SFMTA - TASC SUMMARY SHEET

PreStaff_Date: 6/29/2021	Public Hearing	Consent	No objections:	
Requested_by: UCSF NW	Public Hearing	Regular	Item Held:	
Handled: Norman Wong 646-2766	□ Informational /	•	Other:	
Section Head : BW	PH - Regular			
Location: Vermont Street, North of 23rd Street				
Subject: Red Zone				
PROPOSAL / REQUEST: ESTABLISH RED ZONE Vermont Street, west side, from 195 to 225 feet north of 23rd Street (Supervisor District 10)				
Removal of two Zuckerberg General Hospital staff parking spaces, adjacent to the new driveway for Building 7, is required to provide adequate sight visibility for outbound driveway vehicles exiting onto Vermont Street.				
Norman Wong, norman.wong@sfmta.com				
BACKGROUND INFORMATION / CO	MMENTS			
HEARING NOTIFICATION AND PRO	DCESSING NOTES:		MENTAL CLEARANCE BY:	

SHEET NOTES 1. ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS AND STRIPING SHALL BE TWO COATS OF WHITE THERMOPLASTIC PAINT CONFORMING TO SECTION 84 OF THE CALTRAINS SPECIFICATIONS. 2. REINSTALL REMOVED SIGNAGE IN THE SAME LOCATIONS AS PREVIOUSLY LOCATED AND IN THE SAME ORIENTATION WHERE INDICATED. MOUNT SIGNAGE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 24" FROM THE NEARSIDE CURB FLOW LINE. CURRENT EDITION OF THE CA MUTCD. 3. ALL SIGNAGE MOUNTING HARDWARE SHALL BE TAMPER PROOF AND CONSTRUCTED OF 6063-T6 ALUMINUM. /2\ 4. ALL REGULATORY SIGNS SHALL BE INSTALLED ON LIGHT POLES OR SIGN POSTS (AS INDICATED) FACING THE DIRECTION OF ON COMING TRAFFIC (WITH THE EXCEPTION OF PROGRAM. "WRONG WAY" SIGNAGE) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT EDITION OF THE CA MUTCD. 5. ALL REGULATORY SIGNS SHALL BE PER THE CURRENT EDITION OF THE CA MUTCD TABLE 2B-1. CA MUTCD SIGN DESIGNATION ARE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWING. STANDARD PLAN A24E. 6. ALL REGULATORY SIGNAGE SHALL BE MOUNTED A MINIMUM OF 7 FEET ABOVE THE ADJACENT CURB AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT EDITION OF THE CA MUTCD. 7. CONTRACTOR SHALL PAINT FACE OF CURB AND TOP OF CURB ALONG ROADWAY. ALL RED CURB SHALL HAVE "NO PARKING – FIRE LANE" STENCILED IN WHITE, SPACED AT 25 FOOT INTERVALS. IF CURB IS LESS THAN 25 FEET LONG, STENCIL "NO PARKING - FIRE LANE" AT LEAST ONCE. 8. DIMENSIONS ARE FROM CENTER OF STRIPE TO CENTER OF STRIPE, EXCEPT WHERE PARKING STALL. ACCESS AISLE OR PATHWAY ARE ADJACENT TO A WALL, EDGE OR PROTRUDING FEATURE - IN THESE AREAS THE DIMENSION IS UP TO THE ADJACENT WALL, EDGE OR PROTRUDING FEATURE. 9. PAINT STRIPES ARE 4" TO 4.5" IN THICKNESS. 10. ALL ACCESS AISLE STRIPING SHALL COMPLY WITH CBC CHAPTER 11B. 11. STATION CALLOUT IS TO CENTER OF PAINT STRIPE. 12. SEE SIGNAGE LOCATIONS TABLE FOR LOCATION OF SIGNAGE. 13. STATIONING CALLOUT AT CENTER OF CROSSWALK STRIPING. CROSSWALK STRIPING CENTER ALIGNED WITH RAMP PORTION OF ADJACENT CURB RAMPS. 14. MOUNT SIGN POST TO SOUTH SIDE OF VEHICLE BARRIER WALL. CONTRACTOR SHALL FROM STA 0+21.25 TO STA 0+38.50 (SEE NOTE 7) RED CURB FROM LIMIT OF WORK TO STA 0+8.00 (SEE NOTE 7)) PAINT 2'X10' WIDE WHITE — 1CONTINENTAL CROSSWALKC-5008SPACED AT 4' O.C.(SEE NOTE 13) S _____ W FA

16. MOUNT ADA SIGNAGE ONTO EXISTING LIGHT POST.

SIGNAGE LOCATIONS				
SIGN# (TYPE)	N/S DIRECTION	E/W DIRECTION		
SIGN#1 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 0+68.0		
SIGN#2 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 0+87.0		
SIGN#3 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 0+91.0		
SIGN#4 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 1+10.0		
SIGN#5 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 1+17.1		
SIGN#6 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 1+36.0		
SIGN#7 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 1+40.0		
SIGN#8 (ADA)	30.96' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 1+62.0		
SIGN#9 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 1+66.0		
SIGN#10 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 1+85.0		
SIGN#11 (ADA)	31.10' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 2+32.1		
SIGN#12 (ADA)	30.21' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 2+52.1		
SIGN#13	13.00' SOUTH OF STA LINE	STATION 2+87.5		
SIGN#14	19.92' NORTH OF STA LINE	STATION 3+89.2		
SIGNS#15,#16,#17	(12,53) SOUTH OF STA LINE	STATION 3+89.2		





SHEET NOTES SEE SHEET C-3001 FOR ROADWAY GRADES NOT DEPICTED ON THIS SHEET.
CONTRACTOR SHALL REPLACE CURB WITH NEW CURB AND GUTTER UP TO NEAREST JOINT BEYOND LIMIT OF NEW SIDEWALK. NEW CURB AND GUTTER SHALL BE FLUSH WITH EXISTING CURB AND ASPHALT.
SEE SHEET C-3001 FOR FW BFPP GRADES.
SEE SHEET C-1005 FOR STRIPING AND SIGNAGE LOCATIONS.

Zoom in view at future driveway

Red Curb





4+10 ____

TC 90.10 FL 89.60

____DW 90.15



	USF
	University of California
	San Francisco
	UCSF ROADWAY AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS
	AT ZSFG BUILDING 7
	1001 POTRERO AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110
	ASSESSOR'S LOT 1 BLOCK 4154
	BOLDT®
	THE BOLDT COMPANY 375 BEALE STREET, SUITE 500 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
	ARCHITECT
	SKIDMORE, OWINGS & MERRILL LLP ONE MARITIME PLAZA SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
	CIVIL FREYER & LAURETA, INC 150 EXECUTIVE PARK BLVD., SUITE 4200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94134
	STRUCTURAL DEGENKOLB 375 BEALE STREET, SUITE 500
	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 MECHANICAL
	TAYLOR ENGINEERING 1080 MARINA VILLAGE PARKWAY, SUITE 501 ALAMEDA, CA 94501
	PLUMBING SOUTHLAND INDUSTRIES 33225 WESTERN AVENUE UNION CITY, CA 94587
	ELECTRICAL SILVERMAN & LIGHT 1201 PARK AVENUE, SUITE 100
	EMERYVILLE, CA 94608 LAB PLANNER JACOBS CONSULTANCY
	401 B STREET, SUITE 1560 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
_	NO. DESCRIPTION DATE DESIGN DEVELOPMENT 2019.04.08
	100% DESIGN DEVELOPMENT 2019.07.10 CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS 2020.01.09 1 DBI BACKCHECK 1 2020.02.14
	2 DBI BACKCHECK 2 2020.02.18
-	
_	
_	
_	
_	SEAL & SIGNATURE
	SIGNED ON 02/18/2020
	CAMPUS CAAN 3079
_	PROJECT NUMBER UCSF PROJECT NUMBER 290001 PRJ-168
	SHEET NAME RSI EASTERN SIDEWALK AND
	SIDEWALK AND DRIVEWAY
_	GRADING PLAN
	C-3003





View of Vermont (north of 23rd St)

CEQA Review

Notice of Determination

 To: I Office of Planning and Research PO Box 3044, 1400 Tenth Street, Room 222 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
□ County Clerk County of Appendix D

From: University of California Physical & Environmental Planning 1111 Franklin Street, 6th Floor Oakland, California 94607-5200

Subject: Filing of <u>Notice of Determination</u> in Compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resource Code.

State Clearinghouse Number: 2015102010

 Project Title: UCSF Research Building and City Parking Garage Expansion at the Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center Campus
Project Applicant: University of California, San Francisco
Parties Undertaking Project: University of California

Project Location: Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center campus (Twenty-Third Street between Vermont and Utah streets)

County: San Francisco

Project Description: The project consists of a new 175,000 gross-square-foot research building comprised of wet and dry laboratory and administrative desktop space at the Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center campus. The building would be constructed on land ground-leased from the City and County of San Francisco.

This Notice of Determination is to advise that the University of California 🖾 Lead Agency has approved the above-described project on *November 14, 2019* and has made the following determinations:

- 1. An Addendum to the UCSF Research Building and City Parking Garage Expansion at the Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center Campus Final Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR) was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
- 2. Mitigation measures 🖾 from the Final EIR were made a condition of the approval of the project: no new project-specific mitigation measures are required.
- 3. The implementation of applicable UCSF Research Building and City Parking Garage Expansion at the Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center Campus Final EIR mitigation measures will be monitored and reported pursuant to the Final EIR's Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.
- 4. The previous statement of overriding considerations in the UCSF Research Building and City Parking Garage Expansion at the Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center Campus Final EIR was reaffirmed for this project.
- 5. Findings 🖾 were made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the final Environmental Impact Report with comments and responses and record of project approval is available to the general public at: University of California San Francisco Campus Planning, 654 Minnesota Street, 2nd Floor, 94143-0286, Attn: Environmental Coordinator, Diane Wong. (41) 502-5952.

Signature:

Brian Harrington

Title:Associate Director, Physical and Environmental PlanningDate:November 14, 2019

Dated Received for filing at OPR

Governor's Office of Plenning & Research

NOV 15 2019

STATECLEARINGHOUSE

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087. Public Resources Code Reference: Sections 21000-21174. Public Resources Code



220 Montgomery Street Suite 346 San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 392-9688 P www.chsconsulting.net

Memorandum

Date: May 28, 2020To: Sarah Tenpas, BOLDT

From: Byung Lee, PE, TE, CHS Consulting Group

Frank Feng, CHS Consulting Group

Re: Visibility Analysis for UCSF ZSFG Roadway and Site Improvements Project

This memorandum describes the visibility analysis for outbound vehicles on the new driveway at the intersection with Vermont Street. Specifically, analyses and recommendations are performed on the following areas:

- 1. Determine if adequate sight distance is provided for outbound vehicles on both the horizontal and vertical alignment of the new driveway at Vermont Street.
- 2. Determine if the angled parking spaces, located immediately north of the new roadway, will need to be removed.
- 3. Determine the maximum height and extension of the ramp walls at the north and south of the roadway that would allow appropriate visibility.

Methodology

Sight distance analysis was performed at the new driveway and Vermont based on the current Caltrans 2018 *Highway Design Manual*, 6th Edition (*HDM*). Vermont Street is a 46-foot-wide southbound one-way street with 45-degree angled on-street parking on both sides of the street within the study area. The new driveway is a 20-foot-wide one-way exit driveway with approximately 12 % grade per the UCSF RSI – DBI drawings.

Stopping sight distance and sight distance triangle have the following assumptions and standards (HDM):

- The minimum recommended stopping sight distance is 150 feet per HDM, assuming that design speed for Vermont Street is 25 mph.
- The minimum recommended stopping sight distance is 50 feet per HDM, assuming design speed for the new driveway is 10 mph.
- The decision point has the following properties (*HDM*):
 - Roadway's line of sight is along its centerline, which is 10 feet from either ramp wall.
 - Vermont Street's line of sight is along its centerline, which is 23 feet from either curbside.
 - The driver sits 10 feet set back from the stop bar, or 7 feet set back from the ramp wall extent.
 - The object stands 0.5 feet vertically (object height) at the sidewalk curbside.

- Vertical sight distance is the horizontal distance between the pedestrian and the driver. It is based on eye height, object height, and geometry of the design vertical curve (*HDM*).

Results and Analyses

The minimum recommended horizontal stopping sight distance is 150 feet for a vehicle travelling at 25 mph. **Appendix 1** (left figure) shows the sight distance triangle drawn from driver's decision point in the plan view. The sight distance triangle shows that there is an obstruction limiting the sight distance due to two existing angled parking spaces, located immediately north of the new driveway. The parked vehicles will not only fall within the sight distance triangle and but also exceed the driver's eye height of 3.5 feet. It is recommended to eliminate two angled parking on the west side of Vermont Street, so sight distance will be improved.

Appendix 1 (bottom-right figure) shows the height and extension of the ramp wall on the north and south side of the roadway. The south ramp wall is compliant because existing design is already lower than driver's eye height at decision point. However, the design elevation of north wall at the east end is 94.08 feet, which is 0.5 foot higher than driver's eye height at decision point. As a result, the north wall will need to be restricted to no more than 93.68 feet in elevation. Alternatively, the east end of the wall will need to be shortened horizontally to the west by at least 3.5 feet from existing design to Station 3+88.60.

The measured sight distance at the new driveway is 48 feet as shown on **Appendix 1** (top-right figure). The profile view shows the line of sight drawn along the new driveway from the object 0.5-foot-high on the sidewalk to the driver located 48 feet away whose eye height is 3.5 feet. The minimum recommended stopping sight distance is 50 feet for a vehicle travelling at 10 mph. Therefore, sight distance for this section of driveway is not adequate.

Recommendations

Based on the results and analyses, the following recommendations are made:

- 1. Two existing angled parking spaces, located immediately north of the new roadway, will need to be removed because the parked vehicles will not only fall within the sight distance triangle and but also exceed the driver's eye height of 3.5 feet.
- 2. The height and extension of the south ramp wall is compliant, but the north wall will need to be restricted to one of the following:
 - a. The maximum height should be no more than driver's eye height at decision point.
 - b. The east end of the wall should be shortened horizontally to the west by at least 3.5 feet from existing design.
- 3. Based on 48 feet of sight distance along the new driveway, it is recommended to install speed limit (R2-1) sign to limit the vehicle speed at 5 mph.

Appendix

Appendix 1: Sight Distance Analysis Exhibit





LEGEND MINIMUM CLEAR SIGHT TRIANGLE TO BE MAINTAINED

blee ä 1:28pm 2020 21. ß

Wong, Norman

From:	Sarah Tenpas <sarah.tenpas@boldt.com></sarah.tenpas@boldt.com>
Sent:	Monday, June 21, 2021 12:14 PM
То:	Wong, Norman
Subject:	SFFD sign off of USCF Roadway
Attachments:	P168 RSI CD Drawings - Permit Set_APPROVED 20200420_ASK-064.pdf; 20200420 Building Permit App APPROVED.pdf

EXT

Hi Norman,

See attached reviewed drawing provided to SFFD for our building permit 202001162041. Permit was signed off by Cap. Tracy O'Keeffe with SFFD.

Let me know if there's anything else we can provide.

Best, Sarah

Sarah Tenpas, CM-Lean

Superintendent



415.583.2777 | Cell/Text sarah.tenpas@boldt.com Profile | LinkedIn

<u>375 Beale Street</u> <u>Suite 500</u> <u>San Francisco, CA 94105</u>

This message is from outside of the SFMTA email system. Please review the email carefully before responding, clicking links, or opening attachments.



1

1200

W.

la.

7

~ 1m

1945 -196.2

W. C.