

SFMTA Municipal Transportation Agency





San Francisco Planning Department

T-Third – Phase 3 Concept Study

December 2, 2014



Origins of the Study

- Interest in the extension due to T-Third Phase 2 (Central Subway) project – especially after tunnel boring machines reach North Beach
- Interest from the Board of Supervisors
- Funds approved for limited consultant assistance by SFCTA Board – March 2014
 - Three agency team: SFMTA, SFCTA and SF Planning Department
- Concept Study started in March 2014 and a draft report completed in October 2014



Concept Alignments





Concept Alignments

Number	1-1	1-2	2A-1	2A-2	2A-3	2A-4	2A-5	2A-6	2B-1	2B-2	2B-3		2B-4		3-1	3-2
Street (s)	Columbus		Powell						Powell-Beach					One-Way Loop		
Subway / Surface	Subway / Surface	Subway	Subway / Surface	Subway	Subway / Surface	Subway	Subway / Surface	Subway	Subway / Surface	Subway	EASIBLE		EASIBLE	/	Subway / Surface / Subway	Subway
Station (s)	North Beach Conrad Square	North Beach Conrad Square	North Beach Kirkland Yard	North Beach Kirkland Yard	North Beach Kirkland Yard			Kirkland Yard	North Beach Kirkland Yard Conrad Square		Kii 5	h I d K re Ci	NOT FI		North Beach Kirkland Yard Conrad Square	

Data Highlights

- **4** Corridors
- **14 Concept Alignments**
- 2 or 3 stations
- Subway and Subway-Surface combination







Constructability Issues



Areas of possible liquefaction

Data Highlight

No Fatal Flaws

Areas at risk with sea-level rise





Travel Time Estimates





Travel Time Comparison

Data Highlight

50% - 65% quicker than existing transit service





Daily Ridership Estimates

Data Highlights

- 55% increase in T-Line passenger levels
- At least 1/4 of the increase is from new trips on the Muni system
- Up to 3/4 of new trips are passengers moving to the T-Line, relieving overcrowding and pass-ups on buses, cable cars, and the F-Line





Peak Ridership and Capacity

Data Highlights

- At the maximum load point (MLP) T-Line trains spaced no more than 3 minutes apart will be required to meet projected passenger levels
- Peak ridership changes less than daily ridership due to the all-day nature of demand in these neighborhoods.
- By 2030, T-Line trains are scheduled at 2.5 minutes apart in the peak period

T-Third Concept Alignment 1-2 Peak Hr. / Peak Direction Ridership Estimates





Existing Land Use





Value Capture Opportunities

Estimated Bonding Capacity of local financing mechanisms*

Concept Alignment 1-2 analysis (* all figures in 2014 dollars)



Data Highlights

- CFD = \$180-\$220 million
 - 2/3 voter approval
- IFD = \$100-\$110 million
 - 55% voter approval
- SpAD = \$90 million in
 simple majority approval
- Modest revenue differences between current zoning and limited zoning increases



Capital Costs (2014 \$ Estimate)





Cost / Benefit Analysis

Central Subway Phase III Cost Effectiveness with Federal Transit Administration New Starts Breakpoints



Data Highlight
\$1.78* - \$2.87* = 2040 projected cost per new trip – (FTA Formula) Concept Alignment 1-2 (low cost and high cost estimate)
* Costs are in 2014 dollars



Potential Funding

Federal Sources

Federal Transit Administration (FTA)

New Starts program

Land Use Value Capture

Infrastructure Finance District (IFD) Community Facilities District (Mello-Roos) (CFD) Special Assessment District (SpAD)

Local Sources

Sales Tax (Proposition K) Future Transportation Funding Measures

Other Sources

Joint Development?



North Beach Station





Summary Evaluation

Of Concept Alternatives

Number	1-1	1-2	2A-1	2A-2	2A-3	2A-4	2A-5	2A-6	2B-1	2B-2	2B-3	2B-4	3-1	3-2
Street (s)	Colur	nbus	Powell						Powell	One-Way Loop				
Subway / Surface	Subway / Surface	Subway	Subway / Surface	Subway	Subway / Surface / Subway	Subway / Surface	Subway / Surface / Subway	Subway						
Station (s)	North Beach Conrad Square	North Beach Conrad Square	North Beach Kirkland Yard	North Beach Kirkland Yard Conrad Square	North Beach Kirkland Yard Conrad Square		North Beach Kirkland Yard Conrad Square		North Beach Kirkland Yard Conrad Square					
Passenger Experience	0	+	0	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	NF	NF	0	+
Operational Efficiency	-	+	-	+	-	0	-	-	-	+	NF	NF	+	+
System Performance	0	+	0	+	0	+	-	-	0	+	NF	NF	+	+
Local Operations	-	+	-	+	-	0	-	-	-	+	NF	NF	-	+
Infrastructure Resiliency	+	+	0	+	0	-	0	-	0	+	NF	NF	0	0
Construction Disturbance	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	NF	NF	-	-
Capital Const. Cost and Risk	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	-	NF	NF	+	0
Total	-1	5	-2	5	-3	-2	-4	-6	-3	3	NF	NF	1	3
Capital Cost (2014 \$\$) (millions)	407- 482	848- 933	367- 442	837- 912	406- 480	875- 950	454- 529	924- 999	443- 518	1,333- 1,408	NF	NF	496- 571	1,087- 1,139
Constructability Rating	4	5	3/4	4	3/4	2	3/4	2	3/4	4	1	2	3	3/4



Concept Alignments





Next Steps

