THIS PRINT COVERS CALENDAR ITEM NO.: 5

SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

DIVISION: Transit

BRIEF DESCRIPTION:

Approving the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Fiscal Years 2022-2023 Short Range Transit Plan which includes an update to the agency's internal Bus Stop Guidelines to provide that all bus stops should have at least 20 feet of clear curb to provide unobstructed access to front doors of transit vehicles as an appendix to the Short Range Transit Plan.

SUMMARY:

- The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) requires that each public transit operator in the Bay Area receiving federal funding through the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) prepare, adopt and submit a Short Range Transit Plan (SRTP).
- Due to the pandemic, MTC changed the format of the SRTP this year. MTC provided three scenarios for operating revenue over a five-year period and then requested that operators provide correlating metrics, such as revenue hours and ridership, for each scenario.
- The SRTP also includes a proposed update to the SFMTA's Bus Stop Guidelines as an appendix, which provides that all bus stops should have at least 20 feet of clear curb to provide unobstructed access to front doors of transit vehicles.
- The SRTP is consistent with all other recent and ongoing transit planning efforts.
- If the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) does not submit an updated SRTP to MTC, MTC may withhold funding for SFMTA transit projects and programs.

ENCLOSURES:

- 1. SFMTAB Resolution
- 2. FY 2022-2023 Short Range Transit Plan

APPROVALS:		DATE
DIRECTOR _	Our	December 8, 2022
SECRETARY_	clilm	December 8, 2022

ASSIGNED SFMTAB CALENDAR DATE: December 13, 2022

PAGE 2.

PURPOSE

Approving the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Fiscal Years 2022-2023 Short Range Transit Plan which includes an update to the agency's internal Bus Stop Guidelines to provide that all bus stops should have at least 20 feet of clear curb to provide unobstructed access to front doors of transit vehicles as an appendix to the Short Range Transit Plan.

STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS AND TRANSIT-FIRST POLICY PRINCIPLES

This action supports the following SFMTA Strategic Plan Goals

- 1. Identify and reduce disproportionate outcomes and resolve past harm towards marginalized communities.
- 4. Make streets safer for everyone.
- 5. Deliver reliable and equitable transportation services.
- 6. Eliminate pollution and greenhouse gas emissions by increasing use of transit, walking, and bicycling.
- 10. Position the agency for financial success.

This item will support the following Transit-First Policy Principles:

- 1. To ensure quality of life and economic health in San Francisco, the primary objective of the transportation system must be the safe and efficient movement of people and goods.
- 2. Public transit, including taxis and vanpools, is an economically and environmentally sound alternative to transportation by individual automobiles. Within San Francisco, travel by public transit, by bicycle and on foot must be an attractive alternative to travel by private automobile.
- 3. Decisions regarding the use of limited public street and sidewalk space shall encourage the use of public rights of way by pedestrians, bicyclists, and public transit, and shall strive to reduce traffic and improve public health and safety.
- 4. Transit-priority improvements, such as designated transit lanes and streets and improved signalization, shall be made to expedite the movement of public transit vehicles (including taxis and vanpools) and to improve pedestrian safety.
- 8. New transportation investment should be allocated to meet the demand for public transit generated by new public and private commercial and residential developments.
- 9. The ability of the City and County to reduce traffic congestion depends on the adequacy of regional public transportation. The City and County shall promote the use of regional mass transit and the continued development of an integrated, reliable, regional public transportation system.
- 10. The City and County shall encourage innovative solutions to meet public transportation needs wherever possible and where the provision of such service will not adversely affect the service provided by the Municipal Railway.

PAGE 3.

DESCRIPTION

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) requires that each public transit operator in the Bay Area receiving federal funding through the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) prepare, adopt and submit a Short Range Transit Plan (SRTP) every few years. If the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) does not submit an updated SRTP to MTC, MTC may withhold funding for SFMTA transit projects and programs.

Historically, SRTP guidelines called for relatively detailed descriptions of agency plans, policies and financial information, to be updated every two years. The last SRTP adopted by the SFMTA Board of Directors and submitted to MTC was for Fiscal Years (FY) 2018-2019. Due to impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on public transit operators, MTC suspended the SRTP requirement for FY 2020-21.

For FY 2022-2023, MTC shifted from their pre-COVID guidelines and instead framed the SRTP as a focused exercise that evaluated how agencies would deliver service under different financial scenarios. Specifically, MTC developed three scenarios, each associated with projections of operating revenues over the next five years (FY 2024-2028). The scenarios cover only a relatively narrow range of possibilities, and the MTC revenue projections associated with each scenario were escalated from FY 2019 budgets based on historically low inflation rates. The scenarios are:

- **Robust Recovery**: There is adequate funding to return overall revenue to 100 percent of pre-pandemic levels, with escalation. Note that due to the inflation issue described above, MTC's revenue projections for this, its best-case scenario, actually amount to a decrease in funding from current levels.
- Revenue Recovery, with Fewer Riders: Federal relief funds are eventually exhausted, although other funds recover to pre-pandemic levels. However, farebox revenue remains stagnant (20-50 percent below pre-pandemic levels, depending on current status) for the next five years.
- **Some Progress**: Federal relief funds are eventually exhausted and total revenue available to the agency is 15 percent below pre-pandemic levels for the next five years. This, the MTC's worst-case scenario, does not assume a reduction in revenues as severe as might be possible given current trends.

Rather than detailed descriptions of agency plans, policies, and financial information, the current MTC SRTP guidelines call for completion of a spreadsheet or "data request" which includes MTC projections of operating revenues for each scenario and year, as well as agency projections of the following operating characteristics for each scenario and year:

- Revenue Vehicle Hours
- Revenue Vehicle Miles
- Number of Routes Operated

PAGE 4.

- Total Route Miles
- Ridership
- Total Operating Budget
- Total Revenue Vehicles
- Vehicles Required for Maximum Service
- Employees

The guidelines also call for an accompanying narrative document that should "expand upon and contextualize the responses to the data request" and "elaborate on the considerations that drive the service projections made in the data request." The guidelines include a number of questions intended to help agencies frame their responses.

It should be noted that MTC issued such specific requirements so that transit operators region-wide could complete a uniform, apples-to-apples analytic exercise documenting potential service impacts of different financial scenarios in order to advocate to State and Federal governments for additional, one-time bridge funding.

The SFMTA's response does not include detailed service plans. Instead, staff identified factors that would be taken into account by the agency in any service planning decisions should operating revenues increase or decrease, or if ridership were to increase. These include:

- Priorities and Goals: Any service planning decisions will be based on adopted City and agency plans and policies, including those in the areas of climate and equity. Additionally, the agency is in the process of implementing a fixed-route transit network (the 2022 Muni Service Network, approved by the SFMTA Board of Directors on December 7, 2021) that is intended to serve as a "baseline" for basic service delivery in the absence of increased revenues. Over the course of the COVID-19 pandemic, the agency has also focused on "state of good repair" maintenance, as well as supporting the city's economic recovery from the pandemic, for example by making capital investments to support improved service (e.g., the Temporary Emergency Transit Lanes program).
- Service Expansion: Following implementation of the 2022 Muni Service Network, staff
 intend to initiate a planning and public process to define up to three "visions" for
 expanded service should additional revenues become available. Expanding service is
 critical for SFMTA's long-range equity and climate change goals. This process will draw
 on policy documents such as ConnectSF, as well as travel time data and community
 input.
- Major Changes to Revenues or Demand for Transit: The SRTP notes that service levels have recovered from early-pandemic levels at a faster rate than ridership. For this reason, the agency has some capacity to accommodate increased demand. However, increased

PAGE 5.

demand in certain areas -- for example, an increase in demand for peak-period commuter travel to and from downtown offices -- could, in the absence of increased revenues, require "trade-offs," or service reductions in other areas. Perhaps more problematically, decreased revenues, such as a severe reduction in revenues from the City's General Fund, could require greater reductions in services. The document notes that over the course of the pandemic, the SFMTA implemented a series of service plans based on different revenue and ridership levels. These plans could serve as a guide for future service plans based on reduced revenues. However, the document notes that under the MTC's financial scenarios, which do not assume a severe reduction in revenues, it is unlikely that routes would be suspended. Under the limited MTC scenarios, any reductions in service would likely take the form of reductions to service levels (e.g., frequencies and spans of service) systemwide, based on analysis of where reductions would be least impactful.

Bus Stops Guidelines Update

Historically, the SRTP has included service policies, standards and guidelines including excerpts from the agency's Bus Stop Guidelines. Due to the modified format of this SRTP, staff included a proposed update to the SFMTA's Bus Stop Guidelines as an appendix to the SRTP.

On November 30, 2021, acting out of concern about pedestrian access to bus stops with curbside parking, including accessibility for persons with mobility issues, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors passed Resolution No. 537-21 urging the SFMTA to develop and implement a plan to promote unobstructed pedestrian access for boarding public transit by eliminating parking in bus stops and making other necessary infrastructure improvements. A hearing was held by the Board of Supervisors Land Use and Transportation Committee on October 17, 2022, where the SFMTA presented a memo regarding the state of bus stop infrastructure in the city and potential next steps for promoting unobstructed pedestrian access to bus stop boarding areas. The proposed update to the Agency's internal Bus Stop Guidelines was identified in that memo as the first step in implementing a plan to increase unobstructed pedestrian access to bus stop boarding areas.

If adopted, the Guidelines would state that:

Each transit stop in the system should have unobstructed access to the front door of the transit vehicle. At stops with access from the curb, at least 20 feet of clear curb should be available to provide unobstructed access to the front door of a bus or train. At existing stops without direct access to the curb, additional measures should be taken to provide unobstructed access to the front door of the transit vehicle, such as installing full-length bus zones or constructing transit bulbs. These additional measures will be implemented over time, with stops prioritized for implementation by SFMTA Accessible Services based on a data-driven process and requests from the public, particularly seniors and persons with disabilities.

The current SFMTA Bus Stop Guidelines recommend painted "bus zones" or "transit bulb"

PAGE 6.

sidewalk extensions at transfer points, at terminals, by major traffic generators, where there are high numbers of seniors and persons with disabilities using the stop, at otherwise busy stops, or where there are special safety or operational concerns. However, approximately 1,200 of Muni's approximately 3,500 stops (including some stops meeting the above criteria) are "flag stops" where the transit vehicle stops in a traffic lane.

Flag stops are located primarily on low-frequency routes in residential areas. At most of these stops, parking and loading is allowed curbside, between the traffic lane and sidewalk, and passengers must go around or between parked vehicles to get on or off the transit vehicle.

The Bus Stop Guidelines do not currently address unobstructed access to front doors of transit vehicles. Under the proposed change, unobstructed access to front doors of transit vehicles would be provided, in most cases, by designating at least 20 feet of curb at the stop as a "red curb" or "no parking" zone. In some cases, flag stops could be converted to painted "bus zones" or "transit bulb" sidewalk extensions in which curbside parking and loading is prohibited along the entire length of the stop.

The estimated fiscal impact of systemwide implementation would be approximately \$3 to \$5 million. And, depending on how implementation is managed, could impact the Paint Shop's ability to respond to 311 requests in the same time frame as they currently are able.

If the guideline is approved, SFMTA will work on how to implement improving access between the transit stop and transit vehicle, including but not limited to the evaluation, including environmental review, of implementing 20-foot clear zones at all applicable transit stops. Implementation could include implementing zones on the near sides of intersections by removing on-street parking. Staff is still evaluating how quickly following environmental review it can move forward, but initial estimates indicate the possibility of implementing approximately 50 to 75 near-side red zones a month, evaluating the approximately 1,000 near-side zones in the next 12 to 24 months, and implementing 20-foot red zones wherever on-street parking is obstructing access to the bus. (Some nearside stops may already have no-parking restrictions.)

If approved and environmentally cleared, the parking removal required will be implemented under the existing authority of the City Traffic Engineer, similar to the Vision Zero program, and will not involve a public hearing or SFMTA Board action. Additional SFMTA Board authorization would be needed to designate more than 20 feet of red curb, for example at stops on the far sides of intersections. Therefore, staff anticipates asking the SFMTA Board at a future meeting to expand City Traffic Engineer authority for implementing curb changes up to 30 feet, which would allow us more flexibility to address the remaining stop locations and to implement front-door only bus bulbs. SFMTA Accessible Services will work with Transit Planning to prioritize locations for additional accessibility measures such as transit bulbs.

PAGE 7.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Presentations on both the SRTP and the proposed update to the agency's Bus Stop Guidelines were made to the Citizens Advisory Committee's Administrative, Operations, & Customer Service Committee (AOCSC). Additionally, changes to flag stops, including the proposed update to the Bus Stop Guidelines, were the subject of a hearing of the Board of Supervisors Land Use and Transportation Committee. Finally, discussions regarding changes to flag stops have been initiated with members of the agency's Multimodal Accessibility Advisory Committee (MAAC).

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

No alternatives were considered. Federal transportation requirements require that the MTC develop and update a long-range Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), and TIP which implements the RTP by programming federal funds to transportation projects contained in the RTP. The SRTP will inform the development of the next RTP.

FUNDING IMPACT

Since the SRTP is a reference document for other planning efforts, the SRTP has no funding impact. Operating and capital budget information included in the SRTP was approved by the Board of Directors though the Fiscal Years 2023-2024 Operating Budget and the Fiscal Years 2023-2024 Capital Budget. Beyond these two-year budgets, projections of future operating needs and expected operating revenues are intended to help the agency and its stakeholders understand the projected financial picture, rather than be a precise forecast for any specific year.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

On October 21, 2022, the SFMTA, under authority delegated by the Planning Department, determined that the Short-Range Transit Plan is not defined as a "project" under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations Sections 15060(c) and 15378(b).

A copy of the CEQA determination is on file with the Secretary to the SFMTA Board of Directors and is incorporated herein by reference.

OTHER APPROVALS RECEIVED OR STILL REQUIRED

The City Attorney's Office has reviewed this calendar item.

PAGE 8.

RECOMMENDATION

SFMTA staff recommends that the SFMTA Board of Directors approve the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Fiscal Years 2022-2023 Short Range Transit Plan which includes an update to the agency's internal Bus Stop Guidelines to provide that all bus stops should have at least 20 feet of clear curb to provide unobstructed access to front doors of transit vehicles as an appendix to the Short Range Transit Plan.

SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

WHEREAS, The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) requires that each public transit operator in the Bay Area region receiving federal funding through the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) prepare, adopt and submit a Short Range Transit Plan (SRTP); and,

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's (SFMTA) Fiscal Years (FY) 2022-2023 Short Range Transit Plan consists of the SFMTA's response to three five-year financial scenarios developed by MTC; and,

WHEREAS, on November 30, 2021, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors passed Resolution No. 537-21 urging the SFMTA to develop and implement a plan to promote unobstructed pedestrian access for boarding public transit by eliminating parking in bus stops and making other necessary infrastructure improvements; and,

WHEREAS, the SRTP also includes an update to the agency's internal Bus Stop Guidelines which provides that all bus stops should have at least 20 feet of clear curb to provide unobstructed access to front doors of transit vehicles; and,

WHEREAS, On October 21, 2022, the SFMTA, under authority delegated by the Planning Department, determined that the Short Range Transit Plan is not defined as a "project" under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations Sections 15060(c) and 15378(b); and,

WHEREAS, A copy of the CEQA determination is on file with the Secretary to the SFMTA Board of Directors, and is incorporated herein by reference; and,

WHEREAS, The SRTP is consistent with all other recent and ongoing SFMTA transit planning efforts; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the SFMTA Board of Directors approves the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Fiscal Years 2022-2023 Short Range Transit Plan; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the SFMTA Board of Directors approves the update to the Agency's internal Bus Stop Guidelines as an appendix to the Short Range Transit Plan.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors at its meeting of December 13, 2022.

Secretary to the Board of Directors
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency



The preparation of this report has been funded in part by a grant from the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) through section 5303 of the Federal Transit Act. The contents of this SRTP reflect the views of the City and County of San Francisco, and not necessarily those of the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) or the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). The City and County of San Francisco is solely responsible for the accuracy of the information presented in this SRTP.





1. Pre-Pandemic State of Service – FY 2018-19

Prior to the pandemic, the SFMTA Transit Division -- aka the San Francisco Municipal Railway, or Muni -- operated a total of 76 fixed routes. The system served diverse travel markets, ranging from Rapid (limited-stop) and local (all-stop) routes in corridors with two-way, all-day demand for transit to commuter-oriented peak period- and peak direction-only express routes, express special-event services, and late-night Owl routes. The fixed-route network also consisted of a variety of transit modes and vehicles, including light rail (the Muni Metro system), historic streetcars (the F Line), cable cars, hybrid buses, and electric trolleybuses.

The Muni system also consists primarily of "radial" routes connecting outlying neighborhoods to the downtown core and "crosstown" routes linking neighborhoods outside of downtown. Prepandemic, peak-period commuter demand on routes serving downtown was a major driver of both ridership and service allocation, on both all-day routes that operated more frequently during peak periods as well as peak-only express routes that provided additional capacity in the busiest radial corridors.

As of calendar year 2019, Muni was the eighth-largest transit system in the United States as measured by average weekday ridership, with an average of approximately 710,000 daily boardings. In that same year, Muni provided a total of 3.56 million hours of revenue service.



2. Current State of Service – FY 2022-23

In March 2020, shortly after implementation of the City's shelter-in place order, most Rapid (i.e., limited-stop) and express routes were suspended, and rail lines were replaced by bus service. In April, service was further reduced to a "Core Service Network" of 17 routes that carried the heaviest ridership and provided citywide access to essential workers. Since then, service has been restored on an incremental basis.

Service planning decisions made during the pandemic were based on a data-driven approach informed by pandemic-specific concerns, such as physical distancing and access to medical facilities. They were also guided by the SFMTA Service Equity Strategy, which prioritizes transit service in Equity Neighborhoods with high proportions of people of color and people from low-income households.

Once all currently planned service changes are implemented (see 2022 Muni Service Network below), service levels will have returned to approximately 82 percent of pre-pandemic levels, as expressed in terms of revenue service hours, and 93 percent, as expressed in terms of revenue service miles¹. We have been able to restore more service miles through efficiency improvements such as 15 new miles of transit-only lanes as well as opportunities for more efficient scheduling of operators. However, the current network is different from the pre-pandemic system in key ways.

Muni service compared to pre-COVID:

Revenue service hours: 82%
Revenue service miles: 93%
Travel time and reliability
investments like transit-only
lanes have significantly
improved Muni efficiency.

The Central Subway opened for weekend service on November 19, 2022 and will open seven days per week in January. However, service on other Muni Metro lines remains below pre-pandemic levels, and most peak-period express services have not yet been restored. Additionally, some pre-existing routes have been modified, and new routes have been added, such as the 15 Bayview Hunters Point Express, which increases job access to Bayview residents.

In fall 2021, the agency completed a comprehensive public process to inform upcoming service changes, including the restoration of all pre-pandemic connections. The agency is committed to implementing these changes as soon as resources are available, and this will represent the new Muni system baseline service. Once implementation of this 2022 Muni Service Network has been completed, the agency will begin an updated service planning process that will take into account

¹ As estimated using Remix software. Note that these figures were used as projections for FY23 in the accompanying spreadsheet.





evolving travel patterns and trip choices, and will include additional community outreach. This process will be used to develop up to three route network "visions" that may serve as the basis for a future ballot measure or other strategy to increase operating revenues.

During the pandemic, the SFMTA also made policy and capital changes to improve transit speed and reliability, including major investments in transit-priority streets design (through the completion of the Van Ness Bus Rapid Transit project and Temporary Emergency Transit Lanes program, among others) and a shift from schedule-based to headway-based management of operations. The agency has also made major investments in State of Good Repair maintenance, including through its quarterly Fix-It-Week program that replaces late-night subway service with buses to extend the maintenance work window from seven to 10 days.

The most obvious change to demand for transit in San Francisco, and in turn to the Muni system, has been the reduction in demand for peak-period travel to and from downtown office buildings. At the same time, demand for all-day crosstown travel between neighborhoods has largely returned, in corridors such as Mission and 16th Street/Fillmore. Through fall of 2022, for example, the 22-Fillmore line averaged around 100% of pre-COVID ridership weekdays, and around 110% weekends.

As of October 2022, average weekday boardings systemwide had recovered to 58 percent of prepandemic levels. Weekend ridership, however, has recovered at a faster rate, to 71 percent of prepandemic levels.



3. Scenario Planning Concepts – FY 2023-24 Through FY 2027-28

This chapter describes how Muni service might change under the three five-year financial scenarios developed by MTC. The scenarios cover only a relatively narrow range of possibilities, and the MTC revenue projections associated with each scenario were escalated from Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 budgets based on historically low inflation rates. The scenarios are:

- Robust Recovery: There is adequate funding to return overall revenue to 100 percent of
 pre-pandemic levels, with escalation. Note that due to the inflation issue described above,
 MTC's revenue projections for this, its best-case scenario, actually amount to a decrease in
 funding from current levels.
- Revenue Recovery, with Fewer Riders: Federal relief funds are eventually exhausted, although other funds recover to pre-pandemic levels. However, farebox revenue remains stagnant (20-50 percent below pre-pandemic levels, depending on current status) for the next five years.
- Some Progress: Federal relief funds are eventually exhausted and total revenue available to
 the agency is 15 percent below pre-pandemic levels for the next five years. This, the MTC's
 worst-case scenario, does not assume a reduction in revenues as severe as might be
 possible given current trends.

Following are brief descriptions of potential service changes under each of MTC's financial scenarios. It should be emphasized that MTC's scenarios assumes only relatively modest changes to revenue – neither increases (its best-case projections for FY24 are actually below the SFMTA's adopted budget for FY23), nor decreases on the scale of what might be possible under the "fiscal cliff" scenario described below. For this reason, the service changes described below include neither expanded service nor a reduction in revenues so severe that suspension of routes might be necessary.

Robust Recovery

Although this scenario is described by MTC as "robust," the revenue projections developed by MTC actually represent a slight reduction in revenues. Therefore, this scenario would not allow for what the SFMTA or San Francisco might describe as a "robust recovery" allowing the agency to expand service to address climate and equity goals. Under this scenario, operating revenues would remain close to current levels, and little change to service would be required. The 2022 Muni Service



Network would remain in place with only minor adjustments based on the process described below (including analysis of demand and operational concerns, completion of capital projects and staff availability).

Revenue Recovery, with Fewer Riders

Under this and to a greater extent under the following scenario, service would have to be reduced. As was previously noted, it is unlikely that routes currently in operation or currently planned to return to operation would be suspended unless revenues were to be reduced substantially. However, under this scenario, reductions to service levels (including both frequencies and spans of service) would have to be made based on analysis of relative demand. Service levels on routes with high ridership would be protected to the extent possible.

Some Progress

Under this scenario, additional reductions in service would be required. It may be necessary to reduce service on relatively high-ridership local routes in corridors where Rapid service also operates.

The SFMTA Transit Division's priorities and goals for Muni service would remain the same under any scenario, as they are based on adopted plans and policies including the City and County of San Francisco's Transit First Policy, the SFMTA Strategic Plan, and the SFMTA Service Equity Strategy. Additionally, until the local economy has fully recovered from the COVID-19 pandemic, the SFMTA will continue to prioritize service supporting that recovery, including full restoration of downtown employment.

As was noted in the previous chapter, in fall 2021 the agency completed a comprehensive public process to inform upcoming service changes. The 2022 Muni Service Network that resulted from this process is now in the process of being implemented. SFMTA is committed to expanding service beyond the 2022 Muni Service Network if additional funding becomes available, as more service is needed to meet our long-term equity and climate goals. The SFMTA's current focus is excellent, reliable service delivery; safety and security for our workforce and passengers; improved state of good repair; and new revenue strategies. We are doing contingency planning for all likely revenue scenarios, ranging from service levels better than pre-COVID to stabilization of service If no new funding sources are found to minimize impact of our coming "fiscal cliff."

Implementation of the 2022 Muni Service Network has been delayed by shortages of both transit operators and mechanics, due to high pre-pandemic vacancies and the impacts of the pandemic on



hiring plans. The SFMTA currently anticipates full implementation of the plan in 2024. However, the agency currently projects a Fiscal Year 2025 deficit of \$76 million, and as part of regular fiscal reviews, controls will be put in place as warranted, potentially including delays in hiring.

Once implementation of the 2022 Muni Service Network has been completed, the agency will begin an updated service planning process that will take into account evolving travel patterns and trip choices, and will include additional community outreach. This process will be used to develop up to three route network "visions" that may serve as the basis for a future ballot measure or other strategies to increase operating revenues.

In the meantime, service changes will depend on whether demand and revenues increase or decrease. The process for proposing service changes based on increases or decreases in demand and/or revenues is described in the following paragraphs.

If the status quo were to more or less continue -- if both revenues and levels and patterns of demand were to remain relatively constant minor service changes would generally be made three times per year (winter, summer and fall), as was the pre-pandemic practice. In addition to the priorities and goals described above, changes made as part of this regular cycle would typically be based on factors including demand (loads), operational issues (such as subfleet availability and allocation of service by operating division), completion of capital projects² and, as previously noted, staff availability. Such changes would necessarily be revenue neutral, meaning resources would be reallocated to address needs while minimizing negative impacts.

If a major increase in demand did occur -- for example an increase in downtown office occupancy rates -- but there were no major changes in revenue, then trade-offs might have to be made, for example reductions in service in some places to offset increases elsewhere. Note, however, that increasing demand would increase farebox revenues, mitigating this problem somewhat, although historically the farebox has covered less than 30% of Muni's cost of delivering service.

If demand for transit were to increase while, at the same time, SFMTA revenues were to decrease substantially, then the agency might be unable to meet demand. There is some concern that the City's General Fund, a major source of operating revenues, might be significantly impacted in the near term by factors including a significant reduction of tax revenues from offices, hotels, and convention-related business compared to pre-pandemic levels, a potential national recession, rising interest rates, ongoing inflation, and/or impacts from the Ukraine war. This situation has been described by some as a "fiscal cliff."

² The SFMTA will soon be making major service changes based on completion of a major capital project, the Central Subway. However, upon its completion, the agency will have no major capital expansion projects under construction.



If revenues were to increase, then SFMTA staff would respond with new service plans. Internal discussions have already occurred regarding potential changes to service to reflect post-pandemic travel trends. Changes discussed include expanding all-day, two-way limited-stop Rapid service as an alternative to traditional peak-period, peak-direction express services and implementation of the "Five-Minute Network" of frequent routes throughout the city developed as part of the ConnectSF medium- and long-term planning process. However, most of these changes would require additional revenues. Implementation of new Rapid services such as the 1R California Rapid or 29R Sunset Rapid routes would require a additional revenues for operating costs. Implementation of new Rapid services would also require capital investments to support expanded service, including the addition of transit priority infrastructure along any corridor providing a combined five-minute service or better. (The Five-Minute Network is described here: https://connectsf.org/wp-content/uploads/ConnectSF Transit Strategy FINAL-20211209-1.pdf)

A significant reduction in revenues, meanwhile, could result in service plans similar to those implemented during the pandemic (detailed information on changes to Muni service during the pandemic can be found at https://www.sfmta.com/project-updates/covid-19-related-service-changes). Pandemic-era service changes were based in part on COVID-19-specific concerns such as physical distancing and access to medical facilities. However, they were also based on both limited demand and limited revenues.

Initially, this resulted in the Core Service Network described in the previous chapter, 17 Muni routes in major corridors providing basic coverage throughout the city. The series of service increases that followed were based on varying funding levels, and that process of calibrating service plans to funding levels would inform any future planning for reduced funding.

At the same time, pandemic-era service changes were based on limited demand, in particular for peak service to and from downtown. Replacing rail service with less expensive bus service was feasible because less capacity was needed in these corridors at peak times. Even today, rail service is operating at lower levels than prior to the pandemic. If downtown office occupancy rates were to increase substantially, more capacity would be needed (although as previously noted, more farebox revenue would also be available).

The Muni system as it existed in Spring and early Summer 2022, prior to the major service changes implemented in July, might serve as a guide for a reduced network. In July, several routes that had been suspended throughout the pandemic were partly or fully reintroduced, while other routes were modified.





However, if revenues were not substantially reduced, staff would seek to ensure that services were not suspended. Instead, service changes would likely take the form of changes to service levels – headways and spans of service. As MTC's financial scenarios cover only a relatively narrow range of possibilities, and do not include a "fiscal cliff" scenario under which revenues would be substantially reduced, the service changes envisioned under each scenario above are limited to changes to service levels.

Additionally, it should be reiterated that Muni service was actually expanded in key areas during the pandemic, for example by introducing a new Route 15 Bayview Hunters Point Express, and it is unlikely that these changes would be reversed, as they were developed in response to changing demand and an increased focus on equity. (For this reason, even if funding for operations were restored to 100 percent of pre-pandemic levels plus escalation, the Muni system would likely not be identical to what existed prior to the pandemic.)

In the attached spreadsheet, future year projections of revenue hours and revenue miles vary by scenario, but are held constant year over year, as annual increases in operating cost per hour for each mode are assumed to reflect MTC's assumed escalation rate for overall operating budgets of 2.2 percent. (Note that because MTC's projected operating budgets for FY24 are lower than the SFMTA's adopted budget for FY23 under every scenario, numbers of hours and miles are initially reduced under every scenario.) Numbers of routes, route miles, total vehicles, maximum vehicles in service and numbers of employees, meanwhile, are held constant at FY23 levels across all scenarios and over time. This is because, as was previously stated, any changes based on reductions in revenue on the scale of that envisioned by the MTC scenarios would likely take the form of service reductions, or longer headways and/or shorter spans (the maximum number of vehicles in service would, in reality, be reduced in this case, but as there is not yet a service plan, no projections have been developed). While reduced revenues could result in a reduction in fleet and staff sizes, the SFMTA would strive to avoid any reduction in these critical resources. Finally, ridership forecasts for Scenario 2 are consistent with MTC guidance, while ridership forecasts for Scenarios 1 and 3 are based on revenues.





Appendix: Bus Stop Guidelines Update

The SFMTA's Bus Stop Guidelines, initially developed in 1990 and most recently updated in 2011, provide guidance for the location and design of Muni stops. Excerpts from the Bus Stop Guidelines are typically published in the Short Range Transit Plan. The following language is proposed to be added to the Guidelines:

Each transit stop in the system should have unobstructed access to the front door of the transit vehicle. At stops with access from the curb, at least 20 feet of clear curb should be available to provide unobstructed access to the front door of a bus or train. At existing stops without direct access to the curb, additional measures should be taken to provide unobstructed access to the front door of the transit vehicle, such as installing full-length bus zones or constructing transit bulbs. These additional measures will be implemented over time, with stops prioritized for implementation by SFMTA Accessible Services based on a data-driven process and requests from the public, particularly seniors and persons with disabilities.

Actuals Budgeted Forecast under provided revenue envelope Forecast under provided revenue envelope Forecast under provided revenue envelope SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 1 SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 3 Prepandemic SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 2 Current FY28 FY19 FY24 FY25 FY24 FY25 FY27 FY28 FY24 FY25 FY26 FY28 Data Category (Annual amounts) FY23 FY26 FY26 Revenue Vehicle Hours 3,555,053 2,897,67 2,491,391 2,491,391 2,491,391 2,491,391 2,491,391 2,376,768 2,376,768 2,376,768 2,376,768 2,376,768 2,117,500 2,117,500 2,117,500 2,117,500 2,117,500 evenue Vehicle Miles 26,511,783 24,673,915 21,214,359 21,214,359 21,214,359 21,214,359 21,214,359 20,238,348 20,238,348 20,238,348 20,238,348 20,238,348 18,030,649 18,030,649 18,030,649 18,030,649 18,030,649 Number of Routes Operated 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 Total Route Miles 936.4 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 878.3 223,338,056 223.338.056 Ridership 223.338.056 147.862.072 223,338,056 223,338,056 223,338,056 156,336,639 156,184,506 156,336,639 156.336.639 156.336.639 189.837.348 189.837.348 189,837,348 189.837.348 189.837.348 \$855,787,700 \$1,085,917,087 \$954,200,000 \$975,100,000 \$1,018,500,000 \$1,040,900,000 \$910,300,000 \$930,300,000 \$950,800,000 \$971,700,000 \$993,100,000 \$811,000,000 \$828,900,000 \$847,100,000 \$884,800,000 Operating Budget \$996,600,000 \$865,700,000 Total Revenue Vehicles 1434 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1320 1006 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 826 Vehicles Required For Max Service 4906 4906 4906 4906 Employees (Full Time Equivalent) 4646 4906 4906 4906 4906 4906 4906 4906 4906 4906 4906 4906 4906

Please complete table in whole numbers and dollars for each service mode. Mode will be autopopulated based on completion of ReadMe Tab:

		Prepandemic	Current		SRTP Plar	nning Horizon - S	cenario 1			SRTP Plani	ning Horizon - Sco	enario 2		SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 3					
Mode	Data Category (Annual amounts)	FY19	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27 F	Y28	FY24 F	Y25 F	Y26 F	Y27	FY28	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	
Cable Car	Revenue Vehicle Hours	132,758	59,857	51,464	51,464	51,464	51,464	51,464	49,097	49,097	49,097	49,097	49,097	43,741	43,741	43,741	43,741	43,741	
Cable Car	Revenue Vehicle Miles	284,799	313,873	269,865	269,865	269,865	269,865	269,865	257,449	257,449	257,449	257,449	257,449	229,365	229,365	229,365	229,365	229,365	
Cable Car	Number of Routes Operated	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Cable Car	Total Route Miles	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	
Cable Car	Ridership	5,703,705	3,311,571	5,703,705	5,703,705	5,703,705	5,703,705	5,703,705	3,992,594	3,992,594	3,992,594	3,992,594	3,992,594	4,848,149	4,848,149	4,848,149	4,848,149	4,848,149	
Cable Car	Operating Budget	\$70,277,173	\$70,856,956	\$62,262,311	\$63,626,053	\$65,028,945	\$66,457,938	\$67,919,556	\$59,397,801	\$60,702,817	\$62,040,459	\$63,404,200	\$64,800,567	\$52,918,397	\$54,086,386	\$55,273,951	\$56,487,616	\$57,733,906	
Cable Car	Total Revenue Vehicles	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	
Cable Car	Vehicles Required For Max Service	27	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	
Cable Car	Employees (Full Time Equivalent)	417	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	448	

SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 2 SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 3 Prepandemic Current SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 1 FY19 FY23 FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27 FY28 FY24 FY25 FY26 FY28 FY24 FY25 FY26 FY28 Data Category (Annual amounts) Mode 102,644 231,864 140,462 120,768 120,768 120,768 120,768 120,768 115,211 115,211 115,211 115,211 115,213 102,644 102,644 102,644 102,644 **Demand Response** Revenue Vehicle Hours **Demand Response** Revenue Vehicle Miles 1,678,15 1,017,485 874,822 874,822 874,822 874,822 874,822 834,574 834,574 834,574 834,574 834,574 743,535 743,535 743,535 743,535 743,535 **Demand Response** Number of Routes Operated **Demand Response** otal Route Miles 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 **Demand Response** Ridership 401,197 183,864 401,197 401,197 401,197 401,197 401,197 280,838 128,705 280,838 280,838 280,838 341,017 341,017 341,017 341,017 341,01 \$21,940,403 \$28,525,866 \$24,946,773 \$25,494,873 \$26,056,675 \$27,215,907 \$24,247,945 Operating Budget \$29,759,559 \$26,149,852 \$26,722,617 \$27,911,994 \$26,629,440 \$22,716,006 \$23,214,777 \$23,724,510 **Demand Response** \$27,311,824 \$22,225,456 174 174 174 174 **Demand Response** otal Revenue Vehicles 160 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 **Demand Response** /ehicles Required For Max Service 134 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 Demand Response Employees (Full Time Equivalent) 262 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200

Mode
Light Rail (Metro)

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

		Prepandemic	Current		SRTP Plan	ning Horizon - Sc	enario 1			SRTP Plan	nning Horizon - Sc	enario 2		SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 3						
	Data Category (Annual amounts)	FY19	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26 F	Y27 I	FY28	FY24	FY25	FY26	Y27 F	Y28	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28		
)	Revenue Vehicle Hours	587,846	316,040	271,728	271,728	271,728	271,728	271,728	259,226	259,226	259,226	259,226	259,226	230,949	230,949	230,949	230,949	230,949		
)	Revenue Vehicle Miles	5,565,605	3,349,301	2,879,692	2,879,692	2,879,692	2,879,692	2,879,692	2,747,206	2,747,206	2,747,206	2,747,206	2,747,206	2,447,527	2,447,527	2,447,527	2,447,527	2,447,527		
)	Number of Routes Operated	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
)	Total Route Miles	64.4	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7	58.7		
)	Ridership	49,795,740	24,481,568	49,795,740	49,795,740	49,795,740	49,795,740	49,795,740	34,857,018	34,857,018	34,857,018	34,857,018	34,857,018	42,326,379	42,326,379	42,326,379	42,326,379	42,326,379		
)	Operating Budget	\$210,499,148	\$253,181,451	\$222,471,626	\$227,344,459	\$232,357,181	\$237,463,164	\$242,685,722	\$212,236,346	\$216,899,344	\$221,678,916	\$226,551,749	\$231,541,157	\$189,084,562	\$193,257,945	\$197,501,273	\$201,837,861	\$206,291,024		
)	Total Revenue Vehicles	209	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219		
)	Vehicles Required For Max Service	146	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132		
)	Employees (Full Time Equivalent)	1,043	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120		

Mode Motorbus Motorbus Motorbus Motorbus

	Prepandemic	Current		SRTP Pla	nning Horizon - S	cenario 1			SRTP Pla	nning Horizon - S	cenario 2		SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 3					
Data Category (Annual amounts)	FY19	FY23	FY24 F	Y25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	
Revenue Vehicle Hours	1,714,493	1,558,367	1,339,867	1,339,867	1,339,867	1,339,867	1,339,867	1,278,223	1,278,223	1,278,223	1,278,223	1,278,223	1,138,788	1,138,788	1,138,788	1,138,788	1,138,788	
Revenue Vehicle Miles	13,259,120	14,186,761	12,197,620	12,197,620	12,197,620	12,197,620	12,197,620	11,636,443	11,636,443	11,636,443	11,636,443	11,636,443	10,367,082	10,367,082	10,367,082	10,367,082	10,367,082	
Number of Routes Operated	48	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	
Total Route Miles	681.2	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	647.6	

Motorbus	
Motorbus	
Motorbus	
Motorbus	
Motorbus	

Ridership	110,802,986	74,619,136	110,802,986	110,802,986	110,802,986	110,802,986	110,802,986	77,562,090	77,562,090	77,562,090	77,562,090	77,562,090	94,182,538	94,182,538	94,182,538	94,182,538	94,182,538
Operating Budget	\$353,352,973	\$494,743,812	\$434,733,509	\$444,255,549	\$454,050,948	\$464,028,588	\$474,234,028	\$414,732,669	\$423,844,669	\$433,184,469	\$442,706,509	\$452,456,348	\$369,491,591	\$377,646,830	\$385,938,750	\$394,412,910	\$403,114,870
Total Revenue Vehicles	673	578	578	578	578	578	578	578	578	578	578	578	578	578	578	578	578
Vehicles Required For Max Service	484	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373
Employees (Full Time Equivalent)	1,824	1,959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959

Mode Streetcar (Historic) Streetcar (Historic)

		Prepandemic	Current		SRTP Plar	nning Horizon - S	cenario 1			SRTP Pla	nning Horizon - S	cenario 2		SRTP Planning Horizon - Scenario 3						
	Data Category (Annual amounts)	FY19	FY23	FY24 F	Y25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28		
c)	Revenue Vehicle Hours	98,760	43,262	37,196	37,196	37,196	37,196	37,196	35,485	35,485	35,485	35,485	35,485	31,614	31,614	31,614	31,614	31,614		
c)	Revenue Vehicle Miles	522,204	241,226	207,403	207,403	207,403	207,403	207,403	197,861	197,861	197,861	197,861	197,861	176,278	176,278	176,278	176,278	176,278		
c)	Number of Routes Operated	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
c)	Total Route Miles	18.7	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.2		
c)	Ridership	7,386,518	4,600,935	7,386,518	7,386,518	7,386,518	7,386,518	7,386,518	5,170,563	5,170,563	5,170,563	5,170,563	5,170,563	6,278,540	6,278,540	6,278,540	6,278,540	6,278,540		
c)	Operating Budget	\$30,185,360	\$32,807,963	\$28,828,497	\$29,459,933	\$30,109,495	\$30,771,143	\$31,447,897	\$27,502,181	\$28,106,425	\$28,725,776	\$29,357,211	\$30,003,753	\$24,502,108	\$25,042,907	\$25,592,769	\$26,154,716	\$26,731,769		
:)	Total Revenue Vehicles	47	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31		
c)	Vehicles Required For Max Service	22	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12		
:)	Employees (Full Time Equivalent)	203	218	218	218	218	218	218	218	218	218	218	218	218	218	218	218	218		

Mode
Trolleybus
Trolleybus
Trolleybus
Trolleybus
Trolleybus
Trolleybus
Trolleybus
Trolleybus
Trolleybus

	Prepandemic	Current		SRTP Pla	nning Horizon - S	cenario 1			SRTP Pla	nning Horizon - S	cenario 2			SRTP Pla	nning Horizon - S	cenario 3	
Data Category (Annual amounts)	FY19	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28
Revenue Vehicle Hours	789,332	779,689	670,368	670,368	670,368	670,368	670,368	639,526	639,526	639,526	639,526	639,526	569,764	569,764	569,764	569,764	569,7
Revenue Vehicle Miles	5,201,899	5,565,269	4,784,957	4,784,957	4,784,957	4,784,957	4,784,957	4,564,815	4,564,815	4,564,815	4,564,815	4,564,815	4,066,862	4,066,862	4,066,862	4,066,862	4,066,86
Number of Routes Operated	16	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	
Total Route Miles	163.3	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153.0	153
Ridership	49,247,910	40,664,999	49,247,910	49,247,910	49,247,910	49,247,910	49,247,910	34,473,537	34,473,537	34,473,537	34,473,537	34,473,537	41,860,724	41,860,724	41,860,724	41,860,724	41,860,72
Operating Budget	\$169,532,643	\$204,567,346	\$179,754,204	\$183,691,390	\$187,741,605	\$191,867,173	\$196,086,932	\$171,484,230	\$175,251,872	\$179,113,705	\$183,050,891	\$187,082,268	\$152,777,887	\$156,149,926	\$159,578,481	\$163,082,388	\$166,680,48
Total Revenue Vehicles	305	278	278	278	278	278	278	278	278	278	278	278	278	278	278	278	27
Vehicles Required For Max Service	193	191	. 191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	1
Employees (Full Time Equivalent)	896	962	962	962	962	962	962	962	962	962	962	962	962	962	962	962	96