 - Draft guidance for incorporating sea level rise into capital planning for the CCSF
 - Bring draft guidance to Capital Planning Committee for consideration
 - Maintain responsibility for assessment and adaptation within departments, with review and coordination by the CPC.



Background, Purpose, and Intended Use

Working Group of the Cal

Schwarzenegger's Execu

to plan for sea-level rise

SAN FRANCISCO BAY CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION 50 California Street • Suite 2600 • San Francisco, California 94111 • (415) 352-3600 • Fax; (415) 352-3606 • www.bcdc.ca.gov

1908. which directed sta

t the National Res

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMPLEX AUCTOR

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION DRAFT SEA-LEVEL RISE POLICY GUIDANCE

Public Review Draft

October 14, 2013 - January 15, 2014

🦄 🔹 🖾 👻 📾 🔹 Page 🔹 Safety 🖛 Tools 🕶 🚳

Resolution No. 11-08

Adoption of Bay Plan Amendment No. 1-08 Adding New Climate Change Findings and Policies to the Bay Plan; And Revising the Bay Plan Tidal Marsh and Tidal Flats; Safety of Fills; Protection of the Shoreline; and Public Access Findings and Policies

Whereas, Government Code Section 66652 states that "the Commission at any time may amend, or repeal and adopt a new form of, all or any part of the San Francisco Bay Plan but such changes shall be consistent with the findings and declaration of policy" contained in the McAteer-Petris Act; and

Whereas, the San Francisco Bay Plan (Bay Plan) policies pertaining to sea level rise and climate change have not been reviewed and updated comprehensively since their adoption in 1989: and

Whereas the Commission's strategic plan included the initiation of the review and possible Unknown Zone | Protected Mode: On

Developed by the Coastal and Ocean Working Group of the California Climate Action Team (CO-CAT) with crience cumper provided by the Ocean Protection Council's crience Advisory Team and the Developed by the Coastal and Ocean Working Group of the California Climate Action Team ICO-CAT with science support provided by the Ocean Protection Council's Science Advisory Team and the California Ocean Science Truet

http://www.bdc.cago/proporting sea-level rise (SLR) projections into a second by the cost



Sea Level Rise Committee

<u>Members</u>

- David Behar, PUC (Chair)
- Lauren Eisele, Port
- Rosalyn Yu, SFO
- Frank Filice, DPW
- Craig Raphael, MTA
- AnMarie Rodgers, Planning
- Chris Kern, Planning
- Tania Shayner, Planning
- Nohemy Revilla, PUC/SSIP Liaison
- Anna Roche, PUC/Wastewater
- Brian Strong, Capital Planning
- Kris May, AECOM/SSIP
- Dilip Trivedi, Moffat & Nichol

Meetings

• Began September 27, 2013

Activities

- Benchmarking review of other jurisdictions: local, state and national
- Survey of CCSF activities with SLR nexus (many found)
- In-depth review of the science
- Survey of regulatory context
- One half-day workshop
- Writing Draft Guidance
- Revising Guidance from feedback



CCSF Draft Guidance: SLR Figures





Sea Level Rise and Extreme Tide Matrix

Sea Level Rise	Water Level above MHHW	Extreme Tide (Storm Surge) Levels							
		1-yr	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	
0"	0	12	19	23	27	32	36	41	
+6"	6	18	25	29	33	38	42	47	
+12"	12	24	31	35	39	44	48	53	
+18"	18	30	37	41	45	50	54	59	
+24"	24	36	43	47	51	56	60	65	
+30"	30	42	49	53	57	62	66	71	
+36"	36	48	55	59	63	68	72	77	
+42"	42	54	61	65	69	74	78	83	
+48"	48	60	67	71	75	80	84	89	
+54"	54	66	73	77	81	86	90	95	
+60"	60	72	79	83	87	92	96	101	
+66"	66	78	85	89	93	98	102	107	

Source: SFPUC, Sewer System Improvement Program (AECOM)

Overall Process (Figure 1 in Guidance)



* Not addressed in this guidance



Vulnerability Assessment Phase (Figure 3)





Tools: Checklist

	CAPITAL PLANNING PROGRAM									
EDWIN M. LEE		<u></u>								
Mayor										
NAOMI KELLY	Guidance for Incorporating Sea Level Rise into Capital Planning in San Francisco									
City Administrator	DRAFT Sea Level Rise Checklist This checklist is part of the SLR Guidance document, which should be used by CCSF departments to guide the evaluation of projects considered for funding through the CCSF capital planning process. This checklist should be filled out for projects near or within the SLR zone (see question 4).									
BRIAN STRONG Director of Capital Planning										
	1. Project Name:									
	1. Floject Nai	lic.								
	2. Department's Project ID:									
	3. What is the	project location?								
	4. The project is a capital project and located within the SLR zone [Note: a basic SLR Zone Map/weblink will									
	be provided]. Yes No If the answer to 4 is No, then the SLR checklist does not need to be filled out further, proceed to Section 3. If the									
	U		t does not need to be filled out	further, proceed to Section 3. If the						
	answer is yes, please continue.									
	5. What is project elevation?									
	6. Type of asset or project proposed (e.g. building(s), roadway structure, utility structure, pa									
	7. What is potential maximum lifespan (functional working life) ¹ of the project? years									
	SECTION 1: Vulnerability Assessment for Potential Projects in the SLR inundation zone:									
	0 F									
		 Exposure (see SLR Guidance for additional information): a. Is the site currently subject to flooding during high tides/and or storms? Yes No 								
	b. Using the SFPUC inundation zone maps or site-specific modeling, please assess if the project site,									
	asset, or important supporting infrastructure is subject to inundation or temporary flooding during one									
	of the mapped scenarios.									
	Maps/ modeling used:									
		i. SFPUC Maps 2014 ii. Site Specific Modeling	(please provide date and	d source of information)						
	ii. Site Specific Modeling (please provide date and source of information)									
	SLR Scenario	Storm Surge	Site is subject to	Site is subject to permanent						
			temporary flooding	inundation						
	MHHW + 0"	plus 100 yr storm surge	YES NO							
	MHHW + 6"	plus 100 yr storm surge	YES NO	VES D NO D						

Source: Sewer System Improvement Program, Inundation Maps

2100 Most Likely SLR + Events

Assumes no adaptation measures implemented

36" SLR

36" SLR + King Tide (48" total) 36" SLR + 100 year flood (77" total)



