# **2017 SFMTA Facilities Framework**





Muni Metro Ea, f, Photograph by IDEO, Cover. Presidio

1\_1

N 10

P

AR

.

1

P

G

-

00

## Contents

Report	
Executive Summary	
Facilities	
Facility Framework	
Recommended Next Steps	

#### Appendix 1: Staff and Fleet Data 39

Agency	40
Staff	
Transit Fleets	44
Transit Fleet Growth and Facility Capacity Analysis	46
Non-Revenue Vehicle Fleet	

#### Appendix 2: Framework Standards 55

Staff an	d Fleet Space	Planning	Standards	 6
otan an	a ricor opuoe	, i iaining	olanda do	 <u> </u>

#### Appendix 3: Facility Data and Studies\_\_\_\_63

Мар	64
South Van Ness	68
Market	
Flynn	72
Islais Creek	74
Kirkland	
Woods	80
Potential New Facility	
Potrero	
Presidio	
Cable Car Barn	
Cameron Beach and Upper Yard	102
Green and Annex	104

Muni Metro East	106
Paratransit	
Bancroft	
Bayshore	
Bryant	
Burke	
Lenox	
Marin	
Pennsylvania	
Scott	
Yosemite	
Enforcement	

#### Appendix 4: Facility Condition Assessment 141

Condition Assessment Process	142
Findings and Prioritizing Maintenance Needs	
Maintenance Costs and Planning	146

#### 

Framework Cost Analysis	
Project Budget Estimates	

#### Appendix 6: Participants & Materials \_\_\_\_\_167

Participants	168
Workshops and Briefing	
Workshop #1	171
Workshop #2	174
Executive Briefing on Organizational Management	179
Executive Briefing on Facilities Framework	185
Reference Materials	191
Vision Report	192



Photograph by Vincent Bloch, via Wikimedia Commons

## Report

## **Executive Summary**

This document describes highlights of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency SFMTA 2015-47: Facilities Assessment, Workplace Planning and Organizational Assessment. It summarizes facility assessment and planning by Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, EMG facility condition assessment team, and TBD cost consultants. Separate documents describe the facility management organizational analysis by IDEO, the facility condition assessment by EMG, and a facility peer review by WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff.

#### **Purpose and Process**

To support its strategic goal to "(c)reate a workplace that delivers outstanding service" the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) requested a Facility Condition Assessment (FCA) to identify deficiencies and associated costs as a basis for budgeting and prioritizing improvements as well as assistance in identifying major space planning opportunities and ways to improve processes for facility planning and management.

The assignment included extensive interviews with SFMTA staff, tours of facilities, and review of key background documents, starting with the 2013 Real Estate and Facilities Vision for the 21st Century and the 2014 Addendum (Vision Report). The consultants held two major workshops with SFMTA staff in late 2015 and early 2016, and workshops in mid 2016 regarding the potential New Facility program and in late 2016 regarding the risks of various facility planning scenarios. They coordinated

weekly with the facilities core team, and met with members of the executive team to receive input and direction at key decision points (see "Participants" Section starting on page 168).

This report summarizes highlights of team findings, as follows:

- Facility Condition Assessment
- Planning Objectives and Principles
- Needs and Opportunities
- Facility Framework
- Recommended Next Steps

The Appendices contain supporting materials including:

- Appendix 1: Staff and Fleet Data
- Appendix 2: Framework Standards: A summary of the space standards used for this report
- Appendix 3: Facility Data and Studies: Individual facility spreads with facility data, observations, planned 2040 use, and planning studies
- Appendix 4: Facility Condition Assessment: A summary of the findings, priorities, and budget
- Appendix 5: Framework Cost Analysis: A comparison of the budget level costs of the scenarios in this report
- Appendix 6: Participants & Materials: A list of the project participants, workshops, presentations, and resource materials



1

-2-

A list of SFMTA facilities covered in this report is included on page 14. For simplicity facilities are typically referred to by their street names throughout the report.

#### **Facility Condition Assessment Findings**

EMG assessed 18 SFMTA facilities. SFMTA is continuing to establish weighting and prioritization factors for both deferred maintenance backlog and preventive maintenance, and will use the FCA data in budgeting, grant preparation, and facility improvement planning.

#### **Planning Objectives and Principles**

**Function/Efficiency**: Provide functional workspace that is based on needs and fosters operational efficiency.

**Quality of Environment**: Provide workspace that supports staff health, safety, and productivity and is sustainable.

**Cost Effectiveness/Value for Investment**: Optimize use of SFMTA buildings and grounds and maintain a cost effective mix of owned and leased space.

**Coordinated Asset Management**: Strengthen organizational structure, communication, and processes to support coordinated facility planning and management.

Additional Planning Principles: Improve facility planning, management, and utilization.

#### Facility Improvement Phasing Principles

Short Term Emphasis: Create deferred and preventive mainte-

nance programs with accurate cost estimates informed by the FCA, according to clearly established priorities.

**Mid-Term Emphasis**: Coordinate facility renovation program to accommodate new needs and issues, including fleet growth and rehabilitation of existing facilities for today's operational needs. Make incremental investments that build toward a longterm direction.

**Long-Term Direction**: Outline a flexible framework for planning and investing in facilities, anticipating possible changes in monetary resources, market conditions, external environment, and operational need.

#### Needs and Opportunities

SFMTA is increasing its transit fleets to meet growing transportation demands. By 2025 it will have 55 more motor and trolley coaches and 12 more light rail vehicles than can fit in its current facilities; by 2030 the numbers increase to 62 and 46. In addition its oldest transit facilities—Potrero, Presidio and Kirkland—were not built for modern needs and are at the end of their useful lives, and its Enforcement and Paratransit facilities are overcrowded and outdated. SFMTA's facility needs include expanded and modern Transit, Enforcement, and Paratransit facilities.

Since completing the *Vision Report* several new opportunities have emerged including the potential New Facility, jurisdictional transfer of 1200 15th Street to SFMTA and using it for Enforcement, and using MME expansion area for swing space prior to its planned rail use.

#### Short, Mid, and Long Term Planning Implementation

Short Term Planning	Short Term Improvements
Develop deferred and preventive maintenance program based on established priorities.	<ul> <li>Implement prioritized deferred and preventative maintenance.</li> </ul>
Mid Term Planning	Mid Term Improvements
<ul> <li>Renovate existing facilities to better support operations and accommodate changing needs.</li> <li>Coordinate renovations to build towards long-term plan.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Plan for expiring leases <ul> <li>Enforcement and Paratransit 2018, Yosemite 2019, Marin 2021.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Optimize office space for ongoing and surge needs <ul> <li>South Van Ness, Market, Bayshore, Pennsylvania &amp; Green Annex.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Consolidate shops; better match with NRV fleet <ul> <li>Renovate Burke for warehouse &amp; overhead lines.</li> <li>Renovate Bancroft and consider shared use.</li> <li>Consolidate rail machine shops at Green, and buildings grounds shops at Pennsylvania.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Long Term Planning	Long Term Improvements
<ul> <li>Use a flexible framework for planning and investing in facilities.</li> <li>Expand or acquire facilities to accommodate growth and replace leased facilities.</li> <li>Replace deficient facilities and include transit oriented development.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Add space for growth and to replace leases.</li> <li>Expand or build new motor coach facility.</li> <li>Expand MME LRV storage.</li> <li>Consolidate Enforcement facilities.</li> <li>Plan for expiring leases space</li> <li>Market 2023, Bayshore 2032.</li> <li>Replace deficient facilities.</li> <li>Rebuild Potrero, Presidio, and Kirkland and include transit oriented development in rebuilds.</li> </ul>

#### **Facility Framework**

The Facilities Framework is intended to be a flexible and dynamic tool that provides alternatives to address SFMTA's facilities needs. Based on the agency's current needs and opportunities the team developed the following alternative scenarios all of which include rebuilding Potrero, Presidio, and Kirkland:

**Scenario 1 - New Facility**: Assumes a New Facility for Motor Coach, NRV Maintenance, Enforcement, Paratransit, and Training.

**Scenario 1A - New Facility**: Assumes jurisdictional transfer of 1200 15th Street to SFMTA and use of Scott and 15th Street for NRV Maintenance and Enforcement, and a smaller New Facility for Motor Coach and Paratransit.

**Scenario 1B -New Facility**: Assumes SFMTA provides or vendor continues to provide a Paratransit facility, use of Scott and 15th Street for NRV Maintenance and Enforcement, and a smaller New Facility for Motor Coach.

Scenario 2A and 2B - Maximize Existing Facilities: Assume more dense development of Presidio and Potrero sites; use of Scott and 15th Street for NRV Maintenance and Enforcement, and SFMTA or vendor Paratransit facility. Scenario 2A assumes the MME expansion area is used for swing while rebuilding Presidio and Potrero, whereas Scenario 2B assumes Kirkland and a lease space are used.

A component comparison starting on page 22 shows the alternative scenarios by major SFMTA components: yellow for Coach (both motor and trolley), green for Rail, blue for Streets, pink for Paratransit, and gray for Administration. **Motor and Trolley Coach**: All scenarios include redevelopment of Potrero, Presidio, and Kirkland sites; the differences are in the amount of transit and other development. All scenarios require lease space (Marin or alternate), with Scenarios 2A and 2B needing the longest leases.

**Rail**: All scenarios assume that track is added to MME for LRV fleet growth. Scenario 2A also proposes the addition of a trolley "swing" facility, which would afterwards convert to a "Back Shop".

**Enforcement**: Scenario 1 proposes Enforcement consolidation at the New Facility. All other scenarios assume Enforcement consolidation at Scott and 15th Street. The leases expire starting in 2018, so lease extensions or replacements are needed in any scenario.

**Non-Revenue Vehicle Maintenance**: In Scenario 1 NRV Maintenance is proposed to move to the New Facility. In all other scenarios it remains at Scott.

**Paratransit**: A broker manages Paratransit vendors including one which operates and maintains the SFMTA-owned fleet. In Scenario 1/1A the fleet would move to a New Facility. All other scenarios assume SFMTA provide or the vendor continue to provide a facility. The vendor leases expire in 2018, so an extensions or replacements are needed in any scenario.

Administration and Support: SFMTA administrative offices occupy most of One South Van Ness and lease space at Market. A phased re-stacking is underway to optimize space use and adjacencies. Other opportunities to better utilize space are described in the chart starting on page 67.

#### Scenario Summary

	Scenario 1	Scenario 1A	Scenario 1B	Scenario 2A	Scenario 2 B
New Facility	<ul> <li>coach</li> <li>paratransit</li> <li>NRV</li> <li>enforcement</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>coach</li> <li>paratransit</li> </ul>	• coach		
MME	• rail	• rail	• rail	• rail & swing	• rail
Potrero Presidio Kirkland	• rebuild	<ul><li>rebuild</li><li>training</li></ul>	<ul><li>rebuild</li><li>training</li></ul>	<ul><li>rebuild</li><li>training</li></ul>	<ul><li>rebuild</li><li>training</li></ul>
Scott	<ul> <li>vacate</li> </ul>	• NRV • enf parking	• NRV • enf parking	• NRV • enf parking	• NRV • enf parking
<b>15th St</b> (Currently occupied by Animal Care & Control; to be transfered to SFMTA)		<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>
Other			• paratransit	• paratransit	• paratransit

#### **Shortlisted Scenarios**

The Facilities Framework was presented to the SFMTA Executive Team in December 2016. Subsequent direction was to narrow from five scenarios to three: Scenarios 1A and 1B that have smaller new facilities and Scenario 2A that maximizes SFMTA's existing sites. Scenario 1 with the largest New Facility was eliminated because more cost effective alternatives were found for some components. NRV Maintenance will remain at Scott. Enforcement operations will be located at the adjacent 15th Street property (which will be vacated by Animal Care and Control and renovated) and Enforcement fleet will be located at Scott. Training will be located at a rebuilt Potrero. Scenario 2B which uses Kirkland and lease space for swing was eliminated because Scenario 2A which uses MME for swing is preferred.

#### **Recommended Next Steps**

To ensure that SFMTA has the facilities it needs to house, operate, and maintain its growing Transit fleets it must proceed expeditiously.

The chart on the following page outlines recommended steps to implement the Facilities Framework. The left column indicates the actions that already have been taken to reduce from five scenarios to three, and the other columns outline the key steps needed to select one scenario and move forward with implementation of the first projects.

**Maintenance**: Refine the Facility Condition Assessment priority list to update the Capital Improvement Plan and "bundle" related work into campaigns such as Roof and Fire/Life Safety.

**New Facility**: Continue to work with the developer team to establish Scenario 1A and 1B programs and facility performance criteria, confirm assumptions about purchase over ten years as the basis for a deal structure, and obtain updated cost information.

**Potrero, Presidio and Kirkland**: SFMTA is planning to issue an RFP for Transit Oriented Development (TOD) advisory services to evaluate the potential of incorporating TOD in the rebuilding of one or more of its coach facilities. Concurrently work with SF Planning Department regarding environmental review.

**Swing (Interim Needs)**: New Facility in Scenario 1A and 1B, or MME expansion area in Scenario 2A will be the location for an interim trolley coach facility while rebuilding Potrero and Presidio. Develop programmatic needs for both options.

**15th Street (Enforcement)**: Move ahead with 15th Street (ACC) property transfer and refine program and planning.

**Paratransit**: Because Paratransit is a long-term, federally mandated service, SFMTA is considering purchasing a Paratransit Facility rather than continuing to require that the Paratransit vendor provide a facility. Analyze options including Scenario 1A that includes Paratransit in the New Facility, and Scenarios 1B and 2A that entail purchasing a facility or vendor providing a facility.

**Periodic Update**: The Facilities Framework should be updated regularly - at least every four or five years when SFMTA updates its *Transit Fleet Management Plan*.

**Improve Facility Management and Space Utilization**: Moving forward SFMTA should improve both its facilities management and space utilization.

	Dec 2016 Executive Direction	Jan - Mar 2017 Refine & Analyze	Apr - Jun 2017 Refine & Analyze	Jul - Sep 2017 Refine & Analyze	Oct- Dec 2017 Executive Direction	Jan 2018 Start Project
Framework Scenarios	5 Scenarios 1, 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B	3 Scenarios 1A, 1B, 2A	2 Scenarios 1A or 1B, & 2A	2 Scenarios 1A or 1B, & 2A	1 Scenario	
MAINTENANCE		Update CIP Select and set up procurement				
NEW FACILITY	Eliminated Scenario 1		ize program including plans, costs and confi			
POTRERO PRESIDIO KIRKLAND		EIR Study RFP TOD Study RFP Due Diligence Reports		ial Study tial Study	Compare Scenarios refined scope, schedule, and budget Select Scenario	
SWING	Approved MME for swing; eliminated Scenario 2B	Define trolley swing needs for New Facility in Scenario 1A/1B and MME in Scenario 2A		g/rail and back shop ept for Scenario 2A		
15TH STREET (ENFORCEMENT)	Approved exchange of 15th St for Bryant St	Start jurisdictional transfer Refine program and concept	Select and set- up renovation procurement			
PARATRANSIT		Analyze and select preferred option and eliminate Sce- nario 1A or 1B				

#### **Recommended Next Steps**

### Facilities

SFMTA has facilities for its administration, transit fleets, streets, support, and enforcement functions. For clarity the facilities are grouped by function and typically referred to by their street names in the list below and throughout the report.

#### Administration

South Van Ness - 1/11 South Van Ness Avenue Market (Transit Management) - 1455 Market St

#### Motor Coach

Flynn - 1940 Harrison St Islais Creek - 1301 Cesar Chavez St Kirkland - 2301 Stockton St and 151 Beach St Woods - 1095 Indiana St

Trolley Coach

Potrero - *2500 Mariposa St* Presidio - 949 Presidio Ave

#### Rail

Cable Car Barn - *1201 Mason* St Cameron Beach - *2301 San Jose Ave* Green & Annex - *San Jose Ave 425 Geneva Ave* Muni Metro East- *601 25th St* 

#### Paratransit (Vendor)

Tunnel - 575 Tunnel Ave, Brisbane Industrial - 290 Industrial Way, Brisbane



#### Streets, Support and Enforcement

Bancroft (Sign & Meter) - 1508 Bancroft Ave Bayshore (Tow & Signal)- 2650 Bayshore, Daly City Bryant (Overhead Lines)- 1401 Bryant St Burke (Warehouse)- 1570/1580 Burke Ave Lenox (Transit Control) - 131 Lenox Way Marin (Swing Space) - 1399 Marin St Market (Transit Management) - 1455 Market St Pennsylvania (Offices & Shops) - 700 Pennsylvania Ave Scott (NRV Maintenance) - 1849 Harrison St Yosemite (Paint & NRV Parking) - 1528 Yosemite Ave Enforcement 505 7th Street (Office) 571 10th Street (Parking) 6th and Townsend (Parking)

2323 Cesar Chavez Street (Parking)

#### 7th Street (Tow)



## Facility Framework

#### **Facility Condition Assessment Findings**

EMG Corporation, specialist in facility condition assessment, undertook an assessment of 18 SFMTA facilities. Summary findings of identified deficiencies and estimated cost by building are contained in Appendix 4: Facility Condition Assessment starting on page 141.

More detail can be found in the separately bound EMG Executive Summary, as well as individual building reports, a year by year 20 year replacement reserve recommendation, a detailed spreadsheet listing almost 1200 individual recommended improvements, and other formats.

It should be noted that the initial cost data from EMG went through a review process by SFMTA and cost consultants TBD to add appropriate factors to correspond with San Francisco public procurement and SFMTA specific experience, and to adjust for inflation. EMG's estimates assume continuation of current functions over the twenty year time horizon, so as alternative functions are proposed, new cost estimates must be developed (for example proposed Burke renovation).

SFMTA is continuing to establish weighting and prioritization factors for both deferred maintenance backlog and preventive maintenance, and will continue to use the FCA data in ongoing budgeting, grant preparation, and facility improvement planning.

#### **Objectives and Principles**

The following facility planning principles are proposed to guide the Facilities Framework:

#### **Function/Efficiency**

- Provide functional space for operational efficiency
- Assign workspace based on functional need (similar functions get same workplace standard)
- Co-locate functions for collaboration
- Share support spaces

#### **Quality of Environment**

- Provide workspace that supports staff health, safety, and productivity
- Provide energy efficient and sustainable facilities

#### **Cost Effectiveness/Value for Investment**

- Optimize use of SFMTA buildings and grounds
- Consolidate and efficiently plan workspace
- Create flexible and adaptable workspace
- · Maintain cost effective mix of owned and leased space

#### **Coordinated Asset Management**

- Strengthen organizational structure and communication to support coordinated facility planning and management
- Regularly assess and update facility data
- · Prioritize projects to fit resources and needs



#### **Performance Measures for Planning and Management**

Currently the SFMTA planning function does not focus on facility-related performance measures; the closest to a facilities-related goal in the *FY 2013-FY2018 Strategic Plan* is "(c)reate a collaborative environment to support delivery of outstanding service". Part of coordinated asset management recommendation above should be the establishment and tracking of performance measures for facilities such as:

- Conformance with function-based workplace standards (refer to initial standards used to develop the framework in Appendix 2: Framework Standards)
- Space use efficiency (percent vacant and underutilized space, building net to gross ratio, square feet per employee)
- Occupancy cost (operating cost, occupancy cost per square foot, occupancy cost per employee)
- Churn cost (cost of moving employees for ongoing change and reorganization)

As described in the Facility Conditions Assessments Findings section SFMTA facilities have a large backlog of deferred maintenance, and receive little preventive maintenance. Before the assessment, data on buildings, systems and history of work orders resided mostly in the heads of the stationary engineers for various facilities, making it hard to pro-actively plan or objectively prioritize among competing needs.

#### **Opportunities for Improved Facilities**

Our assessment has identified significant opportunities to remedy deficiencies that have accumulated over time throughout SFMTA's portfolio of buildings, such as:

- Safety issues
- Physical deterioration and poor quality work environment
- Significant areas of vacant or underutilized space
- Space and operational inefficiencies resulting from dispersed locations
- Duplication of functions that might be consolidated or shared
- "Haves and have nots"- significant variation in size and quality of workspace, even comparing similar functions
- Lack of workplace standards

#### **Facility Improvement Phasing Principles**

**Short Term Emphasis**: Create deferred and preventive maintenance programs with accurate cost estimates informed by the Facility Condition Assessment, according to clearly established priorities:

- Safety (e.g. fire/life safety, fall protection)
- Regulatory Compliance (e.g. underground storage tanks)
- Risk Management (grievance, ADA, hazards)
- Service-Critical Improvements to Operational Capacity (e.g. equipment fit-out, lift replacement)
- Employee Morale (restrooms, lighting, HVAC)

**Mid-Term Emphasis**: Coordinate program to renovate facilities to accommodate new needs, including transit fleet replacement and growth, and the need to rehabilitate existing facilities for today's transportation operations.

 Make incremental investments that build toward a longterm recommended plan

**Long-Term Direction**: Outline a flexible framework for planning and investing in facilities anticipating possible changes in operational need, external environment, monetary resources, and market conditions.

- Replace deficient facilities to meet modern needs and accommodate fleet and staff growth
- Consider opportunities for joint development and/or disposition of property to supplement SFMTA revenues

#### Facility Framework for Long Term Planning

Since completing the *Vision Report*, some needs have not been addressed, and others have been newly identified. To optimize the use of SFMTA facilities and minimize capital expenditures, it is desirable to develop solutions for needs that are incremental steps toward a long term direction. A summary of the current needs, opportunities, and recommended long term use for each facility covered in this report is provided in the chart starting on page 67. The following is a list of current major needs:

- Motor Coach: Increased and accelerated fleet growth
- LRV: Increased and accelerated fleet growth
- Paratransit: Need for permanent solution
- Enforcement: Need for modern facility
- Training: Need for modern facility
- Overhead Lines: Need to vacate Bryant
- Presidio, Potrero, and Kirkland: Need to rebuild.

#### **Alternative Scenarios**

Because aspects of facility planning change over time, the Facility Framework is intended to be flexible and has five alternative strategies all of which include rebuilding Potrero, Presidio and Kirkland:

**Scenario 1 - New Facility**: Assumes a New Facility for Motor Coach, NRV Maintenance, Enforcement, Paratransit, and Training.

**Scenario 1A - New Facility**: Assumes jurisdictional transfer of 1200 15th Street to SFMTA and use of Scott and 15th Street for NRV Maintenance and Enforcement, and a smaller New Facility for Motor Coach and Paratransit.

**Scenario 1B -New Facility**: Assumes SFMTA provides or vendor continues to provide a Paratransit facility, use of Scott and 15th Street for NRV Maintenance and Enforcement, and a smaller New Facility for Motor Coach.

Scenario 2A and 2B - Maximize Existing Facilities: Assume more dense development of Presidio and Potrero sites; use of Scott and 15th Street for NRV Maintenance and Enforcement, and SFMTA or vendor Paratransit facility. Scenario 2A assumes the MME expansion area is used for swing while rebuilding Presidio and Potrero, whereas Scenario 2B assumes Kirkland and a lease space are used.

A comparison starting on page 22 shows the five alternative scenarios by major components of the SFMTA system: yellow for Coach (both motor and trolley coach), green for Rail, blue for Streets including Enforcement and Non-Revenue Vehicle (NRV), pink for Paratransit, and gray for Administrative.

- Top line is timing, by calendar year
- First row is fleet growth beyond 2017 facility capacity which drives fleet facility needs
- Lease expirations are noted: Enforcement and Paratransit in 2018, Yosemite 2019, Marin in 2021, Market in 2023, Bayshore in 2032

**Motor and Trolley Coach:** All scenarios include redevelopment of Potrero, Presidio, and Kirkland sites; the differences are in the amount of transit and other development. All scenarios require some extension of the Marin lease, with Scenarios 2A and 2B needing the longest lease extensions.

**Rail:** All scenarios assume that track is added to MME for LRV fleet growth. Scenario 2A also proposes interim use of a portion of the MME expansion area for trolley "swing" facility, which would afterwards convert to long-term use as a "Back Shop".

**Enforcement:** Scenario 1 proposes Enforcement consolidation at the New Facility. All other scenarios assume SFMTA exchanges Bryant St for 15th Street and Enforcement consolidation at Scott and 15th Street. The leases expire starting in 2018, so lease extensions or replacements are needed in any scenario.

**Non-Revenue Vehicle Maintenance:** In Scenario 1 NRV Maintenance is proposed to move to a New Facility. In all other scenarios, it remains at Scott.

**Paratransit:** A broker manages Paratransit vendors including one which operates and maintains the SFMTA owned fleet. Currently the vendor provides a facility for the SFMTA fleet as part of its contract. Because Paratransit is a long-term, federally mandated service, SFMTA is considering purchasing a facility rather than continuing to require that the vendor provide a facility. In Scenario 1/1A the fleet would move to the New Facility. All other scenarios assume SFMTA provides, or vendor continues to provide a facility. The vendor leases expire in 2018, so an extension or replacement are needed in any scenario.

Administration and Support: SFMTA administrative offices occupy most of One South Van Ness as well as leased space at Market. SFPW studies address re-stacking and improved utilization of these offices, and Pennsylvania for Maintenance of Way Engineering, as well as renovation of Burke for Overhead Lines and the Central Warehouse.

The chart starting on page 67 describes other opportunities to better utilize space including using underutilized space for unmet needs and consolidating support functions.

#### Component Comparison

		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Projected fleet 2017 facili	growth beyond ty capacity				14 Motor Coach 1 LRV					55 Motor Coach 12 LRV	
Update Facili based on upd		Select one Scenario			Update framework					Update framework	
		New Facility plan	ning				Ne	w Facility move-in			
	Scenario 1/1A/1B			Potrero planning		_				Potrero move-in	
						Marin lease	Presidio planning				
						expires				Kirkland planning	
Motor &		MME Swing plan	ning			<ul> <li>Scenario 1/1A/1B confirm need</li> </ul>	M	ME Swing move-in			
Trolley Coach	Scenario 2A				Potrero planning	Scenario					Potrero move-in
						2A/2B extend lease or move to		Presidio planning			
	Scenario 2B			Kirkland planning	g	alternate	Kirkland move-in				
							Potrero planning				
						_				Presidio planning	
	Scenario 1/1A/1B/2B	MME planning						MME move-in			
Rail	Scenario 2A										
- / .	Scenario 1	New Facility planning					Ne	w Facility move-in			
Enforcement	Scenario 1A/1B/2A/2B	Exchange Bryant St &15th St	leases expire Extend leases				15th	St & Scott move-in			
NRV	Scenario 1	New Facility plan	ning				Ne	w Facility move-in			
Maintenance	Scenario 1A/1B/2A/2B										
Shops	All Scenarios	Renovate Bancroft & Burke		Yosemite lease expires, extend							
Paratrana:+	Scenario 1	New Facility planning	i urutrumont				Ne	w Facility move in			
Paratransit	Scenario 1A/1B/2A/2B		leases expire Extend leases.								
Administration and Support	All Scenarios							Market lease expires			

2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040
			62 Motor Coach 46 LRV					87 Motor Coach 46 LRV					120 Motor Coach 46 LRV
			Update framework					Update framework					Update framework
	Presidio Move-in												
				Kirkland Move-in									
	1	Presidio move-in											
	Potrero move-in.												
				Presidio move-in									
		Convert swing to LRV storage/back shop											
					Bayshore lease expires								

#### **Shortlisted Scenarios**

The Facilities Framework was presented to the SFMTA Executive Team in December 2016. Subsequent direction was to narrow from five scenarios to three: Scenarios 1A and 1B that have smaller new facilities and Scenario 2A that maximizes SFMTA's existing sites. Scenario 1 with the largest New Facility was eliminated because more cost effective alternatives were found for some components. NRV Maintenance will remain at Scott. Enforcement operations will be located at the adjacent 15th Street property (which will be vacated by Animal Care and Control and renovated) and Enforcement fleet will be located at Scott. Training will be located at a rebuilt Potrero. Scenario 2B which uses Kirkland and lease space for swing was eliminated because Scenario 2A which uses MME for swing is preferred.

A comparison of the three SFMTA preferred scenarios starting on page 26 and can be summarized as follows:

- · Top line is timing, by calendar year
- First rows are lease expirations and fleet growth beyond 2017 facility capacity which drive facility needs
- · Left column and colors indicate the three alternative strategies
- Red numbered dots highlight major decision points (listed on the following page)
- Gray lettered dots highlight possible timing adjustments (listed on the following page)
- Red arrows indicate when 2025 coach fleet needs will be met.

The framework is intended to be a flexible and dynamic tool for ongoing planning based on regularly updated needs, opportunities, and constraints. It envisions the following milestones, decision points, and actions: **2017-2018**: Refine Scenarios 1A, 1B and 2A in conjunction with initial EIR and TOD studies, select preferred scenario and begin implementation of first projects.

**2019-23**: If Scenario 1A/1B design, build and move into New Facility, 15th Street, and MME rail expansion, and start Potrero rebuild. If Scenario 2A design, build and move into 15th Street and MME swing facility and start Potrero design.

**2024-29**: If Scenario 1A/1B, complete Potrero rebuild as trolley coach facility with larger development component and then rebuild Presidio. If Scenario 2A complete Potrero rebuild as larger motor and trolley coach facility with smaller development component, rebuild Presidio, and then convert MME swing facility to rail expansion and back shop.

2030-2040: All scenarios rebuild Kirkland.

#### **Timing Adjustments**

The framework assumes entitlement precedes projects and that projects have six year schedules. For design-bid-build projects this includes one year of pre-design and design procurement, two years of design, one year of permit and bid, and two years of construction. For TOD projects this includes one year of TOD procurement, three years of design and permit, and two years of construction. For smaller design-bid-build projects the duration could be reduced. For the largest TOD projects the duration may need to be increased. As each project scope is refined and procurement method selected, the project schedules should be refined.

Some possible timing adjustments to respond to funding constraints are listed on the following page.

#### Facility Framework Major Decision Points and Possible Timing Adjustments

#### **Major Decision Points**



Refine Scenarios 1A, 1B and 2A in conjunction with initial EIR and TOD studies and determine long term approach to Paratransit.

Select a Scenario.

2020, 2025, 2030, 2035, 2040 Review and update Facility Framework based on updated agency needs including changes to functions, operational needs, and staff and fleet projections.

#### **Possible Timing Adjustments**

- In Scenario 1A/1B rebuilds could be implemented more slowly.
- In Scenario 1A/1B MME track addition could be delayed 4-5 years if turn backs area used to supplement yard storage.
- C In all scenarios renovation of 15th St could be delayed if Enforcement leases extended.
- In all scenarios purchase of a Paratransit facility could be delayed if Paratransit leases extended.

#### Framework Scenario Comparison (See page 25 for red and gray dot notes)

Scenarios	Sites	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Lease /Last Option Expirations			Enforcement Paratransit	Yosemite		Marin					
Fleet in excess of 2016 Facilities					14 Coach 1 LRV					55 Coach	
Fleet & Facility Update		Select one Scenario			2 Update					2 Update	
	1. New Facility Coach & Paratransit	Terms/EIR Approval	Design/Permit		Bu	uild Move-In		Potrero Fleet at New Facility		Presidio Flee at New Facili	
Scenario 1A	2. 15th St Enforcement	Swap Bryant & 15th St	Design		Permit/Bid	Build		Move-In			
<ul> <li>New Coach &amp; Paratransit Facility</li> </ul>	3. MME Rail	Pre-Design & Procurement			Build		Move-In				
<ul> <li>Scott &amp; 15th St for NRV &amp; Enforcement</li> </ul>	4. Potrero Trolley & TOD			TOD RFP		Design/Permit		Build Move-In			
<ul> <li>Use New Facility as swing and rebuild</li> </ul>	5. Presidio Trolley & TOD						TOD RFP		Design/Per	rmit	Build
deficient yards	6. Kirkland Motor Coach							/		Pre-Design & Procurement	Design
ABCD	Marin or other lease						Vacate	/			
	1. New Facility Motor Coach	Terms/EIR Approval	Design,	/Permit	Bu	uild	Move-In	Potrero Fleet	at New Faci	lity	Presidio Flee at New Facili
Scenario 1B	2. 15th St Enforcement	Swap Bryant & 15th St	Design		Permit/Bid	В	uild	Move-In			
New Coach Facility	3. MME Rail	Pre-Design & Procurement	Design		Permit/Bid	Build		Move-In			
<ul> <li>Scott &amp; 15th St for NRV &amp; Enforcement</li> </ul>	4. Potrero Trolley & TOD			TOD RFP Design/Permit		Bu	Build Move-In				
Other for Paratransit	5. Presidio Trolley & TOD						TOD RFP		Design/Per	rmit	Build
<ul> <li>Use New Facility as swing and rebuild</li> </ul>	6. Kirkland Motor Coach									Pre-Design & Procurement	Design
deficient yards	7. Other Paratransit	Purchase									
ABCD	Marin or other lease						Vacate				
	1. 15th St Enforcement	Swap Bryant & 15th St	Des	ign	Permit/Bid	Bu	uild	Move-In			
Scenario 2A	2. MME Rail & Swing/Shop	Pre-Design & Procurement	Des	ign	Permit/Bid	Build		Move-In Potrero Fleet at MME			
<ul> <li>Scott &amp; 15th St for NRV &amp; Enforcement</li> </ul>	3. Potrero Trolley & TOD				TOD RFP	D RFP Design/Permit		t Build		Move-In	
Other for Paratransit	4. Presidio Trolley & TOD							TOD RFP	Design/Perm		it
<ul> <li>Use MME as swing and rebuild deficient</li> </ul>	5. Kirkland Motor Coach										Pre-Design & Procurement
yards	6. Other Paratransit	Purchase									
CD	Marin or other lease										

2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040
					Bayshore								
			62 Coach 46 LRV					87 Coach 46 LRV					120 Coach 46 LRV
			2 Update					2 Update					2 Update
		Kirkland Fleet	at New Facility										
	Move-In												
	Permit/Bid	В	uild	Move-In									
		Kirkland Fleet	at New Facility										
	Move-In												
	Permit/Bid	В	uild	Move-In									
Presidio Fl	leet at MME	LRV & back shop											
Βι	Build												
Des	Design		Βι	iild	Move-In								
			Kirkland Fle	eet at Lease	Vacate								

Scenario 1A New Coach & Paratransit Facility	Scenario 1B New Coach Facility
1. New Facility	1. New Facility
Coach & Paratransit	Coach
2. Scott & 15th St	2. Scott & 15th St
NRV & Enforcement	NRV & Enforcement
3. MME	3. MME
Rail	Rail
4. Potrero	4. Potrero
Trolley, Training & TOD	Trolley, Training & TOD
5. Presidio	5. Presidio
Trolley & TOD	Trolley & TOD
6. Kirkland	6. Kirkland
Motor Coach	Motor Coach
Swing: New Facility	7. Other Paratransit
	Swing: New Facility

**MME** - Add rail in expansion area. See "Muni Metro East" Section starting on page 106.



**Potrero** - Rebuild as a trolley coach facility with a couple floors of development above it. See "Potrero" Section starting on page 88.



**Presidio** - Rebuild as a trolley coach facility with multi-floor development adjacent to it fronting Geary Boulevard. See "Presidio" Section starting on page 94.



**Kirkland** -Rebuild coach facility. See ""Kirkland" Section starting on page 76.



#### Scenario 2A

Scenario 2A Maximize Existing Sites
1. Scott & 15th St NRV & Enforcement
2. MME Rail & Swing/Shop
3. Potrero Trolley, Training & TOD
4. Presidio Trolley & TOD
5. Kirkland Motor Coach
6. Other Paratransit
Swing: MME & Marin or other lease

**MME** - Build-out expansion area as a trolley swing facility and convert it to LRV storage and a back shop after rebuilding Potrero and Presidio. See "Muni Metro East" Section starting on page 106.



**Potrero** - Rebuild as a decked motor and trolley coach facility with a couple partial floors of development above it. See "Potrero" Section starting on page 88. **Presidio** - Rebuild as a decked trolley coach facility with multi-floor development adjacent to it fronting Geary Boulevard. See "Presidio" Section starting on page 94. **Kirkland** -Rebuild coach facility. See "Kirkland" Section starting on page 76.







#### Scenario Cost Analysis

Working with SFMTA, the consultant team developed a budget level analysis to compare scenario costs. The estimate for each scenario includes capital, maintenance/tenant improvement, and contingency costs. The estimates are based on the scopes and schedules described in this report. As more information is available, the estimates should be refined and updated.

The estimated cost of the three shortlisted scenarios are:

- Scenario 1A: \$1,170M capital cost / \$1,753M scenario cost
- Scenario 1B: \$1,065M capital cost / \$1,610M scenario cost
- Scenario 2A: \$867M capital cost / \$1,374M scenario cost

The chart on the following page is a comparison of budget level costs of the three shortlisted scenarios. The capital cost/ scenario cost for each scenario are shown in red. The component costs for each scenario are shown in black. The following describes what is included in the costs and their sources.

- Scenario Cost includes capital, maintenance/tenant improvement, and contingency costs
- **Capital Costs** include New Facility and other purchase costs, SFMTA project costs and lease savings. TOD Savings are not known at this time and thus not included
- **New Facility Costs** derived by SFMTA based on information from developer; assume purchase over ten years.
- SFMTA Project Costs developed by TBD Consultants; include hard and soft costs escalated to mid point of construction

- Lease Savings provided by SFMTA; are to 2040
- Maintenance/Tenant Improvement Costs include maintenance costs identified in the Facility Condition Assessment and an allowance for tenant improvements.
- Scenario Contingency is for EIR, Hazardous Materials Abatement, Unforeseen Conditions, etc

Appendix 5: Framework Cost Analysis starting on page 149 includes cost comparison for all five scenarios included in this report and the supporting back-up materials.

#### Scenario Cost Comparison Chart

	Scenario 1A \$1,170M / \$1,7	735M	Scenario 1B \$1,065M / \$1,	610M	<b>Scenario 2A</b> \$867M / \$1,374M		
New Facility	coach paratransit	\$577M \$135M	coach	\$579M			
MME	rail	\$39M	rail	\$39M	rail & swing	\$136M	
Potrero Presidio Kirkland	rebuilds training	\$419M \$18M	rebuilds training	\$419M \$18M	rebuilds training	\$687M \$18M	
Scott	NRV enf parking	\$0 \$0	NRV enf parking	\$0 \$0	NRV enf parking	\$0 \$0	
<b>15th St</b> (Currently occupied by Animal Care & Control; to be transfered to SFMTA)	enforcement	\$23M	enforcement	\$23M	enforcement	\$23M	
Other			paratransit	\$30M	paratransit	\$30M	
Lease Savings		-\$41M		-\$43M		-\$27M	

### **Recommended Next Steps**

#### **Facility Framework**

#### **Near Term Facility Framework Refinement**

To ensure that SFMTA has adequate facilities to house, operate, and maintain its new and growing Transit fleets it must proceed expeditiously. The first steps entail refinement of the shortlisted scenarios in conjunction with the transit oriented development (TOD) study and environmental review (EIR) initial study. By coordinating these efforts SFMTA will be better able to anticipate and mitigate potential negative impacts, and build an understanding of stakeholder issues that could pose obstacles and/or delay progress.

While some framework projects are well suited to individual environmental review, the motor and trolley coach projects may be better suited to a program level EIR. Understanding that Scenarios 1A and 1B entail a developer project, SFMTA could pursue a program level EIR while the New Facility developer pursues its project EIR. In this approach SFMTA's program level EIR would use Scenario 2A as the proposed project, with the developer's New Facility project EIR as a variant.

The following is a list of recommended steps to refine and select the preferred scenario, incorporate TOD, and complete environmental review.

#### January - March 2017:

• Finalize program and develop building performance criteria for Scenarios 1A and 1B including requirements to use it as a trolley swing facility while Potrero and Presidio are being rebuilt. Concurrently develop program and planning for a trolley swing facility at MME as needed for Scenario 2A.

- Analyze Paratransit facility options including SFMTA providing a facility or continuing to have vendor provide a facility and select preferred option.
- New Facility developer revise program and concept plans for smaller Scenario 1A with Scenario 1B as an option until SFMTA selects Paratransit approach.
- Issue TOD RFP, select consultant, and finalize contract for at least first TOD site.
- Issue EIR RFP, select consultant, and finalize contract.
- Initiate EIR due diligence studies for Potrero, Presidio, and Kirkland including survey, geotechnical, structural, hazardous materials, historic resource, and transportation and transit.
- Proceed with exchange—through jurisdictional transfer—of 15th Street and Bryant.

#### April - June 2017

- Complete EIR due diligence studies.
- Establish cash flow and funding parameters (in cooperation with TOD consultant); these can also inform negotiations with New Facility developer.

#### July-September 2017

- EIR consultant complete initial study and define alternatives for EIR purposes.
- TOD consultant complete opportunities and constraints; incorporate highlights from the technical studies and add findings re: real estate/financial opportunities and constraints as well as guidance on procurement methods, deal structure principles etc.

#### October - December 2017

- TOD consultant conduct stakeholder outreach, draft recommendations, and report/present findings.
- EIR consultant draft EIR analyze impacts.
- Refine strategy per findings on impacts and mitigations; refine funding/financing analyses; select preferred scenario.

#### January - June 2018

- Refine implementation strategy for selected scenario.
- EIR consultant draft EIR potential mitigations (where possible, incorporate these into planning assumptions and/or development requirements).

#### July-September 2018

- EIR consultant complete draft EIR and circulate for mandatory 45 day comment period.
- Select delivery method and deal structure parameters for TOD/Joint Development.
- Issue TOD developer RFQ.

#### October - December 2018

- Complete final EIR before issuing TOD RFP to pre-qualified TOD developer teams.
- Issue TOD developer RFP, select team, and finalize contract

#### Long Term Facility Framework Updates

Some of the needs that drive the Facility Framework, such as staff and fleet sizes, will change over time. Thus a periodic "refresh" of the Framework will be needed. When the SFMTA *Transit Fleet Management Plan* is updated (approximately every five years), the Framework document should also be updated, including the Staff and Fleet data in Appendix 1 and the current and future use of each facility in Appendix 3. Given the difficulty in securing large sites suitable for SFMTA's needs, updates should aim to optimize the use of each site including consideration for building multi-level facilities for SFMTA use or for SFMTA and other uses.

#### Maintenance

Refine the Facility Condition Assessment priority list to update the Capital Improvement Plan and "bundle" related work into campaigns such as Roof and Fire/Life Safety.

#### Facility Management

The Organizational Assessment recommended a more proactive approach to managing SFMTA facilities. Recommended measures include:

 Assemble as-built drawings and related information in a convenient, user-friendly inventory/index and scalable CAD files for both owned and leased space.

- Compile data on age and condition of buildings and major equipment, and history of work orders and renovations, building upon the initial data in Facility Condition Assessments
- Maintain lease inventory highlighting upcoming expirations and describing responsibilities of SFMTA and landlord for facility maintenance and operational budgeting
- Develop an accurate inventory of current staffing- actual and authorized FTE, by division and work location
- Manage NRV inventory in a form usable for space planning and "greening" the fleet over time (e.g. tracking number, types and age of vehicles by division and location)
- Establish processes and roles accountable for creating and maintaining this data

#### **Space Utilization**

SFMTA should plan to better utilize owned space and reduce leased space. Recommended measures include:

- Utilize new staff workspace standards, including modular, flexible universal plan layout and alternative officing for field-oriented employees. Consider potential for "virtual teams" and satellite locations for appropriate groups e.g. IT and some financial functions.
- Utilize new fleet facility standards and ratios, consolidate shop functions and reduce storage following best practices for various fleet needs.
- · Minimize excess storage; reinforce policies for efficient

inventory and records management.

Encourage sharing of support spaces and shops. One notable opportunity is coordinated planning and centralized management of meeting, conference and various types of Training, which are currently "siloed" and managed by different groups. Another opportunity is consolidation of rail shops at Green and street shops at Pennsylvania.
NO. GARAGE           Non - 1            Non - 1           Non - 1           Non - 1           Non - 1           Non - 1           Non - 1           Non - 1           Non - 1           Non - 1           Non - 1           Non - 1 <tr< th=""><th></th></tr<>	
AB     AB       AB	343       544       5
BOOS PROCESSES JUL 23, 2015 11:26A SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL RATUM? TA A 1 2015 T-FALL TA A 1 2015 T-FALL TA A 1 2017 TA A 1 2017 T	BABAB MER SA

PAGE: 27 09-26-2015

WINN AT WOODS

PAGE

PAGE:

FFECTIVE DATE: 09-26-20 EX FILL LEAV M BAY. TERM 3 747 758 956 1008 1210 1224 228 239 441 452 653 708 555 1103 \*\* 0 PM & 6:30

EAV ERM 12 0 3

# Appendix 1: Staff and Fleet Data

# Agency

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) was formed in 1999 when the Municipal Railway (Muni) and the Department of Parking and Traffic (DPT) merged. Today SFMTA is an integrated transportation agency that oversees public transit, paratransit, and taxi service, and the networks for walking, bicycling, driving, and parking. It's vision, mission, core transit values, and strategic goals as described in its *FY 2013-FY2018 Strategic Plan* are:

## Vision

• San Francisco: great city, excellent transportation choices.

## **Mission**

• We work together to plan, build, operate, regulate, and maintain the transportation network, with our partners, to connect communities.

# Core Values for Transportation Network

- Transit First: Transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, carsharing, and ridesharing have the highest priority.
- Complete and Green Streets: Streets are designed and managed to be attractive, inviting public spaces for people
- Green, Clean, and Quiet Mobility: Use the greenest, most efficient, and quietest technologies available
- Social Equity and Access: Prioritize the most affordable and accessible modes

# Strategic Goals

- Create a safer transportation experience for everyone
- Make transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, ridesharing and carsharing the preferred means of travel
- Improve the environment and quality of life in San Francisco
- Create a collaborative environment to support delivery of outstanding service





As described in the SFMTA Short Range Transit Plan Fiscal Year 2015-2030 SFMTA has seven divisions and approximately 5,300 staff.

Division	Description	2015
	Board of Directors, Executive Director, Chief of Staff, Communications, Government Affairs	84
Capital Programs & Construction	Designs and delivers large-scale engineering and construction projects.	159
Finance & Information Technology	Manages finances, collecting fare revenues, leveraging information technology and utilizing resources to maximize SFMTA's financial, technological, and physical ability and capacity	368
Human Resources	Provides recruitment, hiring, employment and labor relations, payroll, organizational development and training, employee wellness, equal employment opportunity, and workers' compensation	76
System Safety	Maintains records for collisions, incidents and hazards; conducts internal safety audits and vehicle safety reviews; develops corrective action plans; and performs inspections and mandated safety certifications	94
Sustainable Streets	Provides transportation planning and engineering. Also manages parking facilities, enforces parking regulations and compliance of transit fare payment	693
Taxis & Accessible Access	Manages taxis and paratransit and SFMTA's American with Disabilities compliance	29
Transit	Provides public transit and maintains the fleet, facilities, and infrastructure.	3802
Total		5,305

# SFMTA Organization Chart



# **Transit Fleets**

The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) developed ridership projection based on housing and employment projections. SFCTA projects about a 40% increase in ridership from 700,000 daily transit boardings in 2014 to 1,000,000 in 2040. SFMTA developed its *2014 Transit Fleet Management Plan* based on the ridership projection. The following summarizes the current and projected ridership and planning for the SFMTA public transit fleet and the table on the following page shows the projected fleet every five years through 2040.

# Motor Coach Fleet (bio-diesel or hybrid bus)

- Currently carries about 40% of the system's riders on routes throughout the city
- Ridership expected to increase especially on routes serving major developments and the eastern portion of the city
- SFMTA plans to switch from 40' to 60' coaches, increase number of coaches on existing routes and add new routes

# Contingency Motor Coach Fleet (reserve fleet)

- Used for special events, trolley and rail renovations, and driver training
- SFMTA plans to increase the contingency fleet

## Trolley Coach Fleet (electric trolley bus)

- Currently carries about 30% of the system's riders on routes mostly in the northern portion of the city
- Ridership expected to increase moderately
- SFMTA plans to switch from 40' to 60' trolley coaches and make minor line modifications and extensions

# Light Rail Vehicle Fleet (LRV)

- Currently carries about 20% of the system's riders on six lines
- Ridership expected to increase due to Third Street Central Subway extension, M Line extension to Parkmerced, and increased demand
- SFMTA plans to add trains including some with three cars as well as typical two cars

## Historic Street Car Fleet

· Currently carries passengers on two lines

## **Cable Car Fleet**

- Currently carries passengers on three lines
- SFMTA plans no changes

# Motor Coach, Trolley Coach, Cable Car, Street Car and Light Rail Vehicle Projections

40 90 226 356

			20	015					20	020					20	025					20	030					20	)35					20	040		
MOTOR	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Tota	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	I S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В
Operating Fleet	30	323	124				26	312	224				26	312	259				26	301	266				26	296	291				26	282	324			
Contingency Fleet		40						50						50						50						50						50				
Historic Fleet	4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24		
Fleet Plan	34	381	126	541			30	380	226	636			30	380	261	671			30	369	268	667			30	364	293	687			30	350	326	706		
TROLLEY		40'	60'			В		40'	60'					40'	60'					40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'			В
Fleet Plan		240	93	333				175	105	280				175	105	280				175	105	280				190	110	300				190	110	300		
RAIL	30'	50'	75'			В	30'	50'	75'				30'	50'	75'				30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S		30'	50'	75'			В
Cable Car	40						40						40						40						40						40					
Historic & Milan		17						17						17						17						17						17				
PCC Street Car		32						32						32						32						32						32				
Not in Use		41						41						41						41						41						41				
Light Rail Vehicle			151						215						226						260						260						260			

40 90 260 390

40 90 260 390

40 90 260 390

LEGEND

Fleet Plan

30' Small Motor Coach or Cable Car

40 90 151 281 0 0 40 90 215 345

40' Standard Motor or Trolley Coach

50' Historic Street Car (lengths vary)

60' Articulated Motor or Trolley Coach

75' Light Rail Vehicle

- S Service (Maintenance or Repair) Bay
- B Body or Paint Bay

## **Paratransit Fleet Projection**

As described in the *SFMTA Short Range Transit Plan Fiscal Year 2015-2030*, SFMTA currently has 88 Paratransit vehicles and is procuring an additional 35 vehicles for a total of 123 vehicles. The *2014 SFMTA Transit Fleet Management Plan* did not include projections for the Paratransit fleet, however SFMTA staff planning for the Paratransit fleet to grow from 123 vehicles in 2015 to 160 vehicles in 2040.

# Transit Fleet Growth and Facility Capacity Analysis

SFMTA developed its *2014 Transit Fleet Management Plan* based on the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) projection of a 40% increase in ridership from 2014 to 2040. The fleet plan projects a 30% increase in motor and trolley coaches from 2014 to 2040 with more than half the growth occurring by 2030. The fleet plan also projects a 75% increase in light rail vehicles with all the growth occurring by 2030.

The *Vision Report* identified that SFMTA's three oldest transit facilities—Potrero, Presidio and Kirkland—are functionally and physically deficient and need to be rebuilt. The impacts of the fleet growth and facility rebuilds need to be understood.

The fleet plan and facility capacity tables on the following pages summarize how the transit fleets can be accommodated during the next 25 years for a baseline demand to accommodate fleet growth and several scenarios for accommodating that growth while rebuilding SFMTA's three oldest transit facilities.

## Assumptions

- Fleet plan data is based on the 2014 Transit Fleet Management Plan, the 2015 Short Range Transit Plan and staff data on the historic motor coach fleet
- The 2014 Fleet Plan is fully implemented
- · Old coaches are retired as new ones are added to the fleet
- Potrero, Presidio, and Kirkland are rebuilt by 2040 and may include TOD

- Kirkland capacity assumption should allow for a possible subway stop on site
- One maintenance bay is needed for every 10 coaches/light rail vehicles
- Coaches in acceptance program prior to incorporation into fleet not included
- Facility capacity includes yard parking lanes/tracks and maintenance bays as well as some parking in circulation lanes/tracks

# **Baseline Analysis**

Transit plans to use Muni Metro East as the primary light rail vehicle facility, Green for light rail vehicles and PCC street cars, and Cameron Beach for historic street cars. Muni Metro East has space to add track for the additional light rail vehicle storage needed to accommodate the fleet growth.

If all motor and trolley coach facilities remain in use without closure for rebuilding, the 2040 demand would be (120) 60' motor coaches (Table 1 on page 48).

## Scenario 1/1A/1B Analysis

Scenario 1/1A/1B assume that the potential New Facility serves as "swing space" to make up lost capacity while rebuilding the old coach facilities. The analysis estimates the required size of the potential New Facility (number of motor coaches) based on rebuilding options. The demand is 220 when accounting for the impacts of rebuilding Potrero, Presidio and Kirkland between 2025-2035. (Table 2 on page 49).

# Scenario 2A Analysis

Scenario 2A assumes that Muni Metro East expansion area serves as "swing space" to make up lost capacity while rebuilding the old facilities.

The analysis assumes that the rebuilds occur before the Muni Metro East expansion area is needed for rail growth and estimates the required size of the Potrero, Presidio and Kirkland Facilities (number of motor and trolley coaches) to accommodate both the trolley fleet and motor coach growth (Table 3 on page 50).

## Scenario 2B Analysis

Scenario 2B assumes that Kirkland and a leased yard such as Marin serves as "swing space" to make up lost capacity while rebuilding the old facilities.

The analysis estimates the required size of the Potrero, Presidio, and Kirkland Facilities (number of motor and trolley coaches) to accommodate both the trolley fleet and motor coach growth (Table 4 on page 51).

# Fleet Plan and Facility Capacity Table 1 - Baseline

			20	)15					2020	<u> </u>					25			· · ,		20	30	-				20	35					20	40		
MOTOR	30'	40'		Total	S	В	30'	40'	60' Total	S	В	30'	40'		Total	S	В	30'	40'	-	Total	S	В	30'	40'		Total	S	В	30'	40'		Total	S	В
Operating Fleet	30	323					26	312		-		26						26	-					26							282	_			
Contingency Fleet		40						50					50					-	50						50					-	50				
Historic Fleet	4	18	2	24			4	18	2 24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24		
Fleet Plan	34	381	126	541			30	380	226 636			30	380	261	671			30	369	268	667			30	364	293	687			30	350	326	706		
Flynn			126		11				130	11				124		11				124		11				124		11				124		11	
Islais Creek		34						82	82	16			82	82		16			82	82		16			82	82		16			82	82		16	
Kirkland		135			3			132		3			132			3			132			3			132			3			132			3	
Woods	34	212			24	6	30	212		24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6
Marin																																			
New Facility demand									14	1				55	55	5				62	62	6				87	87	8				120	120	11	
Facility Capacity	34	381	126	541	38	6	30	426	226 682	55	6	30	426	261	717	59	6	30	426	268	724	60	6	30	426	293	749	62		30	426	326	782	65	6
TROLLEY		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В
Fleet Plan		240	93	333				175	105 280				175	105	280				175	105	280				190	110	300				190	110	300		
Potrero		75	93		21			24	105	21			10	105		21				105		21			25	110		21			25	110		21	
Presidio		165			16			151		16			165			16			165			16			165			16			165			16	
Facility Capacity		240	93	333	37	0		175	105 280	37	0		175	105	280	37	0		165	105	270	37	0		190	110	300	37	0		190	110	300	37	0
RAIL	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'	S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В
Cable Car	40						40					40						40						40						40					
Historic & Milan		17						17					17						17						17						17				
PCC Street Car		32						32					32						32						32						32				
Not in Use		41						41		_			41						41						41						41				
Light Rail Vehicle			151						215					226						260						260						260			
Fleet Plan	40	90	151	281	0	0	40	90	215 345			40	90	226	356			40	90	260	390			40	90	260	390			40	90	260	390		
Cable Car	40						40					40						40						40						40					
Cameron Beach		24			1	3		24		1	3		24			1	3		24			1	3		24			1	3		24			1	3
Green			76		10	1		25	91	10	1		25	91		10	1		25	91		10	1		25	91		10	1		25	91		10	1
MME demand		33	75		15				106					117		15				151		15				151		15				151		15	
4th & King			18						18					18						18						18						18			
Storage, no track		41						41					41						41						41						41				
Facility Capacity	40	98	169	307	26	4	40	90	215 345	11	4	40	90	226	356	26	4	40	90	260	390	26	4	40	90	260	390	26	4	40	90	260	390	26	4
LEGEND 30', 50', new, rebuilt or expan NOTES Fleet Plan Vision drawings; ass	ided base	facilit d on	y, gre 2014	en te Fleet	xt ext Plan	tra ca plus	apacit stree	y, red t car	highlight f breakdowr	acility n in 2	y not 015 S	availa Short	able c Rang	lue to e Pla	o cons n, acc	truct celera	ion o Ited L	r exp .RV d	ired le eliver	ease, y and	orano d Hist	ge hig oric M	hligh lotor	t use Coad	d as s ch Fle	swing	, yell	ow hi	ghligl	ht pea	ak de	manc	l.		

# Fleet Plan and Facility Capacity Table 2 - Scenario 1/1A/1B

	1		1001	<u> </u>					•						- )							<u> </u>				-	/ \/		-					
		1	2015					2020					-	)25					-	)30		_				35					-	)40	_	_
MOTOR	30'	40'	60' Total	S	В	30'	40'	60' Total	S	В	30'	40'		Total	S	В	30'	40'		Total	S	В	30'	40'		Total	S	В	30'	40'		Total	S	В
Operating Fleet	30	323	124			26	312	224			26	312	259				26	301	266				26	296	291				26	282	324			
Contingency Fleet		40					50					50						50						50						50				
Historic Fleet	4	18	2 24			4	18	2 24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24		
Fleet Plan	34	381	126 541			30	380	226 636			30	380	261	671			30	369	268	667			30	364	293	687			30	350	326	706		
Flynn			126	11				113	11				126		11				126		11				113		11				113		11	
Islais Creek		34					74	82	16			74	82		16			51	96		16			82	82		16			82	82		16	
Kirkland		135		3			132		3			132			3			132			3									87			9	
Woods	34	212		24	6	30	212		24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6
Marin or Other								31	4																									
New Facility Demand													53		5				46		5			70	98		18				131		11	
Facility Capacity	34	381	126 541	38	6	30	418	226 674	58	6	30	418	261	709	59	6	30	395	268	693	59	6	30	364	293	687	69		30	381	326	737	71	6
TROLLEY		40'	60'	S	В		40'	60'	S	В		40'	60'			В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В
Fleet Plan		240	93 333				175	105 280				175	105	280				175	105	280				190	110	300				190	110	300		
Potrero		75	93	21			24	105	21										110		11				110		11				110		11	_
Presidio		165		16			151		16			165			16									190			19			190			19	
New Facility Demand												10	105		12			175			18													
Facility Capacity		240	93 333	37	0		175	105 280	37	0		175	105	280	28	0		175	110	285	29	0		190	110	300	30	0		190	110	300	30	0
RAIL	30'	50'	75'	S	В	30'	50'	75'	S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В
Cable Car	40					40					40						40						40						40					
Historic & Milan		17					17					17						17						17						17				
PCC Street Car		32					32					32						32						32						32				
Not in Use		41					41					41						41						41						41				
Light Rail Vehicle			151					215					226						260						260						260			
Fleet Plan	40	90	151 281	0	0	40	90	215 345			40	90	226	356			40	90	260	390			40	90	260	390			40	90	260	390		
Cable Car	40					40					40						40						40						40					
Cameron Beach		24		1	3		24		1	3		24			1	3		24			1	3		24			1	3		24			1	3
Green			76	10	1		25	91	10	1		25	91		10	1		25	91		10	1		25	91		10	1		25	91		10	1
MME demand		33	75	15				106					117		15				151		15				151		15				151		15	
4th & King			18					18					18						18						18						18			
Storage, no track		41					41					41						41						41						41				
Facility Capacity	40	98	169 307	26	4	40	90	215 345	11	4	40	90	226	356	26	4	40	90	260	390	26	4	40	90	260	390	26	4	40	90	260	390	26	4
LEGEND 30', 50', new, rebuilt or expar NOTES Fleet Plan Vision drawings; ass	nded base	facilit d on 1	y, green te 2014 Fleet	xt ex t Plan	tra ca plus	apacit stree	y, red t car	highlight f breakdowi	acility n in 2	y not 015 S	availa Short	able o Rang	due to e Pla	o cons n, aco	struct celera	tion o ted L	r exp .RV d	ired le eliver	ease, y and	orang d Hist	ge hig oric N	hligh 1otor	t use Coad	d as s ch Fle	swing	, yell	ow hi	ghlig	ht pea	ak de	mano	d.		

# Fleet Plan and Facility Capacity Table 3 - Scenario 2A

Operating Fined      30      312      12															<b>.</b>			uU							<u> </u>						<u> </u>						
Open sing Pieet     30     32     124     14     2     24     14     2     24     14     2     24     14     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     2     24     14     18     24     14     18     24     14     18     24     14     18     24     14     18     24     14<				20	)15					20	20					20	)25					20	030					20	)35					20	40		
Contragency Field         A         A         B         C         V         V         A         B         C         V         V         A         B         C         V         V         A         B         C         V         A         B         C         V         A         B         C         V         A         B         C         V         A         B         C         V         A         B         C         A         B         C         A         B         C         A         B         C         C         A         B         C         C         A         B         C         C         A         B         C         C         A         B         C         C         A         B         C         C         B         B         C         C         B         B         B         C         C         B     <	MOTOR	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В
Hich: Critical Field 4 8 8 2 2 5 4 8 8 2 2 5 5 4 8 8 2 2 5 4 8 8 2 2 5 4 8 8 2 2 5 5 4 8 8 2 2 5 5 4 8 8 2 2 5 5 4 8 8 2 2 5 5 4 8 8 2 2 5 5 5 5 6 1 5 6 1 5 6 1 5 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 1 6 1 6 1 1 6 1 1 6 1 1 6 1 1 6 1 1 6 1	Operating Fleet	30	323	124				26	312	224				26	312	259				26	301	266				26	296	291				26	282	324			
Field Plan         43         83         74         84         74         85         75       <	Contingency Fleet		40						50						50						50						50						50				
Privance P	Historic Fleet	4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24		
Statis	Fleet Plan	34	381	126	541			30	380	226	636			30	380	261	671			30	369	268	667			30	364	293	687			30	350	326	706		
Kindand	Flynn			126		11				113		11				113		11				113		11				113		11				119		11	
Weindord Merit Main or Other Main or Ot	Islais Creek		34						74	82		16			74	82		16			74	82		16			82	82		16			51	96		16	
Main or Other 9 <td< td=""><td>Kirkland</td><td></td><td>135</td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td>132</td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td>132</td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td>132</td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>87</td><td></td><td></td><td>9</td><td></td></td<>	Kirkland		135			3			132			3			132			3			132			3									87			9	
Parterip Decked       Mai	Woods	34	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6
Facility Capacity       94      94         Peristion Deckad       16      16       16       16	Marin or Other									31		4				66		4				73		4			70			4							
reaction protection       reaction       reaction <threaction< th=""></threaction<>	Potrero Decked																											111		11				111		11	
Fleet Plan       9       93	Facility Capacity	34	381	126	541	38	6	30	418	226	674	58	6	30	418	261	709	58	6	30	418	268	716	58	6	30	364	306	700	66		30	350	326	706	71	6
Partero Decked         i<         i<         i         i<         i<    <	TROLLEY		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'					40'	60'					40'	60'					40'	60'			В
Periodic Decked         i<         i<         i<         i<         i<	Fleet Plan		240	93	333				175	105	280				175	105	280				175	105	280				190	110	300				190	110	300		
MME Expansion       M         Cable Car       40       7      7       7       7      7 <td>Potrero Decked</td> <td></td> <td>75</td> <td>93</td> <td></td> <td>21</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>24</td> <td>105</td> <td></td> <td>21</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>72</td> <td>111</td> <td></td> <td>17</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>72</td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>72</td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td></td>	Potrero Decked		75	93		21			24	105		21									72	111		17				72		6				72		6	
Facility Capacity         50         83         33         7         0         10 <th10< th="">         10         10</th10<>	Presidio Decked		165			16			151			16			165			16									190	45		22			190	45		22	
RAIL       S0       S0      S0       S0 <t< td=""><td>MME Expansion</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>10</td><td>105</td><td></td><td>12</td><td></td><td></td><td>93</td><td></td><td></td><td>12</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	MME Expansion														10	105		12			93			12													
Cable Car       40       50	Facility Capacity		240	93	333	37	0		175	105	280	37	0		175	105	280	28	0		165	111	276	29	0		190	117	307	28	0		190	117	307	28	0
Heads       Main	RAIL	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'			В	30'	50'	75'		S		30'	50'	75'		S		30'	50'	75'		S	В
PCC Street Car       3       3       5      <	Cable Car	40						40						40						40						40						40					
Noticit Use       41       5       6 <t< td=""><td>Historic &amp; Milan</td><td></td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Historic & Milan		17						17						17						17						17						17				
Light Rail Vehicle··· </td <td>PCC Street Car</td> <td></td> <td>32</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	PCC Street Car		32						32						32						32						32						32				
Fleet Plan       40       90       151       281       0       0       40       90       215       345        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390        40       90       260       390       260       390       26	Not in Use		41						41						41						41						41						41				
Cable Car       40       Ca       Ca       40       Ca       40       Ca       Add       Ca       Add       Ca       Add       Ca       Add       Ca       Add       Ca       Ca       Add       Ca       Ca       Add       Ca       Ca<	Light Rail Vehicle			151						215						226						260						260						260			
Cameron Beach       24       1       3       48       1       1       3       48       1 </td <td>Fleet Plan</td> <td>40</td> <td>90</td> <td>151</td> <td>281</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>40</td> <td>90</td> <td>215</td> <td>345</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>40</td> <td>90</td> <td>226</td> <td>356</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>40</td> <td>90</td> <td>260</td> <td>390</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>40</td> <td>90</td> <td>260</td> <td>390</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>40</td> <td>90</td> <td>260</td> <td>390</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Fleet Plan	40	90	151	281	0	0	40	90	215	345			40	90	226	356			40	90	260	390			40	90	260	390			40	90	260	390		
Green       n <td>Cable Car</td> <td>40</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Cable Car	40						40						40						40						40						40					
MME       33       75       15       15       105 </td <td>Cameron Beach</td> <td></td> <td>24</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>48</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td>	Cameron Beach		24			1	3		48			1	3		48			1	3		48			1	3		48			1	3		48			1	3
MME Expansion       Image: Model Series (Series (Serie	Green			76		10	1		1	92		10	1		1	103		10	1		1	115		10	1			101		10	1			101		10	1
4th & King       18	MME		33	75		15				105						105		15				105		15				115		15				115		15	
Other turn backs       M	MME Expansion																											36						36			
Storage, no track       41	4th & King			18						18						18						18						8						8			
Facility Capacity       40       98       169       307       26       4       40       90       215       345       11       4       40       90       226       356       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89       260       389       26       4       40       89	Other turn backs																					22															
	Storage, no track		41						41						41						41						41						41				
LEGEND 30', 50', 60', 75' are vehicle lengths, S are service bays, B are body/paint bays, white text fleet plan, black text facility capacity, blue text facility crush with parking in circulation aisles, red text	Facility Capacity	40	98	169	307	26	4	40	90	215	345	11	4	40	90	226	356	26	4	40	90	260	390	26	4	40	89	260	389	26	4	40	89	260	389	26	4
	LEGEND 30', 50',	60', 7	75' are	e veh	icle le	ength	s, S a	are se	rvice	bays	, B ar	e bod	ly/pa	int ba	ays, w	hite	text fl	eet pl	an, b	lack	text fa	acility	capa	icity, I	blue t	ext fa	acility	crus	h witl	n parl	king i	n circ	ulatio	n aisl	les, re	d tex	t

new, rebuilt or expanded facility, green text extra capacity, red highlight facility not available due to construction or expired lease, orange highlight used as swing, yellow highlight peak demand. **NOTES** Fleet Plan based on 2014 Fleet Plan plus street car breakdown in 2015 Short Range Plan, accelerated LRV delivery and Historic Motor Coach Fleet from SFTMA staff. Facility Capacity based on Vision drawings; assumes yard lanes/tracks and service bays used for storage. Kirkland capacity reduced from Vision for subway station or street car use.

# Fleet Plan and Facility Capacity Table 4 - Scenario 2B

	r													<u>y</u>		ιp	40	i e y	-	ar									- 0							—
			20	015					20	20					20	)25					20	030					20	)35					20	40		_
MOTOR	30'	40'		Total	S	В	30'	40'		Total	S	В	30'	40'		Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В	30'	40'	60'	Total	S	В
Operating Fleet	30	323	124				26	312	224				26	312	259				26	301	266				26	296	291				26	282	324			
Contingency Fleet		40						50						50						50						50						50				
Historic Fleet	4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24			4	18	2	24		
Fleet Plan	34	381	126	541			30	380	226	636			30	380	261	671			30	369	268	667			30	364	293	687			30	350	326	706		
Flynn			126		11				126		11				126		11				113		11				113		11				113		11	
Islais Creek		34						51	100		16			82	82		16			74	82		16			82	82		16			82	82		16	
Kirkland		135			3									88			12				73		12			88			12			56	24		12	
Woods	34	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6	30	212			24	6
Marin or Other								117							52					83																
Potrero Decked																											111		11				111		11	
Facility Capacity	34	381	126	541	38	6	30	380	226	636	51	6	30	382	260	672	63	6	30	369	268	667	63	6	30	382	306	718	74		30	350	330	710	74	6
TROLLEY		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В		40'	60'		S	В
Fleet Plan		240	93	333				175	105	280				175	105	280				175	105	280				190	110	300				190	110	300		
Potrero Decked		75	93		21			24	105		21									94	111		17				72		6				72		6	
Presidio Decked		165			16			151			16			165			16									190	45		22			190	45		22	
Marin or Other														10	105					81																
Facility Capacity		240	93	333	37	0		175	105	280	37	0		175	105	280	16	0		175	111	286	17	0		190	117	307	28	0		190	117	307	28	0
RAIL	30'	50'	75'				30'	50'	75'				30'	50'	75'				30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В	30'	50'	75'		S	В
Cable Car	40						40						40						40						40						40					
Historic & Milan		17						17						17						17						17						17				
PCC Street Car		32						32						32						32						32						32				
Not in Use		41						41						41						41						41						41				
Light Rail Vehicle			151						215						226						260						260						260			
Fleet Plan	40	90	151	281	0	0	40	90	215	345			40	90	226	356			40	90	260	390			40	90	260	390			40	90	260	390		
Cable Car	40						40						40						40						40						40					
Cameron Beach		24			1	3		48			1	3		48			1	3		48			1	3		48			1	3		48			1	3
Green			76		10	1		1	92		10	1		1	101		10	1		1	101		10	1			101		10	1			101		10	1
MME Demand		33	75		15				105						107						151						151						151			
4th & King			18						18						18						8						8						8			
Other turn backs																																				
Storage, no track		41						41						41						41						41						41				
Facility Capacity	40	98	169	307	26	4	40	90	215	345	11	4	40	90	226	356	11	4	40	90	260	390	11	4	40	89	260	389	11	4	40	89	260	389	11	4
LEGEND 30', 50', new, rebuilt or expar NOTES Fleet Plan Vision drawings; ass	nded base	facility d on 2	y, gre 2014	een te Fleet	xt ext Plan	ra ca plus	apacit stree	y, red t car	l high breal	light f (dowr	acility n in 20	y not 015 S	avail: Short	able o Rang	due to e Pla	o cons in, aco	struct celera	ion o Ited L	r exp .RV d	ired le leliver	ease, 'y and	oran d Hist	ge hig oric N	hligh 1otor	it use Coad	d as ch Fle	swing	g, yell	ow hi	ghlig	ht pe	ak de	mano	1.		

# Non-Revenue Vehicle Fleet

As described in the SFMTA Short Range Transit Plan Fiscal Year 2015-2030 SFMTA currently has the following non-revenue vehicles

Total		879
Transit Operations Heavy Duty	Sweeper, Tanker, Freight, Cargo, Etc	127
Transit Overhead Lines & Track Maintenance	Heavy Duty, Freight	67
Transit Operations Pool & Street Operations		106
Enforcement, Investigations, Security, Proof of Payment, Taxi Investigations, System Safety, Revenue and Collections		99
Enforcement	Go-4	273
Sustainable Streets Shops		133
Sustainable Streets Pool		14
Buildings and Grounds, Custodial		16
Capital Programs and Construction		15
Administration		29

Of the total current NRV fleet, approximately 22% are more than 20 years old. Given the City's interest in "greening" its fleets, SFMTA plans to review its NRV fleet with an eye to fewer newer, more fuel-efficient and/or alternative fuel vehicles.



# Appendix 2: Framework Standards

# Staff and Fleet Space Planning Standards

The following Framework Staff and Fleet Planning Standards were derived from WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff's 2016 peer review of the program for the potential New Facility (Scenario 1) and SFMTA's draft Workplace Policy. The standards include standards for:

- Office and Bench Shop Staff
- Office Support
- Meeting and Training
- Operator and Mechanic Staff
- Vehicle Maintenance, Fuel, and Wash
- Vehicle Staging

These standards along with the peer review program for the potential New Facility were used to develop preliminary programs for the alternate scenarios. As specific projects are developed detailed programs will need to be developed.

· Motor and Trolley Coach Facilities

See Potrero Section starting on page 88 for preliminary programs and test fits for rebuilding Potrero in Scenario 1/1A/1B and Scenario 2A/2B.

See Presidio Section starting on page 94 for preliminary programs and test fits for rebuilding Presidio in Scenario 1/1A/1B and Scenario 2A/2B. See "Kirkland" Section starting on page 76 for preliminary program and test fits for rebuilding Kirkland in Scenario 1/1A/1B/2A and Scenario 2B.

Paratransit Operations & Maintenance Facility

See Paratransit Section starting on "Paratransit" Section starting on page 110 for preliminary program for a Paratransit Facility.

Training Facility

See Bayshore Section starting on page 114 or preliminary program and planning study for a Training Facility. Although the preliminary test fit is for Bayshore, the current Facility Framework scenarios include it at Potrero.

Enforcement Facility

See Scott Section starting on page 130 for preliminary program and test fit for an Enforcement Facility at Scott and the adjoining building at 1200 15th St.



-2

# Framework Planning Standards

FUNCTION	DIMENSIONS	AREA (SF)	HEIGHT	RATIO	NOTES
OFFICE AND BENCH STAFF					
Division Director or	14' x 16'	224			Enclosed office with small table and
Superintendent	14 X 10	224			4 chairs
Manager	10' x 12'	120			Enclosed office with 2 guest chairs
Supervisor or staff who spend	8' x 8'	64			Open office (Workstation)
most of the day at their desk	0 X 0	04			Open office (workstation)
Administrative Assistant/ Clerical	6' x 6'	36			Open Office (Workstation)
Hoteling or Intern	5' x 6'	30			Open Office (Workstation)
Bench Staff	10' x 8'	80			Work bench, side table, tool box
SUPPORT					
Lobby	10' x 12'	120			Small lobby with seating for 3-4
Copy/Supply Room	10' x 12'	120			
File Room	10' x 12'	120			
Kitchenette	10' x 12'	120			
MEETING AND TRAINING					
Small Conference	10' x 12'	120			For 4-6 people
Medium Conference	12' x 20'	240			For 10-12 people
Large Conference	15' x 24'	360			For 14-16 people
Training	20' x 30'	600			For 50 people
Specialized Training	20' x 36'	720			Training tables or other equipment
OPERATOR AND MECHANIC ST	AFF				
Mechanic Locker Room		6.5 sf/locker			12" w x 18" d x full height locker
Operator Locker Room		3.25 sf/locker			12" w x 18" d x half height locker
Office Staff Locker Alcove		2 sf/locker			12" w x 12" d x third height locker
Kitchenette/Vending Room		375-525			Varies with fleet size
Break/Lunch Room		25 sf/person			Varies with fleet size
Single Occupant Restroom	8' x 8'	64			Accessible
Single Occupant Restrm/Shower	10' x 11'	110			Accessible with a couple lockers
BUS MAINTENANCE, FUEL AND	WASH. & STAG	ING			<u> </u>
Maintenance					

# Framework Planning Standards

FUNCTION	DIMENSIONS	AREA (SF)	HEIGHT	RATIO	NOTES
Preventive, Running, Brake and Tire Bay	20' x 55' for 40' 20' x 75' for 60'	1,100 for 40' 1,500 for 60'	19'	1 per 10 buses	
Chassis Wash (Steam Clean) Bay	25' x 55' for 40' 25' x 75' for 60'	1,375 for 40' 1,875 for 60'	19'	- 1 per 10 buses	
Parts Storeroom		20-30 sf/bus			
Fuel and Wash					
Fuel Position	20' x 60' for 40' 20' x 80' for 60'	1,200 for 40' 1,600 for 60'	16'	1 per 75 buses	Motor coach only
Bus Washer	20' x 60' for 40' 20' x 80' for 60'	1,200 for 40' 1,600 for 60'	16'	1 per 75 - 150 buses	1/75 if paired with fuel, 1/150 if not
Detailed Cleaning			16'	1 per 40 buses	Designated parking lane along wall
Staging and Vehicle Circulation					
Staging Lanes	12' x 45' for 40' 12' x 65' for 60'	540 for 40' 780 for 60'	14' motor 19' trolley		Although 14' wide lanes becoming more common, 12' lanes have been industry standard & typical at SFMTA
Aisles for 90 degree turns	65' wide		14' m, 19' t		Planning for 70' allows for bollards, curbs and structural creep
Bypass Lane (no turn)			14' m, 19' t		
Vehicle Access to Bay	20' x 70'	1,400	14' m, 19' t		Back-in access
Vehicle Access to Fuel/Wash	20' x 70' x 2	2,800	14' m, 19' t		Drive thru access
Two Way Bus Ramp	28'-30' wide		14' m, 19' t		10% slope with 60' long 6% transition slope at the top and bottom
NON-REVENUE VEHICLE MAINT	<b>FENANCE AND S</b>	TAGING			
Maintenance					
Heavy Vehicle Maintenance Bay	20' x 50'	1,000	19'	1 per 15-30 vehicles	varies with vehicle mix
Light Vehicle Maintenance Bay	20' x 35'	700	19'	1 per 60 vehicles	

# Framework Planning Standards

			<b>U</b>		
FUNCTION	DIMENSIONS	AREA (SF)	HEIGHT	RATIO	NOTES
Chassis Wash (Steam Clean) Bay	25' x 50'	1,250			
Staging and Vehicle Circulation					
Heavy Vehicle	12' x 30'	360	14'	10 % of fleet	
Light Vehicle	9' x 18'	162	14'	10 % of fleet	
Aisles for 90 degree turns	35' wide		14'		
Vehicle Access to Bay	20' x 35'	700	14'		Back-in access
PARATRANSIT MAINTENANCE	AND STAGING				
Maintenance					
Maintenance Bay	20' x 40'	800	19'		7 bays for 160 vehicles
Staging and Vehicle Circulation					
					Although 14' wide lanes becoming
Cutaway Staging Lanes	12' x 27''	324	14'		more common, 12' lanes have been industry standard & typical at SFMTA
Van Staging	9' x 18'	162			
Aisles for 90 degree turns	35' wide				
Vehicle Access to Bay	20' x 35'	700			Back-in access
ENFORCEMENT STAGING					
Staging and Vehicle Circulation					
PCO Vehicles	varies with type				Go-4, Smart Car, Fire Fly or equivalent
Cars and Trucks	9' x 18'	162			
Aisles for double loaded stall parking	24' wide				

COMAN. COLUMN A And Cast 1 Potrero Bancroft, Presidio, 505 7th Street

# Appendix 3: Facility Data and Studies

# Мар

SFMTA has facilities for its administration, transit fleets, streets, support, and enforcement functions. For clarity the facilities are grouped by function and typically referred to by their street names in the list below and throughout the report.

#### Administration

South Van Ness - 1/11 South Van Ness Avenue Market (Transit Management) - 1455 Market St

#### Motor Coach

Flynn - 1940 Harrison St Islais Creek - 1301 Cesar Chavez St Kirkland - 2301 Stockton St and 151 Beach St Woods - 1095 Indiana St

Trolley Coach

Potrero - *2500 Mariposa St* Presidio - 949 Presidio Ave

#### Rail

Cable Car Barn - *1201 Mason* St Cameron Beach - *2301 San Jose Ave* Green & Annex - *San Jose Ave 425 Geneva Ave* Muni Metro East- *601 25th St* 

### Paratransit (Vendor)

Tunnel - 575 Tunnel Ave, Brisbane Industrial - 290 Industrial Way, Brisbane



#### Streets, Support and Enforcement

Bancroft (Sign & Meter) - 1508 Bancroft Ave Bayshore (Tow & Signal)- 2650 Bayshore, Daly City Bryant (Overhead Lines)- 1401 Bryant St Burke (Warehouse)- 1570/1580 Burke Ave Lenox (Transit Control) - 131 Lenox Way Marin (Swing Space) - 1399 Marin St Market (Transit Management) - 1455 Market St Pennsylvania (Offices & Shops) - 700 Pennsylvania Ave Scott (NRV Maintenance) - 1849 Harrison St Yosemite (Paint & NRV Parking) - 1528 Yosemite Ave Enforcement 505 7th Street (Office) 571 10th Street (Parking) 6th and Townsend (Parking) 2323 Cesar Chavez Street (Parking)

#### 7th Street (Tow)



# Facility Needs, Opportunities and Use

Facility	Current Use	Space Needs and Opportunities	2040 Use
Administration			
South Van Ness 1/11 South Van Ness Avenue	Headquarters	SFMTA implementing plan to improve adja- cencies, workspace and capacity	Headquarters
Market 1455 Market Street	Transit Operations Management	SFMTA implementing plan to optimize use Lease expires September 2023, SFMTA has (2) 10 year extension options	Transit Operations Management
Motor Coach			
Flynn 1940 Harrison Street	Motor Coach Facility		Motor Coach Facility
Islais Creek 1301 Cesar Chavez Street	Motor Coach Yard	Limited use until maintenance & operations building completed in 2017	Motor Coach Facility
Kirkland 2301 Stockton/151 Beach	Motor Coach Facility with limited service	Rebuild possibly with subway station and/ or TOD	Rebuilt Motor Coach Facility possibly with subway station and TOD
Woods 1095 Indiana Street	Motor Coach Facility, Kirkland Service Motor & Trolley Component Rebuild	Potential to deck yard for fleet needs	Motor Coach Facility Motor & Trolley Component Rebuild
Potential New Facility	NA	Potential new mixed-use facility	Coach, Paratransit, NRV, Enforcement & Training Facility, or Coach & Paratransit Facility, Coach Facility, or no new facility
Trolley Coach			
Potrero 2500 Mariposa Street	Trolley Coach Facility	Potential to rebuild with TOD or rebuild decked for Trolley & Motor Coach with TOD	Rebuilt Trolley Coach /TOD Facility if New Facility, or rebuilt decked Trolley & Motor Coach /TOD Facility if no New Facility
Presidio 949 Presidio Avenue	Trolley Coach Facility	Potential to rebuild as trolley coach facility with TOD or rebuild decked with TOD	Rebuilt Trolley Coach TOD Facility if new facility, or rebuilt decked Trolley Coach TOD Facility if no New Facility
Rail			
Cable Car Barn 1201 Mason Street	Cable Car Facility Museum		Cable Car Facility Museum
Cameron Beach 2301 San Jose	Street Car Body & Paint Light rail vehicle yard	Underutilized. Evaluate using for street car restoration and one-offs storage	Historic Street Car Facility Restoration and Storage
Green 2200 San Jose Avenue	Light Rail Vehicle Facility Street Car Restoration Rail Component Rebuild	Underutilized. Evaluate consolidating rail shops/component rebuild for efficiency and to increase LRV storage capacity	PCC Street Car and Light Rail Facility Rail Shops and Component Rebuild

Note: This table summarizes the consultant team's assessment of the major space needs and opportunities for each facility.

# Facility Needs, Opportunities and Use

Facility	Current Use	Space Needs and Opportunities	2040 Use
Green Annex 425 Geneva Avenue	Maintenance & Operation Offices Electronic and Radio Shops	Underutilized. Evaluate consolidating func- tions to better use space.	Maintenance & Operation Offices Electronic and other Shop(s)
Muni Metro East 601 25th Street	Light Rail & Street Car Facility	Potential to use expansion area for trolley swing & then expanded rail yard & back shop.	Light Rail Facility Expanded LRV storage or, coach swing then expanded LRV storage & back shop
Paratransit			
Paratransit Vendor Lease	SFMTA Paratransit Fleet Operations and Maintenance	Evaluate SFMTA providing or Vendor con- tinuing to provide facility	SFMTA provide facility or vendor continue to provide facility
Streets & Support			
Bancroft 1508 Bancroft Avenue	Sign, Temporary Sign & Meter Shops	Underutilized; evaluate sharing.	Sign, Temporary Sign & Meter Shops and possibly other use
Bayshore 2650 Bayshore, Daily City	Tow Traffic Signal Shop and Video Shop Central Subway Project Office Vacant Space	Underutilized. SFMTA adding bench shop; potential to add more offices or training Lease expires in 2032, SFMTA has option to negotiate purchase	Tow, Traffic Signal Shop, Bench Shop, Offices or possibly training
Bryant 1401 Bryant Street	Overhead Lines Repair	Underutilized. SFMTA plans to move Over- head Lines Repair to Burke.	Vacate
Burke 1570/1580 Burke Avenue	Warehouse	Inefficient. SFMTA renovating for Ware- house and Overhead Lines Repair	Warehouse and Overhead Lines Repair
Lenox 131 Lenox Way	Transit Control		Back-Up Transit Control
Marin 1399 Marin Street	Motor Coach Acceptance Facility Rail Tack Shop, Street Car Storage	Evaluate moving Street Cars to Cameron Beach. Lease expires 2021	Vacate when move to New Facility or when rebuilds complete if no New Facility
Pennsylvania 700 Pennsylvania Avenue	Buildings & Grounds Offices, and Car- pentry, Electric, Machine Shops	Evaluate moving Cable Car machine shop to Green & Yosemite B&G Paint to Penn.	Buildings & Grounds Offices Carpentry, Electric, Machine Shops
Scott 1849 Harrison Street	Non Revenue Vehicle Maintenance Staff Parking	Underutilized. Potential to use for NRV Maintenance and Enforcement Parking	Vacate if New Multi-Modal Facility; use for NRV & Enf Parking if no New Facility
Yosemite 1528 Yosemite Avenue	Paint Shop Non Revenue Vehicle Parking	Lease expires 2019, SFMTA has option to purchase or extend 18 months	Paint Shop Non Revenue Vehicle Parking
Enforcement 505 7th St, 571 10th St 6th & Townsend 2323 Cesar Chavez	Enforcement Office Enforcement Vehicle Parking	Potential to consolidate Enforcement at New Facility or 15th Street and Scott	Vacate
Operator Driving Training Various Short Leases	New Operator Training New Fleet Operator Training	Typically 6-8 one week leases per year, more when fleet growth and/or new fleet	Secure leases as needed

Note: This table summarizes the consultant team's assessment of the major space needs and opportunities for each facility.

# South Van Ness

One South Van Ness Floors B, 3, 6, 7 & 8 CCSF Owned SFMTA area: 225,000 sf

Current Use	Vision Observations	2040 Use
Headquarters	NA	Headquarters
~ 920 capacity		~1040 seat capacity
	Vision Recommendations	
	NA	
	<ul> <li>SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning</li> <li>SFMTA &amp; SFPW implementing plan to stan- dardize workspace assignments, improve adjacencies and optimize use of space</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>2016 Observations</li> <li>Evaluate using vacant and underutilized space at Bayshore and Green Annex for additional office space.</li> </ul>	



# Market

1455 Market Street Leased suite and locker room Lease expires September 2023, has (2) 10 year options Suite:38,900 sf Locker 700sf

Current Use	Vision Condition Notes	2040 Use
Transit Management Center	NA	Transit Management Center
~40 capacity		~65 capacity
	Vision Recommendations	
	• SFMTA plans to consolidate control and communications for transit, parking enforce- ment and tow and security	
	<ul> <li>SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning</li> <li>SFMTA &amp; SFPW implementing plan to stan- dardize workspace assignments, improve adjacencies and optimize use of space</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>2016 Observations</li> <li>Lease expires September 2023, SFMTA has (2) 10 year extension options</li> </ul>	



# Flynn

1940 Harrison Street SFMTA owns Site: 6.2 acres Building: 266,000 sf Built: 1941 SFMTA: 1989

**Current Use** 

# Motor Coach Facility (126) 60' motor coaches (11) maintenance bays light body repair tire shop across the street

### Vision Observations

- Only SFMTA 60' motor coach facility
- Crowded

#### **Vision Recommendations**

• Electrify to use as swing facility for Presidio and Potrero renovations

#### SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning

- Considered best motor coach facility
- Prefer not to electrify Flynn for swing

#### 2016 Observations

 Renovating maintenance office and parts could provide better work and storage space

## 2040 Use Scenario 1/1A/1B/2B Motor Coach Facility

(113) 60' motor coaches(11) maintenance bayslight body repair

tire shop across the street

#### 2040 Use Scenario 2A

(119) 60' motor coaches(11) maintenance bayslight body repair

tire shop across the street


# Islais Creek

1301 Cesar Chavez SFMTA owns portion, is buying portion and leases portion from Caltrans Site: 8.3 acres plus 0.3 acres open space along water Phase One: 18,200 sf, 2012 Phase Two: 65,000 sf, 2017

# **Current Use**

Motor Coach Facility (34) 40' motor coaches

# **Vision Observations**

• Phase two under construction

# **Vision Recommendations**

Various design recommendations

# SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning

• Maintenance and operations building under construction; currently using temporary operations facilities at Islais Creek, and service at Flynn & Woods.

# 2040 Use Scenario 1/1A/1B/2B

Motor Coach Facility (82) 40' motor coaches (82) 60' motor coaches (16) maintenance bays

# 2040 Use Scenario 2A

Motor Coach Facility (51) 40' motor coaches (96) 60' motor coaches (16) maintenance bays



# Kirkland

2301 Stockton Street and 151 Beach Street SFMTA owns Site: 2.6 acres Buildings: 13,200 sf Opened: 1950

# **Current Use**

Motor Coach Facility

(135) 40' motor coaches(3) maintenance pits

# **Vision Observations**

Crowded

• Limited service capacity; heavy repair done at Woods

# **Vision Recommendations**

Rebuild with adequate service bays

# SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning

 Possible subway station location if Central Subway is extended to Fisherman's Wharf

## 2016 Observations

 Potential to rebuild motor coach facility with subway station and /or TOD.

## 2040 Use Scenario 1/1A/1B/2A

Rebuilt Motor Coach Facility possibly with Subway Station, and/or TOD (87) 40' motor coaches (9) maintenance bays

# 2040 Use Scenario 2B

Rebuilt Motor Coach Facility possibly with Subway Station, and/or TOD (56) 40' motor coaches (24) 60' motor coaches (12) maintenance bays

The studies on following pages include preliminary programs and test fits for rebuilding Kirkland.



#### **KIRKLAND SITE**

APPROXIMATELY 2.6 ACRES / 113,400 SF ZONING: HEIGHT & BULK

#### REBUILD TEST FIT FOR SCENARIO 1/1A/1B/2A

#### PROGRAM

OPERATIONS & MAINT STAFF	15,000 SF
MAINT BAYS, SHOPS & PARTS	21,200 SF
WASH & FUEL	2,400 SF
VEHICLE STAGING	42,100 SF
VEHICLE CIRCULATION	47,700 SF
TOTAL	128,400 SF
FLEET GRADE 19X4 +2 BAYS	40'T 78 9 87
TOTAL	87

#### AREA

FIRST FLOOR	21,200 SF
SECOND FLOOR	15,000 SF
TOTAL BUILDING	36,200 SF
YARD	92,200 SF
TOTAL BUILDING AND YARD	128,400 SF

#### **BUILDING HEIGHT**

1 @ 25' + 1 @ 13'-6" + 1'6" PARAPET = 40'

#### NOTES

PRELIMINARY PROGRAM DERIVED FROM NEW FACILITY PEER REVIEW

NEED SURVEY TO CONFIRM SITE DIMENSIONS AND TOPOGRAPHY



#### KIRKLAND REBUILD SCENARIOS 1/1A/1B/2A 150" = 1'-0" NOVEMBER 2016

SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT

#### **KIRKLAND SITE**

APPROXIMATELY 2.6 ACRES / 113,400 SF ZONING: HEIGHT & BULK

#### **REBUILD TEST FIT FOR SCENARIO 2B**

#### PROGRAM

OPERATIONS & MAINT S	15,000 SF	
MAINT BAYS, SHOPS & P.	ARTS	21,200 SF
WASH & FUEL		2,400 SF
VEHICLE STAGING		42,100 SF
VEHICLE CIRCULATION		47,700 SF
TOTAL		128,400 SF
FLEET	40'T	60' T
GRADE 14X4, 5X3	56	15
BAYS		12
TOTAL	56	27

#### AREA

FIRST FLOOR	21,200 SF
SECOND FLOOR	15,000 SF
TOTAL BUILDING	36,200 SF
YARD	92,200 SF
TOTAL BUILDING AND YARD	128,400 SF

#### **BUILDING HEIGHT**

1 @ 25' + 1 @ 13'-6" + 1'6" PARAPET = 40'

#### NOTES

PRELIMINARY PROGRAM DERIVED FROM NEW FACILITY PEER REVIEW

NEED SURVEY TO CONFIRM SITE DIMENSIONS AND TOPOGRAPHY



SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT

KIRKLAND REBUILD SCENARIO 2B 150" = 1'-0" NOVEMBER 2016

# Woods

1095 Indiana SFMTA owns Site: 8.2 Acres Building: 158,000 sf Opened 1974-1978

# **Current Use**

Motor Coach Facility

(34) 30' motor coaches(212) 40' motor coaches(24) maintenance bays(6) body/paint bays

- Heavy repair for Woods and Kirkland.
- Body/paint for all motor coaches.
- Component rebuild for all motor and trolley coaches

# **Vision Observations**

• Crowded; parts storage in maintenance bays and rebuilt components outside.

# Vision Recommendations

- Move component rebuild to Burke and use space for store room.
- Move body/paint to MME and renovate facility for more heavy repair and 60' coaches

## 2016 Observations

- Appears obsolete parts purged and component rebuild area accommodates needs
- Decking yard for SFMTA Paratransit appears possible but is costly.

# 2040 Use

Motor Coach Facility

(30) 30' motor coaches(212) 40' motor coaches(24) maintenance bays(6) body/paint bays

# Alternate

The study on the following page includes a preliminary program and test fit to build a Paratransit Facility on a deck over the Woods yard.



#### WOODS YARD SITE

APPROXIMATELY 3.9 ACRES ZONING: 65X

# ALTERNATE TEST FIT FOR ADDING DECK FOR PARATRANSIT

#### PROGRAM

COACH STAGING & CIRC	161,000 SF
PARA STAFF/ MAINT	20,700 SF
PARA STAGING& CIRC	104,500 SF
TOTAL	286,200 SF
FLEET	

	40'	27' PT
GRADE 25x6+12	162	
DECK 12X27+4 in bays		160
TOTAL	162	160

#### AREAS

GRADE EXCLUDING (E) BLD	161,000 SF
DECK YARD	104,500 SF
DECK BUILDING	20,700 SF
DECK TOTAL	286,200 SF

#### NOTE

ASSUMES USE WOODS MAINTENANCE BAY APRON FOR 30' COACH STAGING

NEED SURVEY TO CONFIRM SITE DIMENSIONS AND TOPOGRAPHY

NEED TO CONFIRM CAN BUILD ADJACENT TO FREEWAY



WOODS YARD ALTERNATE 150' = 1'-0" FEBRUARY 2016

SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT





WOODS YARD ALTERNATE 1" = 64' FEBRUARY 2016

# **Potential New Facility**

# **Current Use**

• NA

## **Vision Observations**

 Need larger or expanded motor coach facility for larger fleet.

## **Vision Recommendations**

• Expand Woods or procure new site

## SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning

• Motor Coach, Non-Revenue Vehicle Maintenance, Paratransit, Enforcement and Training Classrooms

## 2016 Observations

• Peer review by WSP Evaluate smaller new facility options including locating Enforcement at Scott and Training at Potrero (or Bayshore which is underutilized. **2040 Use Scenario 1** New Coach, Paratransit, NRV, Enforcement and Training Facility

# 2040 Use Scenario 1A

New Coach and Paratransit Facility

2040 Use Scenario 1B New Coach Facility

2040 Use Scenario 2 NA

The chart on the following pages includes preliminary program for Scenarios 1/1A/1B.

## NEW FACILITY

COMPONENT	FUNCTION	SCEAN	RIO 1	SCEANF	NO 1A	SCENARI	0 1B
Coach	Staff		25,710		25,710		25,710
		115 40'	185,148	115 40'	185,148	115 40'	185,148
	Fleet Staging	115 60'		115 60'		115 60'	
		14' lanes		14' lanes		14' lanes	
	Fuel & Wash	4 diesel	18,843	4 diesel	18,843	4 diesel	18,843
		4 wash		4 wash		4 wash	
		1 steam		1 steam		1 steam	
	Maintenance	24 repair bays	75,205	24 repair bays	75,205	24 repair bays	75,205
		2 tire bays		2 tire bays		2 tire bays	
	Body & Paint	2 body	28,704	2 body	28,704	2 body	28,704
		2 prep		2 prep		2 prep	
		2 paint		2 paint		2 paint	
	Vehicle Circulation		196,081		196,081		196,081
	Support		14,000		14,000		14,000
	Coach Total		543,691		543,691		543,691
	o. <i>4</i>	1					
Paratransit	Staff		9,561		9,561		
	Fleet Staging	150 cutaway	58,320	150 cutaway	58,320		
		10 tall van		10 tall van			
		14' lanes		14' lanes			
	Maintenance	7 repair bays	10,889	7 repair bays	10,889		
	Vehicle Circulation		48,640		48,640		
	Support		3,281		3,281		
	Paratransit Total		130,691		130,691		
Enforcement	Staff		23,243				
Linorcement	Fleet Staging	300 compact	33,369				
		58 standard	00,009				
	Vehicle Circulation		26,717				
	Support		2,188				
	Enforcement Total	1	85,517				
			05,517				

#### NEW FACILITY

COMPONENT	FUNCTION	SCEAN	RIO 1	SCEANR	RIO 1A	SCENAF	RIO 1B
NRV	Staff		5,768				
	Fleet Staging	570 NRV	12,860				
		20 large					
		50 standard					
	Maintenance	11 heavy repair	27,953				
		10 light repair					
		1 steam					
	Vehicle Circulation		23,588				
	Support		1,750				
	NRV Total		71,919				
Training	Staff		21,895				
_	Support		656				
	Traiing Total		22,551				
<b>Total New Fac</b>	cility		854,369		674,382		543,691

#### NOTES

1. Program from WSP Parson Brinkerhoff June 2016 Peer Review of Potential New Facility Program.

2. Assumes 14' lanes for coach and paratransit to allow operation of wheel chair lift in lane.

3. Assumes all 60' coach maintenace bays with half drive through.

4. Component areas include prorated potion of shared support space.

# Potrero

2500 Mariposa Street SFMTA owns Site: 4.4 acres Building: 109,000 sf Opened: 1914 Renovated: 1990

# **Current Use**

Trolley Coach Facility

(75) 40' trolley coaches(93) 60' trolley coaches(26) maint bays/pitslight body repair

## **Vision Observations**

- Only SFMTA 60' trolley coach facility
- Physically and functionally deficient
- Potential TOD/JD site

# **Vision Recommendations**

Temporarily relocate fleet and replace facility

## SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning

Preference to rebuild Potrero with TOD/JD
 before Presidio

## **2016 Observations**

• Potential to rebuild with similar sized trolley facility and larger TOD or rebuild with larger decked trolley and motor coach facility and smaller TOD.

# 2040 Use Scenario 1/1A/1B New Trolley Coach Facility

could include TOD

(110) 60' trolley coaches(11) maintenance bays

# 2040 Use Scenario 2A/2B

New Trolley Coach Facility could include TOD

(111) 60' motor coaches

(72) 60' trolley coaches

(17) maintenance bays

The studies on following pages include preliminary programs and test fits for rebuilding Potrero.



#### **POTRERO SITE**

APPROXIMATELY 4.4 ACRES / 192,000 SF ZONING: 65X HEIGHT & BULK

#### REBUILD TEST FIT FOR SCENARIO 1A/1B (1 SIMILAR, EXCEPT NO TRAINING)

#### PROGRAM

<b>OPERATIONS &amp; MAINT STAFF</b>	19,900 SF
TRAINING	20,000 SF
MAINT BAYS, SHOPS & PARTS	34,000 SF
WASH	1,600 SF
VEHICLE STAGING	77,200 SF
VEHICLE CIRC & STRUCTURE	79,200 SF
TOTAL	231,900 SF
FLEET GRADE 24X3 +3 BAYS	60'T 99 11
TOTAL	110

#### **BUILDING HEIGHT**

67' HEIGHT FROM LOWEST POINT ON SITE 1 @ 25' + 3 @ 13'-6" + 1-'6" PARAPET = 67'

#### SFMTA AREA

GRADE/FIRST	192,000 SF
SECOND FLOOR	39,900 SF
TOTAL	231,900 SF

#### **DEVELOPMENT AREA**

SECOND FLOOR	94,000 SF
THIRD FLOOR	133,900 SF
FOURTH FLOOR	133,900 SF
TOTAL	361,800 SF

#### NOTES

PRELIMINARY PROGRAM DERIVED FROM NEW FACILITY PEER REVIEW. NEED SURVEY TO CONFIRM SITE DIMENSIONS AND TOPOGRAPHY





#### POTRERO REBUILD SCENARIO 1A/1B 1" = 150' JANUARY 2017

SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT

#### **POTRERO SITE**

APPROXIMATELY 4.4 ACRES / 192,000 SF ZONING: 65X HEIGHT & BULK

#### **REBUILD TEST FIT FOR SCENARIO 2A/2B**

#### PROGRAM

OPERATIONS & MAINT STAFF TRAINING MAINT BAYS, SHOPS & PARTS FUEL & WASH		20 57	,600 SF ,000 SF ,200 SF ,400 SF
VEHICLE STAGING VEHICLE CIRC & STRUCTURE		131	,900 SF ,700 SF
TOTAL			,800 SF
FLEET GRADE	NRV 20	60'T	60' M
GRADE 22X3 BAYS DECK 20X5		66 6	11 100
TOTAL	20	72	111

#### **BUILDING HEIGHT**

67' HEIGHT FROM LOWEST POINT ON SITE 1 @ 25' + 3 @ 13'-6" + 1'-6" PARAPET = 67'

#### SFMTA AREA

GRADE/FIRST	192,000 SF
SECOND FLOOR	36,800 SF
THIRD FLOOR	8,800 SF
DECK	155,200 SF
TOTAL	392,800 SF

#### **DEVELOPMENT AREA**

THIRD FLOOR	28,000 SF
FOURTH FLOOR	36,800 SF
TOTAL	64,800 SF

#### NOTES

PRELIMINARY PROGRAM DERIVED FROM NEW FACILITY PEER REVIEW. NEED SURVEY TO CONFIRM DIMENSIONS AND TOPOGRAPHY.



#### POTRERO REBUILD SCENARIO 2A/ 2B 1" = 150' JANUARY 2017

SFMTA

LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT



CURRENT



SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT

#### POTRERO REBUILD SCENARIO 2A/2B

1" = 64' FEBRUARY 2016

# Presidio

949 Presidio Avenue SFMTA owns Site: 5.4 acres Building: 158,000 sf Opened: 1912

# **Current Use**

Trolley Coach and Support

(165) 40' trolley coaches(16) maint bays/pits

Operator Training Storage Reprographics Employee assistance

# **Vision Condition Notes**

- Physically and functional deficient
- Potential TOD/JD site

## **Vision Recommendations**

- Temporarily relocate fleet and replace facility that includes Overhead Lines
- Move training to Bayshore and schedules and reprographics to South Van Ness

# SFMTA Subsequent Issues and Planning

• Schedules moved to Market Street

# 2016 Observations

• Potential to rebuild with similar sized trolley facility and adjoining TOD or rebuild with larger decked trolley facility and adjoining TOD.

# 2040 Use Scenario 1/1A/1B New Trolley Coach Facility

with TOD

(190) 40' trolley coaches(19) maintenance bays

# 2040 Use Scenario 2A/2B

Larger New Trolley Coach Facility with TOD

(191) 40' trolley coaches(45) 60' trolley coaches

(22) maintenance bays

The studies on following pages include preliminary programs and studies for rebuilding Presidio.



#### PRESIDIO SITE

APPROXIMATELY 5.4 ACRES 160E HEIGHT & BULK SOUTH END, 40X REST OF SITE

#### **REBUILD TEST FIT FOR SCENARIO 1/1A/1B**

#### PROGRAM

26,000 SF
52,900 SF
15,100 SF
94,000 SF
2,400 SF
92,300 SF
53,700 SF
149,400 SF
40' T
171
19
190

#### SFMTA BUILDING HEIGHT

2 FLOORS: 1 @ 25' + 1 @ 13'-6" + 1-'6" PARAPET = 40'

#### SFMTA AREA

FIRST FLOOR	47,000 SF
SECOND FLOOR	47,000 SF
BUILDING TOTAL	94,000 SF
YARD	149,400 SF
TOTAL BUILDING & YARD	243,000 SF

#### DEVELOPMENT AREA

UP TO 14 FLOORS; AREA TBD

#### NOTES

PRELIMINARY PROGRAM DERIVED FROM NEW FACILITY PEER REVIEW NEED SURVEY TO CONFIRM SITE DIMENSIONS AND TOPOGRAPHY SFMTA



#### PRESIDIO REBUILD SCENARIO 1/1A/1B 1" = 150' JANUARY 2017

SFMTA

LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT

SFMTA Facilities Assessment and Workplace Planning Report - 20 January 2017 - by Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect

#### PRESIDIO SITE

APPROXIMATELY 5.4 ACRES 160E HEIGHT & BULK @ SOUTH 40X REST OF SITE

#### REBUILD TEST FIT FOR SCENARIO 2A/2B

#### PROGRAM

OPPS/ MAINT STAFF MAINT, SHOPS, PART	
WASH	2,400 SF
VEHICLE STAGING	93,000 SF
VEHICLE CIRC	209,200 SF
GROSS AREA	392,800 SF
FLEET	40' T
GRADE 19x9	171
BAYS	19
TOTAL	190
	÷
SFMTA AREA	1
GRADE/FIRST	196,400 SF
DECK YARD	175,200 SF

DECK YARD	175,200 SF
SECOND FLOOR	21,200 SF
TOTAL	392,800 SF

#### **DEVELOPMENT AREA**

UP TO 14 FLOORS: 1 @ 15-6" + 13 @ 11' + 1'-6" PARAPET

TBD SF PLUS 1912 WING

#### NOTES

PRELIMINARY PROGRAM DERIVED FROM NEW FACILITY PEER REVIEW

NEED SURVEY TO CONFIRM SITE DIMENSIONS AND TOPOGRAPHY

SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT



#### PRESIDIO REBUILD SCENARIO 2A/2B 1" = 150' FEBRUARY 2016





# Cable Car Barn

1201 Mason Street SFMTA owns Site: \_\_\_ acres Building: 83,700 sf Opened 1887 Rebuilt & Reopened 1984

Current Use	Vision Condition Notes	2040 Use
Cable Car Facility	Renovated in 1984	Cable Car Facility
(40) cable cars	Vision Recommendations	Same as current
Museum	Continue use	



# Cameron Beach and Upper Yard

Cameron Beach: 500 Geneva Avenue Upper Yard: No address SFMTA owns Site: 2.8 acres Cameron Beach Building: 51,000 sf

Cameron Beach 2014 Use Historic Street Car Facility (24) street cars (1) maintenance bays (3) body/paint Body/paint for all light rail vehicles and street cars	<ul> <li>Vision Condition Notes</li> <li>Deficient and crowded</li> <li>Vision Recommendations</li> <li>Move street car facility and body/paint to MME and demolish building and reconfigure track.</li> <li>SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning</li> <li>Moved Marin Transit Assistance Program to Cameron Beach</li> <li>2016 Observations</li> <li>Appears underutilized but not deficient</li> <li>Evaluate using for one-off street car storage</li> </ul>	Cameron 2040 Use Historic Street Car Facility (48) street cars (1) maintenance bays (3) body/paint Historic street car resto- ration
Upper Yard 2040 Use TOD/JD	<ul> <li>Vision Condition Notes</li> <li>Upper Yard identified as TOD/JD site</li> <li>SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning</li> <li>SFMTA to sell to Mayor's Office of Housing</li> </ul>	Upper Yard 2040 Use Vacate



# Green and Annex

Green: 2200 San Jose Avenue Annex: 425 Geneva Avenue SFMTA owns Site: 6.7 acres Green: 156,000 sf, opened: 1977 Annex: 35,000 sf, opened: 1987

# Green 2014 Use

Light Rail Vehicle Facility

# (76) light rail vehicles(10) maintenance bays(1) body/paintcomponent rebuildstreet car restorationparking

# Annex 2014 Use

Operations Electronic repair for all light rail vehicles and street cars Radio repair for all SFMTA vehicles.

# **Vision Condition Notes**

- Green has parts storage in service bays
- Green body/paint bay is small, not equipped
- Annex electric shop has backlog

# Vision Recommendations

- Move Green body/paint to MME and use space for storage so bays can be used for service
- Reconfigure electric shop for more efficient use

# 2016 Observations

- Green underutilized. Evaluate moving street car restoration to Cameron and consolidating rail machine shops/component rebuild to increase LRV storage capacity
- Annex underutilized. Evaluate office and shop use.

# Green 2040 Use

# Light Rail Vehicle and PCC Street Car Facility

(101) light rail vehicles(10) maintenance bays(1) body/paintrail machine shops andcomponent rebuildparking

## Annex 2040 Use

Operations Electronic Repair Other Support Shop



# Muni Metro East

601 25th Street SFMTA owns Site: 16.9 acres Building: 163,000 sf Opened: 2008

Current Use Light Rail Vehicle Facility (75) light rail storage (33) street cars (15) maintenance bays	<ul> <li>Vision Condition Notes</li> <li>Needs equipment to be fully functional</li> <li>Has capacity for 125 LRVs &amp; space to expand</li> <li>Vision Recommendations</li> <li>Move Cameron &amp; Marin street cars to MME</li> <li>Build body repair/paint facility for entire fleet</li> <li>SFMTA Subsequent Issues and Planning</li> <li>Moved Marin Muni Signal Shop to MME Moving South Van Ness LRV4 team to MME</li> <li>Planning to add rail at SW corner &amp; rail and back shop at expansion area</li> <li>2016 Observations</li> <li>Potential to use expansion area for trolley swing prior to rail and back shop use</li> </ul>	2040 Use Scenario 1/1A/1B/2B Light Rail Facility (151) light rail vehicles (15) maintenance bays 2040 Use Scenario 2A Light Rail Facility and Trolley Swing Facility and then expanded Light Rail Facility and Back Shop The studies on following pages illustrates how MME expansion area could be used.
--	---	--



#### **MME EXPANSION SITE** APPROXIMATELY 4 ACRES

ZONING: 40X HEIGHT & BULK

# TEST FIT FOR SCENARIO 1/1A/1B/2B

#### LRV STORAGE

YARD

36 LRV



#### MME EXPANSION SCENARIO 1/1A/1B/2B 1" = 150' MARCH 2016

SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT

SFMTA Facilities Assessment and Workplace Planning Report - 20 January 2017 - by Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect
#### **MME EXPANSION SITE** APPROXIMATELY 4 ACRES

ZONING: 40X HEIGHT & BULK

#### **TEST FIT FOR SCENARIO 2A**

SWING TROLLEY FL	.EET
YARD SERVICE	60'T 92 12
OR	104
YARD SERVICE	40'T 131 12
TOTAL	143
YARD	36 LRV
BUILDING MAINTENANCE STAFF/SUPPORT TOTAL	37,200 SF 37,200 SF 74,400 SF





SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT MME EXPANSION SCENARIO 2A 1" = 150' MARCH 2016

# Paratransit

Vendor Leases Leases expire 2018

575 Tunnel Ave, Brisbane 100,000 SF with 2,100 SF trailer for operations

290 Industrial Way 10,000 SF Maintenance Facility

#### Current Use

(120) Cutaway Buses (10) Vans

### **Vision Condition Notes**

• NA

Vision Recommendations

### SFMTA Subsequent Issues and Planning

• SFMTA evaluating providing a facility for the SFMTA's Paratransit fleet.

#### **Observations**

• Evaluate SFMTA purchasing or leasing a Paratransit facility, or continuing to have the Vendor provide a Paratransit facility

### **2040 Use Scenario 1/1A** Paratransit at New Facility

(150) Cutaway Buses(10) Vans(7) Maintenance Bays

### 2040 Use Scenario 1B/2A/2B

~2.7 acre site with a ~20,000 sf building

(150) Cutaway Buses(10) Vans(7) Maintenance Bays





# Bancroft

1508 Bancroft Avenue SFMTA Owns Site: 1 acre Building: 90,000 sf Opened : 2012

Current Use Streets Shop Administra- tion Sign Shop Temporary Sign Shop Meter Shop Non-Revenue Vehicles	<ul> <li>Vision Condition Notes</li> <li>Parking at Yosemite which is two blocks away</li> <li>Vision Recommendations</li> <li>SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning</li> <li>SFMTA developing shell and infrastructure upgrades.</li> <li>2016 Observations</li> <li>Underutilized; evaluate shared use to optimize use of facility</li> </ul>	<b>2040 Use</b> Streets Shop AdministrationSign ShopTemporary Sign ShopMeter ShopNon-Revenue VehiclesOther use to optimize use of facility
---	---	--



# Bayshore

2650 Bayshore Boulevard, Daly City Leased through 2033 Site: 12.7 acres Building: 255,400 sf Built: 1950's SFMTA: 2013

Current Use Tow Facility Traffic signal shop Video Shop Vacant	<ul> <li>Vision Condition Notes</li> <li>New Facility</li> <li>Vision Recommendations</li> <li>Move Long Term Tow to Bayshore</li> <li>Move Rankin Traffic Signal to Bayshore</li> <li>Move Presidio Training to Bayshore</li> <li>SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning</li> <li>Moved Long Term Tow, Traffic Signal, Video Shop &amp; Central Subway Team to Bayshore</li> <li>Planning to consolidate Video and Fare Box Shops into one Bench Shop at Bayshore.</li> <li>2016 Observations</li> <li>Evaluate use of vacant second floor for offices or training.</li> <li>Lease expires in 2032, SFMTA has option to negotiate purchase</li> </ul>	<b>2040 Use</b> Tow FacilityTraffic Signal ShopBench ShopOfficeThe studies on follow-ing page illustrate how abench shop and fare boxvendor could be locat-ed on the first floor andoffices or training couldbe located on the secondfloor.
--	---	---





#### PROGRAM

CONSOLIDATED BENCH	5,460 SF
FARE BOX VENDOR	1,800 SF
(E) CENTRAL SUBWAY	2,270 SF
SURGE SPACE	2, 990 SF

SFMTA Facilities Assessment and Workplace Planning Report - 20 January 2017 - by Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect



#### TEST FIT FOR OFFICE USE WITH APPROXIMATELY 96 PERSON CAPACITY



#### TEST FIT FOR TRAINING USE WITH 2 LARGE CLASSROOMS THAT COMBINE INTO ONE MULTIPURPOSE FOR 225

#### BAYSHORE- SECOND FLOO

1"= 40' MAY 20'

# Bryant

1401 Bryant St SFMTA owns Building: 43,000 sf Site: 1.1 acres Opened 1893 SFMTA: 1944

Current Use Overhead Line Repair	<ul> <li>Vision Condition Notes</li> <li>Unreinforced masonry building is seismic concern</li> </ul>	2040 Use Vacate
	<ul> <li>Vision Recommendations</li> <li>Move Overhead Lines to Presidio to reduce response time</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning</li> <li>City plans to use Bryant for Animal Care and Control, so SFMTA planning to move Over- head Lines to Burke</li> </ul>	



# Burke

1570/1580 Burke Avenue SFMTA Owns Site: 2.4 acres Building: 103,000 sf Opened 1969 SFMTA: 2005

#### **Current Use**

**Central Warehouse** 

- Fleet parts/components
- Fleet contract spares
- Custodial supplies
- Receiving and distribution for campaign parts
- Staging to auction obsolete items
- Emergency command vans

K-9 training

# Vision Condition Notes

- Inefficient layout, uninsulated, poor lighting
- Street car/cable car parts take up space

### Vision Recommendations

- Move street car/cable car parts to MME or Penn
- Move Woods component rebuilt to Burke
- Renovate facility

### SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning

• SFMTA planning to renovate for Warehouse and Overhead Lines

### 2016 Observations

• Renovating Burke and using for Overhead Lines and the Central Warehouse will optimize use of this facility.

#### 2040 Use

Central Warehouse Overhead Lines



# Lenox

West Portal Station 131 Lenox Way SFMTA Owns Suite: 10,000+/- sf Opened 1982

Current Use	Vision Condition Notes	<b>2040 Use</b> Backup Transit Control
Transit Control	<ul> <li>Vision Contantion Notes</li> <li>Vision Recommendations         <ul> <li>SFMTA planning consolidated Transit Management center at Market Street</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Backup Transit Control



# Marin

1399 Marin Street Leased to July 2021, however SFPUC plans to use a portion of site starting 2018 Site: 3.2 acres Building: 27,000 sf SFMTA: 1990

# Current Use

Motor Coach Acceptance Track Shop Street Car Storage

### **Vision Condition Notes**

#### **Vision Recommendations**

- Move Video Shop to Bayshore
- Move Transit Signal Shop to MME
- Move Street Cars to MME

#### SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning

- Motor Coach Acceptance Facility
- SFPUC planning to use a portion site starting in 2018, details are not known at this time

#### 2016 Observations

- Evaluate PUC plans and extending lease for motor coach swing
- Evaluate moving street cars to Cameron Beach & track shop to Green
- Lease expires 2021

#### **2040 Use Scenario** Vacate

The study on following pages illustrates Marin coach capacity for potential swing use.



#### MARIN SITE APPROXIMATELY 3.2 ACRES

#### SWING TEST FIT

	60'
YARD 15X3+7X2	59
SERVICE	4
	63
OR	
	40'
YARD 18X4 + 5X3	89
SERVICE	4
AISLE	9
	102

#### NOTES

NEED TO CONFIRM CAN EXTEND LEASE

NEED INFORMATION ON SFPUC SEWER PROJECT TIMING AND SITE USE

NO ASSESSOR'S MAP, NEED SURVEY TO CONFIRM SITE DIMENSIONS



MARIN SWING 1" = 150' NOVEMBER 2015

SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT

SFMTA Facilities Assessment and Workplace Planning Report - 20 January 2017 - by Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect

# Pennsylvania

700 Pennsylvania Ave SFMTA owns Site: 2 acres Building: 88,000 sf Opened 1947 Renovated: 2000's

<b>Current Use</b> Maintenance Facility	<ul> <li>Vision Condition Notes</li> <li>Large cable car machine shop underutilized</li> <li>Vision Recommendations</li> </ul>	<b>2040 Use</b> Maintenance Facility
Offices Cable Car Machine Shop Facilities Carpentry Shop Electric Shop Track Maint Storage Facility Maint Storage Locksmith Shop Gardeners Custodians Cable Car Machine Shop Non-Revenue Vehicle Parking	<ul> <li>Move Woods machine shop to Penn to consolidate light rail &amp; cable car machine shops.</li> <li>Move Woods cable car carpentry shop to Penn to consolidate maintenance-of-way and cable car carpentry shops.</li> <li>SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning</li> <li>SFMTA &amp; SFPW implementing plan to standardize workspace assignments, improve adjacencies and optimize use of Building B</li> <li>Observations</li> <li>Evaluate consolidating cable car machine shop with other machine shops at Green &amp; Yosemite buildings &amp; grounds paint shop with other buildings &amp; grounds shops at Penn.</li> </ul>	



# Scott

1849 Harrison St SFMTA owns Site: 1.1 Acres Building: 30,000 sf Garage: 88,000 sf Opened: 1989

### **Current Use**

Non-Revenue Vehicle Maintenance Facility City Staff Parking

Service facility on first floor and parking on second, third and roof.

### **Vision Condition Notes**

• Inefficient - crowded service area underutilized parking.

#### Vision Recommendations

• Either make better shared facility or dedicated enforcement facility

#### SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning

SFMTA evaluating exchanging 1401Bryant St for 1200 15th St which is adjacent to Scott.

#### **Observations**

• Potential to use Scott and 15th St for NRV and Enforcement if 1200 15th street transfer to SFMTA.

### **2040 Use Scenario 1** Vacate

### 2040 Use Scenario 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B Non-Revenue Vehicle Maintenance Facility Enforcement Parking

The studies on following pages include a test fit for NRV and Enforcement at Scott and 15th St and an alternative for NRV, Enforcement, MRO and Surge space at Scott and 15th St if 15th Street rebuilt.







29,000SF 7,800 SF

58,700 SF 21,000 SF

31,000 SF

147,500 SF



FLEET	NDV stall	ENF stall EN	IE Co 4 Jano
SCOTT SECOND		23	
SCOTT SECOND	48	23	
SCOTT THIRD	35		76
SCOTT ROOF			186
ACC FIRST			42
TOTAL	48	58	304
AREA			
SCOTT			118,000 SF
15TH ST			29,500 SF
TOTAL			147,500 SF

SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT

SCOTT AND 15TH ST SITE APPROXIMATELY 1.2 ACRES

(E) NRV MAINTENANCE

ENFORCEMENT STAGING VEHICLE CIRC & STRUCTURE

**ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS** 

ZONING: 65-X

**TEST FIT** 

PROGRAM

TOTAL

NRV STAGING

#### SCOTT AND 15TH ST - NRV MAINTENANCE AND ENFORCEMENT 1" = 150' JANUARY 2017

132

SFMTA Facilities Assessment and Workplace Planning Report - 20 January 2017 - by Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect





FLEET.





#### SCOTT AND 15TH ST SITE

APPROXIMATELY 1.2 ACRES ZONING: 65-X

#### **TEST FIT**

#### PROGRAM

(E) NRV MAINTENANCE	29,000 SF
<b>ENFORCEMENT &amp; MRO OPERATIONS</b>	38,600 SF
OTHER OFFICE	19,300 SF
NRV STAGING	7,800 SF
MRO STAGING	5,800 SF
ENFORCEMENT STAGING	57,700 SF
VEHICLE CIRC & STRUCTURE	37,000 SF
TOTAL	195,200 SF

	NRV stall	MRO STAFF	ENF stall	ENF Go-4 lane
SCOTT SECOND	48		23	
SCOTT THIRD		36	35	
SCOTT ROOF				186
15TH ST FIRST				112
TOTAL	48	36	58	298
AREA				
SCOTT			118,000 SF	
15TH ST			77,200 SF	
TOTAL			195,200 SF	

#### SFMTA LAURA BLAKE ARCHITECT

#### SCOTT AND 15TH ST ALTERNATE

1" = 150' JANUARY 2017

# Yosemite

1528 & 1538 Yosemite Avenue 35,000 sf and 4,100 sf Lease expires July 2016, option to purchase or extend lease 3 years plus 18 months SFMTA:2012

# **Current Use** Streets Paint Shop Parking for Bancroft Building & Grounds Paint Shop

Vision Condition Notes

Vision Recommendations NA

#### **Observations**

Lease expires July 2019, SFMTA has option for 18 month extension

2040 Use

Streets Paint Shop Parking for Bancroft



# 7th Street

450 7th Street Leased

Current Use Tow Facility	Vision Condition Notes Vision Recommendations	<b>2040 Use</b> Tow Facility
	SFMTA Subsequent Needs and Planning	



# Enforcement

505 7th St (899 Bryant)

Lease expires Jan 2018 9,700 sf building 3,200 sf parking

**571 10th Street** Caltrans monthly lease 12,100 sf lot

6th and Townsend Caltrans monthly lease 32,500 sf lot

2323 Cesar Chavez St City Yard

# **Current Use**

62 Staff + 305 PCO 300 Go-4 + 58 Standard

7th St - office 10th St - 45 tow/boot/scofflaw 6th St - 200 various units Chavez - 60 street sweeping Vehicle maintenance by SFPW

# Vision Condition Notes

• NA

# Vision Recommendations

 Consider consolidating Enforcement at Scott

# SFMTA Subsequent Issues and Planning

• SFMTA considering consolidating enforcement operations and fleet at New Facility; vehicle maintenance will remain at SFPW facility.

# 2016 Observations

• Potential to consolidate Enforcement staff at 15th Street and Enforcement vehicles at adjacent Scott Garage. **2040 Use Scenario 1** Consolidate Enforcement at New Facility

### 2040 Use Scenario 1A/1B/2A and 2B Consolidate Enforcement at Scott and 15th Street

The study starting on page 132 includes a preliminary program and test fit for NRV & Enforcement at Scott & 15th St and an alternate for NRV, Enforcement & MRO if 15th Street is rebuilt.





# **Appendix 4: Facility Condition Assessment**

# **Condition Assessment Process**

EMG Corporation, specialists in facility condition assessment, undertook an assessment of 18 SFMTA facilities including:

### Motor Coach

Flynn Kirkland Woods



#### **Trolley Coach** Presidio Potrero

- Rail
- Cable Car Barn Green Muni Metro East

EMG conducted walk-through surveys to observe facility systems and materials, identify physical deficiencies, and formulate recommendations to remedy the deficiencies. During the walk-through surveys EMG interviewed staff on historical and pending repairs/replacements. Next EMG prepared draft facility condition reports for each building, reviewed them with SFMTA, and made corrections as needed.

EMG provided a typical ranking methodology to prioritize deficiencies (described on page 144) and recommended that the control facilities essential to transit service have a higher priority than the other facilities surveyed. Using this ranking methodology EMG provided a prioritized list of maintenance needs Streets and Support Alameda Bancroft Bayshore Bryant Burke Lenox Pennsylvania Scott 7th Street 10th Street

for all facilities included in the survey, as well as, an electronic database that SFMTA can integrate into its Enterprise Asset Management System. The information will serve as a guide for future replacement, repairs, and improvements for the facilities surveyed.

TBD Consulting, local cost consultants knowledgeable about San Francisco procurement practices, reviewed EMG's costs and made recommendations for contingency and soft cost mark-ups (described on page 146). These mark-ups along with escalation were applied to unit costs to develop maintenance cost estimates (summarized on page 147).



# Findings and Prioritizing Maintenance Needs

The Facility Condition Assessment identified both deferred maintenance needs and anticipated maintenance needs over the next twenty years. The objective of the assessment was to identify work needed to maintain the facilities for their intended use and thus includes repairs and replacement of facility systems and finishes as well as known regulatory compliance and risk management items. It does not include code or functional upgrades. The items were prioritized using EMG's standard methodology which aligns with the SFMTA capital planning criteria as summarized below:

#### Criteria

Safety

**Regulatory Compliance** 

Risk Management (i.e. grievance, ADA, hazard)

Service Critical Improvements to Operational Capacity (e.g. equipment fit-out and lift replacement)

Employee Morale Priorities (e.g. restrooms, lighting, HVAC)

#### Item Ranking

#### **Highest** Fire Protection

Regulatory Compliance Risk Mitigation

#### High

Structural Problem Weather Protection HVAC Site Lighting

#### Medium and Low

Building Systems Stairs & Elevators Finishes


## Maintenance Costs and Planning

The maintenance estimate includes the estimated repair or replacement cost of items identified in the facility condition assessment, 25% design contingency, 10% construction contingency, 35% soft costs and 3% per year escalation.

The chart on the right provides a summary of the estimated maintenance costs for 2016 and the total through 2036 for each facility included in the facility condition assessment. The 2016 costs include a number of deferred needs and the total 20 year cost includes both deferred and anticipated future needs. The information has been provided in an electronic database; as maintenance work is completed items can be removed from the database, and as new needs are identified, items can be added to the database.

Facility Condition Assessment was prepared assuming that facilities will be used for their intended function for the next twenty years. However SFMTA should evaluate maintenance expenditures on facilities that will not be retained.

The data from the Facility Condition Assessment is documented in numerous reports including:

- Executive Summary
- Prioritized Spreadsheet (list of deficiencies and costs by year)
- Replacement Reserves Report
- Building Specific Reports (separate for each building)

SFMTA is in the process of applying further filters and prioritization criteria that enable FCA information to be used for ongoing budgeting for maintenance and capital planning.

## Maintenance Cost Estimate

Facility	Building Area (sf)	Site Area (acres)	2016 Estimate	20 Year Estimate
Flynn	266,000	6.2	\$6,700,000	\$25,400,000
Kirkland	13,200	2.6	\$1,500,000	\$3,200,000
Woods	158,000	8.2	\$8,300,000	\$31,100,000
Potrero	109,000	4.4	\$7,400,000	\$14,300,000
Presidio	158,000	5.4	\$6,000,000	\$20,100,000
Cable Car	83,700	1	\$6,100,000	\$13,500,000
Green	191,000	6.7	\$1,700,000	\$26,600,000
MME	163,000	16.9	\$6,700,000	\$21,100,000
Alameda	7,000		\$300,000	\$8,500,000
Bancroft	90,000	1	\$700,000	\$2,900,000
Bryant	48,000	1.1	\$3,100,000	\$4,500,000
Burke	103,000	2.4	\$2,600,000	\$4,500,000
Lenox	10,000		\$400,000	\$1,200,000
Pennsylvania	88,000	2	\$200,000	\$15,700,000
Scott	118,000	1.1	\$1,000,000	\$8,600,000
Total Owned	1,605,900	59	\$52,700,000	\$201,200,000
7th	9,700		\$700,000	\$2,600,000
10th	400		\$300,000	\$900,000
Bayshore	255,000		\$7,300,000	\$21,100,000
Total Leased	265,100		\$8,300,000	\$24,600,000
Total Owned & Leased	1,871,000	59	\$61,000,000	\$225,800,000

# Appendix 5: Framework Cost Analysis

## Framework Cost Analysis

The Framework cost analysis is a budget level analysis developed to compare the five scenarios included in this report. The estimate for each scenario includes capital, maintenance/tenant improvement, and contingency costs. The estimates are based on the scopes and schedules described in this report. As more information is available, the estimates should be refined and updated.

The chart on the following page is a comparison of the budget level costs of the five scenarios included in this report. The capital cost/scenario cost for each scenario are shown in red. The component costs for each scenario are shown in black. The following describes what is included in the costs and their sources.

- Scenario Cost includes capital, maintenance/tenant improvement, and contingency costs.
- **Capital Costs** include New Facility and other purchase costs, SFMTA project costs and lease savings. TOD Savings are not known at this time and thus not included.
- **New Facility Costs** derived by SFMTA based on information from the developer; assume purchase over ten years.
- SFMTA Project Costs developed by TBD Consultants; include hard and soft costs escalated to mid point of construction.
- Lease Savings provided by SFMTA; are to 2040.
- Maintenance/Tenant Improvement Costs include maintenance costs identified in the Facility Condition Assess-

ment and an allowance for tenant improvements.

• Scenario Contingency is for EIR, Hazardous Materials Abatement, Unforeseen Conditions, etc.

The spreadsheet with the analysis for each scenario is included on the following pages and TBD Consultant's Report with the SFMTA capital project budget estimates is included on the subsequent pages.

## Scenario Cost Comparison

	<b>Scenario</b> \$1,335M / \$1		<b>Scenario</b> \$1,170M / \$1		<b>Scenario</b> \$1,065M / \$1		<b>Scenario</b> \$867M / \$1,3		<b>Scenario</b> \$1,335M / \$1	
New	coach	\$588M	coach	\$577M	coach	\$579M				
Facility	paratransit	\$138M	paratransit	\$135M						
	NRV	\$73M								
	enforcement	t \$92M								
	training	\$27M								
MME	rail	\$39M	rail	\$39M	rail	\$39M	rail & swing	\$136M	rail	\$39M
Potrero	rebuilds	\$420M	rebuilds	\$419M	rebuilds	\$419M	rebuilds	\$687M	rebuilds	\$737M
Presidio			training	\$18M	training	\$18M	training	\$18M	training	\$18M
Kirkland										
Scott	vacate		NRV	\$0	NRV	\$0	NRV	\$0	NRV	\$0
			enf parking	\$0	enf parking	\$0	enf parking	\$0	enf parking	\$0
<b>15th St</b> (To be transfered to SFMTA)			enforcement	\$23M	enforcement	\$23M	enforcement	\$23M	enforcement	\$23M
Other					paratransit	\$30M	paratransit	\$30M	paratransit	\$30M
Lease Savings		-\$42M		-\$41M		-\$43M		-\$27M		-\$28M

		Estimated Maintenance & TI Costs	Estimated Capital Costs	Estimated Scenario Contingency	Estimated Total Cost through 2040
SCENARIO 1 Purchase New Multi-Modal Expand Rail at MME Rebuild Potrero, Presidio a		\$288M	\$1,335M	\$308M	\$1,931M
SCENARIO 1A Purchase New Motor Coac Renovate 15th St for Enfor Expand Rail at MME Rebuild Potrero, Presidio a	cement	\$288M	\$1,170M	\$277M	\$1,735M
SCENARIO 1B Purchase New Motor Coac Purchase Existing Paratran Renovate 15th St for Enford Expand Rail at MME Rebuild Potrero, Presidio a	sit Facility cement	\$288M	\$1,065M	\$257M	\$1,610M
SCENARIO 2A Purchase Existing Paratran Renovate 15th St for Enford Build Swing/Rail at MME Rebuild Potrero and Presid	cement	\$288M land	\$867M	\$219M	\$1,374M
SCENARIO 2B Purchase Existing Paratran Renovate 15th St for Enform Expand Rail at MME, Rebu Potrero and Presidio Decke	cement ild Kirkland as swing	\$288M Rebuild	\$819M	\$210M	\$1,317M

#### SOURCES

1. SFMTA - PURCHASE AND LEASE ESTIMATES

2. EMG CORPORATION - MAINTENANCE COST ESTIMATES

3. TBD CONSULTANTS - CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATES

#### ASSUMPTIONS

1. LEASE TO PURCHASE NEW FACILITY 10 YEARS AFTER OCCUPANCY

2. SWAP ACC INCLUDING STREET VACATION FOR BRYANT ST

3. ESTIMATED COSTS ASSUME TIMING SHOWN

4. ESTIMATED PROJECT COSTS EXCLUDE ENTITLEMENT, EIR, HAZARDOUS ABATEMENT COSTS, ETC, ASSUMED PART OF SCENARIO CONTINGENCY

### NEED DETERMINE/REFINE

1. NEW FACILITY COSTS

2. T.O.D REVENUES

3. 15% DESIGN, 10% CONSTRUCTION, 35% SOFT COST AND 19% SCENARIO CONTINGENCY PERCENTAGES

AINTENANCE AND	TENANT IMPROVEMENTS	Average Annual Cost	Cost thru 2040 Start Date
		\$12,000,000	\$288,000,000
PURCHASE		Average Annual Cost	Total Cost Construction Sta
New Facility	New Motor Coach, Paratransit, NRV, Enforcement, Training Facility	\$91,800,000	\$918,400,000 January, 2020
SFMTA OWNED		October 2016 Cost	Escalated Cost Construction Sta
MME Expansion	Rail Expansion	\$31,600,000	\$38,700,000 January, 2021
Potrero	Rebuild for Trolley Coach	\$121,400,000	\$157,900,000 January, 2023
Presidio	Rebuild for Trolley Coach	\$131,200,000	\$186,400,000 January, 2026
Kirkland	Rebuild for Motor Coach	\$48,500,000	\$75,300,000 January, 2029
COSTS		\$332,700,000	\$458,300,000
SFMTA TOD REVENU	E AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040	Average Annual Cost	Cost thru 2040 Start Date
Potrero	TOD above SFMTA facility	TBD	TBD January, 2025
Presidio	Site fronting Geary	TBD	TBD January, 2028
Swing	Lease Savings	-\$1,300,000	-\$20,700,000 June, 2023
Enforcement	Lease Savings for 4 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th S, Cesar Chavez)	-\$900,000	-\$14,500,000 June, 2023
Paratransit	Lease Savings for 2 sites	-\$400,000	-\$6,900,000 June, 2023
REVENUE/SAVING	is a second s	-\$2,600,000	-\$42,100,000
SCENARIO SUBTOTA	L		\$1,622,600,000
SCENARIO CONTING	ENCY (19%)		\$308,300,000
		SCENARIO 1	\$1,930,900,000
ENARIO 1A MAINTENANCE AND	TENANT IMPROVEMENTS	SCENARIO 1 Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000	\$1,930,900,000 Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000
MAINTENANCE AND	TENANT IMPROVEMENTS	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP		Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000 Total/Escalated Construction Sta
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000 Total/Escalated Construction Sta \$712,000,000 January, 2020
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP		Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000 Total/Escalated Construction Sta
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         Start Date
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000 Total/Escalated Construction Sta \$712,000,000 January, 2020 \$22,900,000 January, 2021 \$734,900,000 Escalated Cost Construction Sta
AAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Rail Expansion	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000 Total/Escalated Construction Sta \$712,000,000 January, 2020 \$22,900,000 \$22,900,000 Escalated Cost Construction Sta \$38,700,000 January, 2021
AAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Rail Expansion Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         Escalated Cost           Escalated Cost         Construction Sta           \$38,700,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023
AAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Rail Expansion	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000 \$131,200,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         Start Date           Escalated Cost         Construction Sta           \$38,700,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2026
AAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Rail Expansion Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training Rebuild for Trolley Coach	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         Escalated Cost           Escalated Cost         Construction Sta           \$38,700,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Rail Expansion Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training Rebuild for Trolley Coach	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000 \$131,200,000 \$48,500,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$38,700,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2026           \$75,300,000         January, 2029
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Rail Expansion Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training Rebuild for Trolley Coach Rebuild for Motor Coach	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000 \$131,200,000 \$48,500,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         January, 2020           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2020           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2026           \$75,300,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         January, 2029
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility         Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Rail Expansion         Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training         Rebuild for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000 \$131,200,000 \$48,500,000 \$346,800,000 Average Annual Cost	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2020           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2026           \$75,300,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         Cost thru 2040           Cost thru 2040         Start Date           TBD         January, 2025
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Potrero	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Rail Expansion Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training Rebuild for Trolley Coach Rebuild for Motor Coach E AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000 \$131,200,000 \$48,500,000 \$346,800,000 Average Annual Cost TBD	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2020           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2026           \$75,300,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         Start Date           TBD         January, 2025
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Potrero Presidio	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility         Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Rail Expansion         Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training         Rebuild for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         E AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         TOD above SFMTA facility         Site fronting Geary	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000 \$131,200,000 \$48,500,000 \$48,500,000 Average Annual Cost TBD TBD	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         January, 2020           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         Start Date           TBD         January, 2025           TBD         January, 2028
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Potrero Presidio Marin	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility         Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Rail Expansion         Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training         Rebuild for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         E AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         TOD above SFMTA facility         Site fronting Geary         Lease Savings	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000 \$131,200,000 \$48,500,000 \$346,800,000 Average Annual Cost TBD TBD TBD	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         January, 2020           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2026           \$75,300,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         Start Date           TBD         January, 2025           TBD         January, 2028           -\$20,700,000         June, 2023
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Potrero Presidio Marin Enforcement	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility         Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Rail Expansion         Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training         Rebuild for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         E AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         TOD above SFMTA facility         Site fronting Geary         Lease Savings         Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)         Lease Savings for 2 sites	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$131,500,000 \$131,200,000 \$48,500,000 Average Annual Cost TBD TBD -\$1,300,000 -\$800,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         January, 2020           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2020           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2026           \$75,300,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         Start Date           TBD         January, 2025           TBD         January, 2023           -\$20,700,000         June, 2023           -\$13,800,000         June, 2024
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Potrero Presidio Marin Enforcement Paratransit REVENUE/SAVINO	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility         Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Rail Expansion         Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training         Rebuild for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         E AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         TOD above SFMTA facility         Site fronting Geary         Lease Savings         Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)         Lease Savings for 2 sites	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000 \$131,200,000 \$48,500,000 \$346,800,000 Average Annual Cost TBD TBD TBD -\$1,300,000 -\$800,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         January, 2020           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2020           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2026           \$75,300,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         Start Date           TBD         January, 2025           TBD         January, 2023           -\$20,700,000         June, 2023           -\$13,800,000         June, 2024           -\$6,900,000         June, 2023
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP New Facility 15th Street COSTS SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Potrero Presidio Marin Enforcement Paratransit REVENUE/SAVINO SCENARIO SUBTOTA	New Motor Coach and Paratransit Facility         Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Rail Expansion         Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training         Rebuild for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         E AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         TOD above SFMTA facility         Site fronting Geary         Lease Savings         Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)         Lease Savings for 2 sites	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Average Annual Cost \$71,200,000 \$18,600,000 \$89,800,000 October 2016 Cost \$31,600,000 \$135,500,000 \$131,200,000 \$48,500,000 \$346,800,000 Average Annual Cost TBD TBD TBD -\$1,300,000 -\$800,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         January, 2020           Total/Escalated         Construction Sta           \$712,000,000         January, 2020           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$734,900,000         January, 2021           \$176,200,000         January, 2023           \$186,400,000         January, 2029           \$476,600,000         Start Date           TBD         January, 2023           -\$20,700,000         June, 2023           -\$20,700,000         June, 2023           -\$6,900,000         June, 2023           -\$41,400,000         Start Adve, 2023

	TENANT IMPROVEMENTS	Average Annual Cost	Cost thru 2040 Start Date
		\$12,000,000	\$288,000,000
PURCHASE/SWAP		Annual/Oct 16 Cost	Total/Escalated Construction Star
New Facility	New Motor Coach Facility	\$57,900,000	\$578,600,000 January, 2020
15th Street	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement	\$18,600,000	\$22,900,000 January, 2021
Paratransit	Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements	\$30,000,000	\$30,000,000 January 2018
COSTS		\$106,500,000	\$631,500,000
		Ortober 2016 Cost	Escalated Cost Construction Star
SFMTA OWNED	Deil Evennien	October 2016 Cost	Escalated Cost Construction Star \$38,700,000 January, 2021
MME Expansion	Rail Expansion	\$31,600,000	
Potrero	Rebuild for Trolley Coach & Training	\$135,500,000	\$176,200,000 January, 2023
Presidio Kirkland	Rebuild for Trolley Coach	\$131,200,000	\$186,400,000 January, 2026 \$75,300,000 January, 2029
COSTS	Rebuild for Motor Coach	\$48,500,000 \$346,800,000	
COSIS		\$346,800,000	\$476,600,000
	JE AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040	Average Annual Cost	Total Start Date
Potrero	TOD above SFMTA facility	TBD	TBD January, 2025
Presidio	Site fronting Geary	TBD	TBD January, 2028
Marin	Lease Savings	-\$1,300,000	-\$20,700,000 June, 2023
Enforcement	Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)	-\$900,000	-\$13,800,000 June, 2024
Paratransit	Lease Savings for 2 sites	-\$400,000	-\$9,100,000 January, 2018
REVENUE/SAVING	GS	-\$2,600,000	-\$43,600,000
SCENARIO SUBTOT	AL		\$1,352,500,000
SCENARIO PROJEC	F CONTINGENCY (19%)		\$257,000,000
		SCENARIO 1B	\$1,609,500,000
		COLIMATO IB	<i><i><i>ϕ</i></i>1,000,000</i>
			¥1,003,000,000
	TENANT IMPROVEMENTS		
	TENANT IMPROVEMENTS	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000
MAINTENANCE AND	TENANT IMPROVEMENTS	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000
PURCHASE/SWAP		Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000 Total/Escalated
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000 Total/Escalated \$22,900,000 January, 2021
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit		Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         \$22,900,000           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000 Total/Escalated \$22,900,000 January, 2021
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         \$2288,000           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         \$218
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         \$2288,000           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         \$218
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         5           Total/Escalated         30,000,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         Escalated Cost           \$6,100,000         January 2020
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements Allowance for minor improvements	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost	Cost thru 2040 Start Date \$288,000,000 Total/Escalated \$22,900,000 January, 2021 \$30,000,000 Escalated Cost Construction Star \$6,100,000 January 2020 Escalated Cost Construction Star
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements Allowance for minor improvements Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         \$22,900,000           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         Start Date           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$6,100,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements Allowance for minor improvements Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         \$2288,000,000           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         Start Date           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$6,100,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements         Allowance for minor improvements         Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop         Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training         Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         \$22,900,000           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         Start Date           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$6,100,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2027
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements Allowance for minor improvements Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         \$288,000,000           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$233,600,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2027           \$77,600,000         January, 2030
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements Allowance for minor improvements Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach Rebuild for Motor Coach	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000 \$48,500,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         \$22,900,000           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         Start Date           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$6,100,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2027
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements Allowance for minor improvements Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach Build for Motor Coach	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000 \$48,500,000 606,800,000 Average Annual Cost	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           \$288,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         Start Date           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2027           \$77,600,000         January, 2030           \$841,200,000         Start Date
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENL Presidio	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements Allowance for minor improvements Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach Rebuild for Motor Coach JE AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040 Site fronting Geary	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000 \$48,500,000 606,800,000 Average Annual Cost TBD	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         Start Date           Escalated Cost         Construction Start           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2027           \$77,600,000         January, 2030           \$841,200,000         TBD
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Presidio Swing	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements Allowance for minor improvements Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach Rebuild for Motor Coach Build for Motor Coach	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000 \$48,500,000 Average Annual Cost TBD -\$1,400,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Total/Escalated           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$6,100,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2023           \$841,200,000         January, 2032           -\$10,700,000         June, 2032
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Presidio Swing Enforcement	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements         Allowance for minor improvements         Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop         Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training         Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         JE AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         Site fronting Geary         Lease Savings for Swing         Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000 \$48,500,000 Average Annual Cost TBD -\$1,400,000 -\$900,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           January, 2021         \$30,000,000           January 2018         \$52,900,000           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$6,100,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023           \$343,300,000         January, 2023           \$841,200,000         January, 2032           -\$10,700,000         June, 2032           -\$13,800,000         June, 2024
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Presidio Swing Enforcement Paratransit	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements         Allowance for minor improvements         Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop         Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training         Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         JE AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         Site fronting Geary         Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)         Lease Savings for 2 sites	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000 606,800,000 Average Annual Cost TBD -\$1,400,000 -\$900,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2023           \$377,600,000         January, 2030           \$841,200,000         January, 2032           -\$10,700,000         June, 2032           -\$13,800,000         June, 2032           -\$13,800,000         June, 2024           -\$9,100,000         January, 2018
MAINTENANCE AND  PURCHASE/SWAP  15th Street Paratransit COSTS  LEASE Swing  SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS  SFMTA TOD REVENU Presidio Swing Enforcement	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements         Allowance for minor improvements         Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop         Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training         Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         JE AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         Site fronting Geary         Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)         Lease Savings for 2 sites	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000 \$48,500,000 Average Annual Cost TBD -\$1,400,000 -\$900,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           January, 2021         \$30,000,000           January 2018         \$52,900,000           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$6,100,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023           \$343,300,000         January, 2023           \$841,200,000         January, 2032           -\$10,700,000         June, 2032           -\$13,800,000         June, 2024
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENL Presidio Swing Enforcement Paratransit	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements         Allowance for minor improvements         Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop         Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training         Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         JE AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         Site fronting Geary         Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)         Lease Savings for 2 sites         3S	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000 606,800,000 Average Annual Cost TBD -\$1,400,000 -\$900,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Start Date           Total/Escalated         \$22,900,000           \$30,000,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$293,600,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2023           \$841,200,000         January, 2032           -\$10,700,000         January, 2032           -\$13,800,000         June, 2032           -\$13,800,000         June, 2024           -\$9,100,000         January, 2018
MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE/SWAP 15th Street Paratransit COSTS LEASE Swing SFMTA OWNED MME Expansion Potrero Presidio Kirkland COSTS SFMTA TOD REVENU Presidio Swing Enforcement Paratransit REVENUE/SAVINO SCENARIO SUBTOT/	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement         Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements         Allowance for minor improvements         Build New Trolley Swing that becomes Rail Expansion and Backshop         Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training         Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach         Rebuild for Motor Coach         JE AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040         Site fronting Geary         Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)         Lease Savings for 2 sites         3S	Average Annual Cost \$12,000,000 Annual/Oct 16 Cost \$18,600,000 \$30,000,000 \$48,600,000 October 2016 Cost \$5,000,000 October 2016 Cost \$110,700,000 \$219,200,000 \$228,400,000 606,800,000 Average Annual Cost TBD -\$1,400,000 -\$900,000	Cost thru 2040         Start Date           \$288,000,000         Total/Escalated           \$22,900,000         January, 2021           \$30,000,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2018           \$52,900,000         January 2020           Escalated Cost         Construction Star           \$135,700,000         January, 2021           \$233,600,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2023           \$334,300,000         January, 2030           \$841,200,000         January, 2032           -\$10,700,000         June, 2032           -\$13,800,000         June, 2024           -\$9,100,000         January, 2018           -\$33,600,000         January, 2018

CENARIO 2B				
MAINTENANCE AND	TENANT IMPROVEMENTS	Average Annual Cost	Cost thru 2040	Start Date
		\$12,000,000	\$288,000,000	
PURCHASE/SWAP		Annual/Oct 16 Cost	Total/Escalated	
15th Street	Swap 15th St & Bryant St, renovate 15th St for Enforcement	\$18,600,000	\$22,900,000	January, 2021
Paratransit	Purchase Existing Facility and \$5M for minor improvements	\$30,000,000	\$30,000,000	January 2018
COSTS		\$48,600,000	\$52,900,000	
LEASE		October 2016 Cost	Escalated Cost	Construction Star
Swing	Allowance for minor improvements	\$5,000,000	\$6,100,000	January 2020
SFMTA OWNED		October 2016 Cost	Escalated Cost	Construction Start
MME Expansion	Rail Expansion	\$31,600,000	\$38,700,000	January, 2021
Kirkland	Rebuild for Motor/Trolley Coach	\$62,200,000	\$80,900,000	January, 2023
Potrero	Rebuild Decked for Trolley /Motor Coach & Training	\$219,200,000	\$311,500,000	January, 2026
Presidio	Rebuild Decked for Trolley Coach	\$228,400,000	\$363,800,000	January, 2029
COSTS		541,400,000	\$794,900,000	
SFMTA TOD REVENU	E AND LEASE SAVINGS THROUGH 2040	Average Annual Cost		
Presidio	Site fronting Geary	TBD	TBD	January, 2029
Swing	Lease Savings for Swing	-\$1,400,000	-\$11,900,000	June, 2031
Enforcement	Lease Savings for 3 sites (505 7th, 10th St, 6th St)	-\$900,000	-\$13,800,000	June, 2024
Paratransit	Lease Savings for 2 sites	-\$400,000	-\$9,100,000	January, 2018
REVENUE/SAVING	S	-\$2,700,000	-\$34,800,000	
SCENARIO SUBTOTA	L		\$1,107,100,000	
SCENARIO PROJECT	CONTINGENCY (19%)		\$210,300,000	
		SCENARIO 2B	\$1,317,400,000	

								PURCHA	SE NEW N	ULTI-MO	DAL FACI	LITY AND	REBUILD	DETERIC	RATED F	ACILITIES	i								
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	TOTAL
MAINTENANCE & TI	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$288M
PURCHASE NEW						\$92M	\$92M	\$92M	\$92M	\$92M	\$92M	\$92M	\$92M	\$92M	\$92M										\$918M
REBUILD							\$158M			\$186M			\$75M												\$420M
PURCHASE /BUILD				\$39M																					\$39M
LEASE SAVINGS																									-\$42M
CONTINGENCY																									\$308M
TOTAL	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$51M	\$12M	\$104M	\$262M	\$104M	\$104M	\$290M	\$104M	\$104M	\$179M	\$104M	\$104M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$12M	\$1,931M







## **Project Budget Estimates**

### SFMTA Facilities Framework

Framework Cost Plan San Francisco, California

### Based on review & analysis of:

Facility Planning and Workplace Planning Draft Report

#### **Report Prepared for:**

### **Owen Adams Consulting**

11/11/2016, Revised

#### SFMTA Facilities Framework Framework Cost Plan San Francisco, California



Facility Planning and Workplace Planning Draft Report consultants

3/2/2015

Date

11/11/2016. Revised

#### BASIS OF ESTIMATE

#### REFERENCE DOCUMENTATIO

This Construction Cost Plan was produced from the following documentation:

Document	Date
SFMTA Facility Assessment & Workplace Planning Draft Report	6/10/2016
SFMTA Framework Spreadsheet	11/7/2016
SFMTA Facility Planning Framework Draft	11/14/2016
SFMTA Islais Creek Cost Estimate & Bid Results	10/3/2014 &
SPINITA Islais Cleek Cost Estimate & Bid Results	10/3/2014 a

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Document

Scope of work includes master plan cost planning for scenarios of development for SFMTA scope at various sites. Transit-oriented development portions of potential projects are not included in this report.

#### BASIS FOR PRICING

This framework cost plan reflects benchmark construction cost values for the various projects and should not be construed as a prediction of low bid. Prices are based on local prevailing wage construction costs at the time the plan was prepared. Pricing assumes a procurement process with competitive bidding for all sub-trades of the construction work, which is to mean a minimum of 3 bids for all subcontractors and materials/equipment suppliers. If fewer bids are solicited or received, prices can be expected to be higher. Conversely in a competitive market should a larger number of sub-bids be received (i.e. 6 or more) pricing can expected to be lower than the current estimate.

Subcontractor's markups have been included in each line item unit price. Markups cover the cost of field overhead, home office overhead and subcontractor's profit. Subcontractor's markups typically range from 15% to 25% of the unit price depending on market conditions.

General Contractor's/Construction Manager's Site Requirement and Jobsite Management costs are calculated on a percentage basis. General Contractor's/Construction Manager's overhead and fees are based on a percentage of the total direct costs plus general conditions, and cover the contractor's bond, insurance, site office overheads and profit. For planning purposes a cumulative additional rate of 20% over direct costs has been used for the General Contractor markups (excluding contingencies).

Unless identified otherwise, the cost of such items as overtime, shift premiums and construction phasing are not included in the line item unit price.

This framework cost plan is based on standard industry practice, professional experience and knowledge of the local construction market costs. TBD Consultants have no control over the material and labor costs, contractors methods of establishing prices or the market and bidding conditions at the time of bid. Therefore TBD Consultants do not guarantee that the bids received will not vary from this cost estimate

#### ditional Conditions of Const

The general contract will be competitively bid with gualified general and main subcontractors There will likely be local hire, small business set-aside, and equal opportunity employment requirements The contractor will be required to pay prevailing wages There are no phasing requirements The contractor will have full access to the site during normal business hours LEED performance standard will be minimum gold

more value, less risk www.tbdconsultants.com



111 Pine St, Suite 1315 | San Francisco | CA | 94111 TEL: (415) 981-9430 | FAX: (415) 941-9 SAN FRANCISCO | LOS ALTOS | SACRAMENTO |LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO | SEATTLE

Page 1

#### SFMTA Facilities Framework Framework Cost Plan San Francisco, California



#### BASIS OF ESTIMATE

#### CONTINGENCY

The following contingencies have been applied to the planning level construction costs: 15.0%

Design Contingency

The Design Contingency is carried to cover scope that lacks definition, is unique to a particular project, or may be added to the design. As the design becomes more complete the Design Contingency is typically reduced.

Construction Contingency 10.0%

The Construction Contingency is carried to cover the unforeseen during construction execution and Risks that do not currently have mitigation plans. As Risks are mitigated, Construction Contingency can be reduced, but should not be eliminated

An owner's project contingency has not been included in this construction cost plan, but it is recommended that the owner carry additional contingency to cover scope change, bidding conditions, claims and delays.

#### CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE - FOR START DATE OF JANUARY 2022



Escalation is required to the midpoint of construction which is assumed to be 74 months from November 2016

#### ESCALATION - RATES AND COMPOUNDI

Escalation to Midpoint of			
Construction for January 2022			
Start Date:	26.27%	Compounded Rate	Based on cumulative escalation over 6.14 Years

The compounded escalation rate for the project shown above is based on a start date of January 2022. Construction cost escalation for other projects and scenarios is calculated at a compounded rate from the date of this document to the midpoint of construction. Start dates are taken from the Framework Scenario Time-line Comparison in the Draft Report, and midpoints are assumed to be one year from the start of construction. The rates used for compounding are shown below:

Year 1	5.00%	
Year 2	4.50%	
Year 3	4.00%	
Year 4	3.50%	
Year 5	3.37%	
Beyond 5 Years	3.00%	Approximate long-term historical average

This calculation does not account for adverse bidding conditions and actual escalation rates may vary. Changes in market conditions should be evaluated at least once a year.

SFMTA Facilities Framework Framework Cost Plan San Francisco, California



Facility Planning and Workplace Planning Draft Report 11/11/2016 Revised

BASIS OF ESTIMATE

#### SOFT COSTS

Facility Planning and Workplace Planning Draft Report

11/11/2016, Revised

An allowance for soft costs has been included in this report, based on 35% of hard construction costs including design and construction contingencies. A list of items included in soft costs is provided below. Items listed under Exclusions are not included in the soft cost percentage, nor are they included in construction costs.

Given the high cost of many of the projects and the extent and number of unknown factors that may impact their project costs, a risk analysis and mitigation plan may be warranted. Once a project moves into a detailed planning stage, such a risk analysis would provide guidance in determining a project contigency, and help to focus attention on the most important factors affecting the overall project cost.

Soft costs inclusions: Design and consulting fees License, permits, plan check fees Construction management fees Testing and inspections Public art Moving costs Mechanical utility connection charges & fees (excluding electrical) Site surveys, existing condition reports and soils investigation costs Furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E)

#### EXCLUSIONS

Transit -oriented development portions of projects Project contingency Entitlement costs and development fees Environmental impact mitigation Financing charges Administrative expenses Legal fees and disbursements Operating costs Power substations and electrical utility connections Power generation systems Electrical utility connection charges and fees Hazardous materials abatement Land and easement acquisition Scope change and post-contract contingencies Owner-provided insurance programs Overtime, 2nd shift and lost productivity premiums

Page 2



NOVEMBER 2016 COST	ESCALATED TO MIDPOINT CONSTRUCTION	START DATE
31 602 000	38 744 000	Start Date Jan 2021
121,413.000	157.910.000	Start Date Jan 2023
131,156,000	186,399,000	Start Date Jan 2026
48,505,000	75,323,000	Start Date Jan 2029
332 676 000		
	COST 31,602,000 121,413,000 131,156,000	NOVEMBER 2016 COST         MIDPOINT CONSTRUCTION           31,602,000         38,744,000           121,413,000         157,910,000           131,156,000         186,399,000           48,505,000         75,323,000

SCENARIO ONE - A	NOVEMBER 2016 COST	ESCALATED TO MIDPOINT CONSTRUCTION	START DATE
15TH STREET	18,649,000	22,864,000 38,744,000	Start Date Jan 2021 Start Date Jan 2021
POTRERO	31,602,000 135,485,000	38,744,000	Start Date Jan 2021
PRESIDIO KIRKLAND	131,156,000 48,505,000	186,399,000 75,323,000	Start Date Jan 2026 Start Date Jan 2029
TOTAL, SCENARIO ONE - A	365,397,000		

SCENARIO ONE - B	NOVEMBER 2016 COST	ESCALATED TO MIDPOINT CONSTRUCTION	START DATE
15TH STREET	18,649,000	22,864,000	Start Date Jan 2021
MME EXPANSION	31,602,000	38,744,000	Start Date Jan 2021
POTRERO	135,485,000	176,212,000	Start Date Jan 2023
PRESIDIO	131,156,000	186,399,000	Start Date Jan 2026
KIRKLAND	48,505,000	75,323,000	Start Date Jan 2029
OTAL, SCENARIO ONE - B	365,397,000		

SFMTA Facilities Framework Framework Cost Plan San Francisco, California OVERALL SUMMARY

IL IL

Facil tbd consultants

Facility Planning and Workplace Planning Draft Report 11/11/2016, Revised TBD Consultants

SCENARIO TWO - A	NOVEMBER 2016 COST	ESCALATED TO MIDPOINT CONSTRUCTION	START DATE
15TH STREET	18,649,000	22,864,000	Start Date Jan 2021
MME EXPANSION	110,714,000	135,735,000	Start Date Jan 2021
POTRERO	219,175,000	293,607,000	Start Date Jan 2024
PRESIDIO	228,401,000	334,333,000	Start Date Jan 2027
KIRKLAND	48,505,000	77,584,000	Start Date Jan 2030
TOTAL, SCENARIO TWO - A	625,444,000		

SCENARIO TWO - B	NOVEMBER 2016 COST	ESCALATED TO MIDPOINT CONSTRUCTION	START DATE
15TH STREET	18,649,000	22,864,000	Start Date Jan 2021
MME EXPANSION	31,602,000	38,744,000	Start Date Jan 2021
POTRERO	219,175,000	311,492,000	Start Date Jan 2026
PRESIDIO	228,401,000	363,820,000	Start Date Jan 2029
KIRKLAND	62,235,000	80,943,000	Start Date Jan 2023
TOTAL, SCENARIO TWO - B	560,062,000		

ALTERNATES	NOVEMBER 2016 COST	
BAYSHORE - TRAINING	9,228,000	
WOODS - PARATRANSIT	99,115,000	

Page 4

Page 5

						SCE	NARIO 1			
Component	Unit	Unit Cost	Po	trero <sup>2</sup>	Pre	esidio <sup>3</sup>	Kir	kland <sup>4</sup>	Muni Metro Ea	st Expansion
			Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total
Demolition										
Site	SF	\$5-\$15	192,000	1,245,000	196,400	2,946,000	113,000	1,695,000	174,135	
Building	SF	\$20.00	109,000	2,180,000	158,000	3,160,000	13,200	264,000	-	
Excavation, Class I Only	СҮ	\$60.00	-		90,000	5,400,000			-	
Demolition for Interior Renovation	SF	\$20.00	-						-	
Sitework										
Site Utilities	SF	\$1.20	192,000	230,400	196,400	235,680	113,000	135,600	86,715	104,058
Yard Paving	SF	\$24.00	-		149,400	6,159,600	95,500	2,292,000	86,715	2,081,160
Rail Track & Overhead Installation	LF	\$2,400.00	-						6,800	16,320,000
Trolley Overhead Installation	LF	\$400.00	10,500	4,200,000	10,500	4,200,000	-			
Underground Fuel Tank (30,000 gal)	EA	\$360,000	-				-		-	
									ĺ	
Building										
Operations & Maintenance Office / Support	SF	\$560.00	19,900	11,144,000	26,000	14,560,000	15,000	8,400,000		-
Training	SF	\$400.00								
Parts Storage	SF	\$350.00	8,500	2,975,000	36,080	12,628,000	3,000	1,050,000		
Fuel Station with Canopy (Tanks Above)	EA	\$250,000	-				1	250,000	-	
Wash Station with Canopy	EA	\$300,000	1	300,000	1	300,000	1	300,000		
Maintenance	SF	\$660.00	25,500	16,830,000	31,920	21,067,200	17,000	11,220,000		-
Structured Yard (Grade & Deck)	SF	\$180.00	158,000	28,440,000			-			
Renovation or Tenant Improvement	SF	\$250-\$350								
Equipment										
Maintenance Bay Fit Out	EA	\$264,000	11	2,904,000	19	5,016,000	9	2,376,000		-
Misc. Equipment	SF	\$12.00	53,900	647,000	94,000	1,128,000	35,000	420,000		
Subtotal				71,095,400		76,800,480		28,402,600		18,505,218
Design Contingency	15%			10,664,310		11,520,072		4,260,390		2,775,783
Subtotal				81,759,710		88,320,552		32,662,990		21,281,001
Construction Contingency	10%			8,175,971		8,832,055		3,266,299		2,128,100
Total Construction Cost				89,935,681		97,152,607		35,929,289		23,409,101
Soft Cost	35%			31,477,488		34,003,413		12,575,251		8,193,185
Total Project Cost in 2016 dollars				121,413,169		131,156,020		48,504,540		31,602,286

1 Not used

2 Potrero: Development above SFMTA facility not included

3 Presidio: Development at south end of site not included; yard paving includes retaining walls

4 Kirkland: Existing Fuel tanks to remain

5 Woods Yard: Site area includes existing yard but not building

6 MME Scenario 2 overhead incl. cost of phasing

7 Power generation systems excluded (PV's)

							NEW	SCENARIO 1A				
Component	Unit	Unit Cost	15t	h Street	Ро	trero <sup>2</sup>	Pre	esidio <sup>3</sup>	Kir	kland <sup>4</sup>	Muni Metro Ea	st Expansio
			Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total
molition												
Site	SF	\$5-\$15	19,700	98,500	192,000	1,245,000	196,400	2,946,000	113,000	1,695,000	174,135	
Building	SF	\$20.00	-		109,000	2,180,000	158,000	3,160,000	13,200	264,000	-	
Excavation, Class I Only	CY	\$60.00	-		-		90,000	5,400,000			-	
Demolition for Interior Renovation	SF	\$20.00			-						-	
ework												
Site Utilities	SF	\$1.20	19,700	23,640	192,000	230,400	196,400	235,680	113,000	135,600	86,715	104,0
Yard Paving	SF	\$24.00	19,700	472,800	-		149,400	6,159,600	95,500	2,292,000	86,715	2,081,1
Rail Track & Overhead Installation	LF	\$2,400.00			-						6,800	16,320,0
Trolley Overhead Installation	LF	\$400.00	-		10,500	4,200,000	10,500	4,200,000	-			
Underground Fuel Tank (30,000 gal)	EA	\$360,000	-		-				-		-	
ilding												
Operations & Maintenance Office / Support	SF	\$560.00		-	19,900	11,144,000	26,000	14,560,000	15,000	8,400,000		-
Training	SF	\$400.00			20,000	8,000,000						
Parts Storage	SF	\$350.00	-		8,500	2,975,000	36,080	12,628,000	3,000	1,050,000		
Fuel Station with Canopy (Tanks Above)	EA	\$250,000	-		-	-			1	250,000	-	
Wash Station with Canopy	EA	\$300,000			1	300,000	1	300,000	1	300,000		
Maintenance	SF	\$660.00	-		25,500	16,830,000	31,920	21,067,200	17,000	11,220,000		-
Structured Yard (Grade & Deck)	SF	\$180.00	-		158,000	28,440,000			-			
Renovation or Tenant Improvement	SF	\$250-\$350	29,500	10,325,000								
uipment												
Maintenance Bay Fit Out	EA	\$264,000	-		11	2,904,000	19	5,016,000	9	2,376,000		-
Misc. Equipment	SF	\$12.00			73,900	887,000	94,000	1,128,000	35,000	420,000		
Subtotal				10,919,940		79,335,400		76,800,480		28,402,600		18,505,2
Design Contingency	15%			1,637,991		11,900,310		11,520,072		4,260,390		2,775,7
Subtotal				12,557,931		91,235,710		88,320,552		32,662,990		21,281,0
Construction Contingency	10%			1,255,793		9,123,571		8,832,055		3,266,299		2,128,1
Total Construction Cost				13,813,724		100,359,281		97,152,607		35,929,289		23,409,1
Soft Cost	35%			4,834,803		35,125,748		34,003,413		12,575,251		8,193,1
Total Project Cost in 2016 dollars				18,648,528		135,485,029		131,156,020		48,504,540		31,602,2

1 Not used

2 Potrero: Development above SFMTA facility not included

3 Presidio: Development at south end of site not included; yard paving includes retaini

4 Kirkland: Existing Fuel tanks to remain

5 Woods Yard: Site area includes existing yard but not building

6 MME Scenario 2 overhead incl. cost of phasing

7 Power generation systems excluded (PV's)

							NEW SC	ENARIO 1B				
											1	
Component	Unit	Unit Cost	15t	15th Street Potrero <sup>2</sup>		Pre	esidio <sup>3</sup>	Kir	kland <sup>4</sup>	Muni Metro	East Expansio	
			Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total
Demolition												
Site	SF	\$5-\$15	19,700	98,500	192,000	1,245,000	196,400	2,946,000	113,000	1,695,000	174,135	1
Building	SF	\$20.00	-		109,000	2,180,000	158,000	3,160,000	13,200	264,000	-	1
Excavation, Class I Only	CY	\$60.00	-		-		90,000	5,400,000			-	
Demolition for Interior Renovation	SF	\$20.00			-						-	
Sitework												
Site Utilities	SF	\$1.20	19,700	23,640	192,000	230,400	196,400	235,680	113,000	135,600	86,715	104,05
Yard Paving	SF	\$24.00	19,700	472,800	-		149,400	6,159,600	95,500	2,292,000	86,715	2,081,160
Rail Track & Overhead Installation	LF	\$2,400.00			-						6,800	16,320,000
Trolley Overhead Installation	LF	\$400.00	-		10,500	4,200,000	10,500	4,200,000	-			
Underground Fuel Tank (30,000 gal)	EA	\$360,000	-		-				-		-	
Building											_	
Operations & Maintenance Office / Support	SF	\$560.00		-	19,900	11,144,000	26,000	14,560,000	15,000	8,400,000		-
Training	SF	\$400.00			20,000	8,000,000						
Parts Storage	SF	\$350.00	-		8,500	2,975,000	36,080	12,628,000	3,000	1,050,000		
Fuel Station with Canopy (Tanks Above)	EA	\$250,000	-		-	-			1	250,000	-	
Wash Station with Canopy	EA	\$300,000			1	300,000	1	300,000	1	300,000		
Maintenance	SF	\$660.00	-		25,500	16,830,000	31,920	21,067,200	17,000	11,220,000		-
Structured Yard (Grade & Deck)	SF	\$180.00	-		158,000	28,440,000			-			
Renovation or Tenant Improvement	SF	\$250-\$350	29,500	10,325,000								
Equipment												
Maintenance Bay Fit Out	EA	\$264,000	-		11	2,904,000	19	5,016,000	9	2,376,000		-
Misc. Equipment	SF	\$12.00			73,900	887,000	94,000	1,128,000	35,000	420,000		
												L
Subtotal				10,919,940		79,335,400		76,800,480		28,402,600		18,505,218
Design Contingency	15%			1,637,991		11,900,310		11,520,072		4,260,390		2,775,783
Subtotal				12,557,931		91,235,710		88,320,552		32,662,990		21,281,001
Construction Contingency	10%			1,255,793		9,123,571		8,832,055		3,266,299		2,128,100
Total Construction Cost				13,813,724		100,359,281		97,152,607		35,929,289		23,409,10
Soft Cost	35%			4,834,803		35,125,748		34,003,413		12,575,251		8,193,185
Total Project Cost in 2016 dollars				18,648,528		135,485,029		131,156,020		48,504,540		31,602,286

1 Not used

2 Potrero: Development above SFMTA facility not included

3 Presidio: Development at south end of site not included; yard paving includes retaini

4 Kirkland: Existing Fuel tanks to remain

5 Woods Yard: Site area includes existing yard but not building

6 MME Scenario 2 overhead incl. cost of phasing

7 Power generation systems excluded (PV's)

							SCENA	ARIO 2A				
Component	Component Unit		15th	Street	Muni Metro East Expansion		Potrero <sup>2</sup>		Pres	idio <sup>3</sup>	Kirkl	and <sup>4</sup>
			Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total
emolition												
Site	SF	\$5-\$15	19,700	98,500	174,135		192,000	1,245,000	196,400	2,946,000	113,000	1,695,000
Building	SF	\$20.00	-		-		109,000	2,180,000	158,000	3,160,000	13,200	264,00
Excavation, Class I Only	CY	\$60.00	-		-		-		90,000	5,400,000		
Demolition for Interior Renovation	SF	\$20.00			-		-					
tework												
Site Utilities	SF	\$1.20	19,700	23,640	174,135	208,962	192,000	230,400	196,400	235,680	113,000	135,60
Yard Paving	SF	\$24.00	19,700	472,800	136,935	3,286,440	-	-		-	95,500	2,292,00
Rail Track & Overhead Installation	LF	\$2,400.00			6,800	17,340,000	-					
Trolley Overhead Installation	LF	\$400.00					7,500	3,000,000	18,400	7,360,000	-	
Underground Fuel Tank (30,000 gal)	EA	\$360,000			-		1	360,000			-	
uilding												
Operations & Maintenance Office / Support	SF	\$560.00		-	37,200	20,832,000	35,600	19,936,000	21,200	11,872,000	15,000	8,400,00
Training	SF	\$400.00					20,000	8,000,000				
Parts Storage	SF	\$350.00	-		14,700	5,145,000	12,000	4,200,000	12,000	4,200,000	3,000	1,050,00
Fuel Station with Canopy (Tanks Above)	EA	\$250,000	-		-		2	500,000			1	250,00
Wash Station with Canopy	EA	\$300,000					2	600,000	2	600,000	1	300,00
Maintenance	SF	\$660.00	-		22,500	14,850,000	45,200	29,832,000	54,950	36,267,000	17,000	11,220,000
Structured Yard (Grade & Deck)	SF	\$180.00	-				291,200	52,416,000	304,650	54,837,000	-	
Renovation or Tenant Improvement	SF	\$250-\$350	29,500	10,325,000								
quipment												
Maintenance Bay Fit Out	EA	\$264,000	-		12	3,168,000	17	4,488,000	22	5,808,000	9	2,376,000
Misc. Equipment	SF	\$12.00					112,800	1,354,000	88,150	1,058,000	35,000	420,00
Subtotal				10,919,940		64,830,402		128,341,400		133,743,680		28,402,600
Design Contingency	15%			1,637,991		9,724,560		19,251,210		20,061,552		4,260,390
Subtotal				12,557,931		74,554,962		147,592,610		153,805,232		32,662,990
Construction Contingency	10%			1,255,793		7,455,496		14,759,261		15,380,523		3,266,29
Total Construction Cost				13,813,724		82,010,459		162,351,871		169,185,755		35,929,289
Soft Cost	35%			4,834,803		28,703,660		56,823,155		59,215,014		12,575,251
Total Project Cost in 2016 dollars				18,648,528		110,714,119		219,175,026		228,400,770		48,504,540

1 Not used

2 Potrero: Development above SFMTA facility not included

3 Presidio: Development at south end of site not included; yard paving includes retaini

4 Kirkland: Existing Fuel tanks to remain

5 Woods Yard: Site area includes existing yard but not building

6 MME Scenario 2 overhead incl. cost of phasing

7 Power generation systems excluded (PV's)

							SCEN	ARIO 2B				
Component	Unit	Unit Cost	15th 5	Street	Muni Metro Ea	ast Expansion	Poti	rero <sup>2</sup>	Pres	idio <sup>3</sup>	Kirkla	and <sup>4</sup>
			Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total	Qty.	Total
emolition												
Site	SF	\$5-\$15	19,700	98,500	174,135		192,000	1,245,000	196,400	2,946,000	113,000	1,695,000
Building	SF	\$20.00	-		-		109,000	2,180,000	158,000	3,160,000	13,200	264,000
Excavation, Class I Only	CY	\$60.00	-		-		-		90,000	5,400,000		
Demolition for Interior Renovation	SF	\$20.00			-		-					
itework												
Site Utilities	SF	\$1.20	19,700	23,640	86,715	104,058	192,000	230,400	196,400	235,680	113,000	135,600
Yard Paving	SF	\$24.00	19,700	472,800	86,715	2,081,160	-			-	89,600	2,150,400
Rail Track & Overhead Installation	LF	\$2,400.00			6,800	16,320,000	-					
Trolley Overhead Installation	LF	\$400.00					7,500	3,000,000	18,400	7,360,000	-	
Underground Fuel Tank (30,000 gal)	EA	\$360,000			-		1	360,000			-	
uilding												
Operations & Maintenance Office / Support	SF	\$560.00		-		-	35,600	19,936,000	21,200	11,872,000	20,400	11,424,000
Training	SF	\$400.00					20,000	8,000,000				
Parts Storage	SF	\$350.00	-			-	12,000	4,200,000	12,000	4,200,000	3,000	1,050,000
Fuel Station with Canopy (Tanks Above)	EA	\$250,000	-		-		2	500,000			1	250,000
Wash Station with Canopy	EA	\$300,000					2	600,000	2	600,000	1	300,000
Maintenance	SF	\$660.00	-			-	45,200	29,832,000	54,950	36,267,000	23,400	15,444,000
Structured Yard (Grade & Deck)	SF	\$180.00	-				291,200	52,416,000	304,650	54,837,000	-	
Renovation or Tenant Improvement	SF	\$250-\$350	29,500	10,325,000								
quipment												
Maintenance Bay Fit Out	EA	\$264,000	-			-	17	4,488,000	22	5,808,000	12	3,168,000
Misc. Equipment	SF	\$12.00					112,800	1,354,000	88,150	1,058,000	46,800	562,000
Subtotal				10,919,940		18,505,218		128,341,400		133,743,680		36,443,000
Design Contingency	15%			1,637,991		2,775,783		19,251,210		20,061,552		5,466,450
Subtotal				12,557,931		21,281,001		147,592,610		153,805,232		41,909,450
Construction Contingency	10%			1,255,793		2,128,100		14,759,261		15,380,523		4,190,945
Total Construction Cost				13,813,724		23,409,101		162,351,871		169,185,755		46,100,395
Soft Cost	35%			4,834,803		8,193,185		56,823,155		59,215,014		16,135,138
Total Project Cost in 2016 dollars				18,648,528		31,602,286		219,175,026		228,400,770		62,235,533

1 Not used

2 Potrero: Development above SFMTA facility not included

3 Presidio: Development at south end of site not included; yard paving includes retaini

4 Kirkland: Existing Fuel tanks to remain

5 Woods Yard: Site area includes existing yard but not building

6 MME Scenario 2 overhead incl. cost of phasing

7 Power generation systems excluded (PV's)

# Appendix 6: Participants & Materials

## Participants

## Interview and Workshop Participants

## **Finance and Information Technology**

Sonali Bose Adrienne Anderson Rashid Herd Eileen Housteau Kirsten Magary Daniel Pulon Rafe Rabelais Jonathan Rewers Lisa Walton Monique Webster

## **Capital Projects and Construction**

Matt Lee Tess Cavanaugh Lisa Chow Dave Greenaway

## **Sustainable Streets**

Paul Bignardi Dartan Ito Lee Ann Nhan George Reynolds Camron Samii

## Transit

John Haley Terry Fahey Leo Martinez

Neil Popp Leda Rozier Steve Shih Lee Summerlott Taxi and Accessible Services Kate Toran Annette Williams Enterprise Asset Management/MOW Buildings & Grounds Workshop Maria Gartner **Jamie Ballasteros** Terry Fahey Pat Llovd Steve Shih **Frnie Williams** San Francisco Public Works (SFPW) Edgar Lopez Julia Laue Jane Chan Doug Ullman Jim Buker Andy Maloney **New Facility Programming Meetings April 2016** Kerstin Magary Jason Gallegos David Greenaway Licinia Iberri Neal Popp

Emily Williams Paul Petersen Kenneth Anderson Camron Samii, Annette Williams Marc Soto, TransDev Daniel Pulon Mary Travis-Allen Louis Guzzo Pamela Adams, Owen Adams Consulting Laura Blake, Laura Blake Architect Mark Probst, WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff

## **Risk Assessment Workshops November 2016**

David Greenaway, SFMTA CP&C Sarah Jones, SFMTA Planning Emily Williams, SFMTA Transit Paul Bignardi, SFMTA Sustainable Streets Ariel Espiritu Santo, SFMTA FIT Monique Webster, SFMTA FIT Kerstin Magary, SFMTA Strategic Real Estate Jason Gallegos, SFMTA Strategic Real Estate Licinia Iberri, SFMTA Strategic Real Estate Claudia Gorham, SFRED Julia Laue, SFPW Susan Gygi, City and County of SF

## Executive Team/Leadership Input

11/30/2015: Executive Team Presentation- Facilities Task Force Implementation Plan Update #2

12/10/2015: Ed Reiskin, Jonathan Rewers, IDEO Organizational team (Zach Krane, Jim Legeman, Peter Jackson) 12/18/2015 Stakeholder Workshop 1 with OAC, LBA, IDEO 1/14/2015: Ed Reiskin, Sonali Bose, Jonathan Rewers and IDEO Organizational Assessment team

1/19 Stakeholder Workshop 2 with OAK, LBA, IDEO

2/16/2016 Ed Reiskin, Sonali Bose, John Haley, Jonathan Rewers, IDEO Organizational Assessment team

3/14/2016 Executive Team- Facilities Task Force Implementation Plan Update #3

3/28/2016 Meeting with John Haley and Transit leadership

3/21/2016 Senior Management Team- Facilities Task Force Program Update Q1 2016

4/5/2016 John Haley and Parsons Brinckerhoff (Mark Probst): Policy Issues regarding New Facility Peer Review and Program

4/26-27 Workshops on New Facility Program Peer Review, Standards and Criteria- Parsons Brinckerhoff (Mark Probst), OAC, LBA, SFMTA

5/10/2016 Update on New Facility Peer Review: Ed Reiskin, Sonali Bose, John Haley, Tom McGuire, Jonathan Rewers, Kerstin Magary, Jason Gallegos, Licinia Iberri

6/19/2016 Executive Team Presentation- Facilities Task Force Implementation Plan Facilities Framework

## **Consultant Team Site Tours**

Coach - Flynn, Kirkland, Woods, Presidio, Potrero Rail - Cameron Beach, Green, Green Annex, Muni Metro East Streets, Support, and Enforcement - Bancroft, Bayshore, Bryant, Burke, Pennsylvania, Scott, 7th Street

## Workshops and Briefing

Two large, interactive group workshops were held by the consultant team with a broadly representative group of stakeholders representing both SFMTA Facilities Management "service providers" and "client" occupant groups (see Participants Section for a list of SFMTA representatives):

Workshop #1 was held on December 18, 2015. It included a progress report on Facility Condition Assessment and Workspace Planning (see presentation starting on page 171), as well as preliminary findings and participatory exercises regarding Organizational Assessment. Discussion topics included what works well, what is not working, and priorities for improvement.

Workshop #2 on January 19, 2016 included an update on Facility Assessment and Workplace Planning (see presentation starting on page 174), with most of the session highlighting organizational findings. There were participatory breakout sessions regarding clarifying roles involved in Facilities Management, and suggestions for process improvement for Facilities Maintenance and Planning.

An Executive Briefing on February 16, 2016 reported key findings of Phase 1 of the Organizational Assessment (see IDEO presentation starting on page 179). The following direction was given regarding Phase 2:

Schedule a follow-up session to include more represen-

tation from Transit Division (follow up meetings held with Terry Fahey, Transit Maintenance of Way and with John Haley and Transit leadership on March 28, 2016).

- To undertake Phase 2, develop a team of SFMTA and City subject matter experts representing the key groups contributing to Facilities Management: Maintenance, Planning, Real Estate, Financial Administration, and Capital Project Planning. (Note: these delegates may or may not continue in lead roles after the organizational assessment is completed)
- Give adequate attention to hiring and budget implications of organizational improvement proposals; work within SFMTA funding constraints.

Two Risk Assessment Workshops were held in November 2016 with representatives from SFMTA and its city partners. After the workshops, the consultants discussed the outcome and next steps with SFMTA Project Manager Jonathan Rewers and SFM-TA CFO Sonali Bose, and initiated follow-up meetings regarding planning and environmental review approaches.

An Executive Briefing on December 19, 2016 reported on the Facilities Framework (see presentation starting on page 185). Subsequent direction was to narrow from five scenarios to three: Scenarios 1A and 1B and Scenario 2A.

## Workshop #1



## FACILITIES

#### Administration

South Van Ness - (Headquarters) 1/11 South Van Ness Avenue

#### Motor Coach

Flynn - 1940 Harrison St Islais Creek - 1301 Cesar Chavez St Kirkland - 2301 Stockton St and 151 Beach St Woods - 1095 Indiana St Potential New Facility - 2000 Toland St

#### **Trolley Coach**

Potrero - 2500 Mariposa St Presidio - 949 Presidio Ave

#### Rail

Cable Car Barn - 1201 Mason St Cameron Beach - 2301 San Jose Ave Green - \_\_\_\_\_ San Jose Ave Green Annex - 425 Geneva Ave Muni Metro East- 601 25th St

#### Streets and Support

Bancroft (Sign & Meter) - 1508 Bancroft Ave Bayshore (Tow & Signal) - 2650 Bayshore, Daly City Bryant (Overhead Lines) - 1401 Bryant St Burke (Warehouse) - 1570/1580 Burke Ave Lenox (Transit Control) - 131 Lenox Way Marin (Swing Space) - 1399 Marin St Market (Transit Management) - 1455 Market St Howard (Central Subway) - 821 Howard St Pennsylvania (Offices &Shops) - 700 Pennsylvania Ave

Scott (NRV Service) - 1849 Harrison St Yosemite (Paint & NRV Parking) - 1528 Yosemite Ave 450 7th Street (Tow)

#### Enforcement

505 7th Street (Office) 571 10th Street (Parking) 6th and Townsend (Parking) 2323 Cesar Chavez Street (Parking)

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect and IDEO, SFMTA Facilities Workshop 18 December 2015



## CONDITION ASSESSMENT

Flynn
Kirkland
Woods
Presidio
Potrero
Cable Car Barn
Green
Muni Metro East
Alameda

- BancroftBayshore
- Bryant Overhead Line
- Burke
- Lenox (Transit Control)
- Pennsylvania
- Scott7th Street
- 7th Street
   10th Street

Facility Condition Assessment is in progress. The outcome will be an assessment of each facility and a prioritized list with costs of maintenance needs of all facilities surveyed.

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect and IDEO, SFMTA Facilities Workshop 18 December 2015

## WORKPLACE PLANNING

Since completing the Vision, new needs, problems and opportunities have emerged. To optimize use of SFMTA facilities develop near term solutions that have long term benefit.

Incremental and coherent steps toward long term facilities.

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect and IDEO, SEMTA Facilities Workshop 18 December 2015

## NEEDS, PROBLEMS AND OPPORTUNITIES

## **Staff Growth**

Need: May need more space to accommodate office staff growth

Opportunity: Use underutilized Bayshore & Green Annex

## Potrero and Presidio Replacement

Problem: Extending overhead lines to make Flynn a temporary Trolley Facility is disruptive and adds cost

Opportunity: Evaluate phased replacement of Potrero and Presidio

## **Street Shops**

Issue: Pennsylvania, Bancroft and Yosemite have redundant and underutilized office, shop and storage space but limited parking

Opportunity: Consolidate functions to optimize use of these facilities

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect and IDEO, SFMTA Facilities Workshop 18 December 2015

## NEEDS, PROBLEMS AND OPPORTUNITIES

## Fleet Growth, Component Rebuild and Complex Maintenance

Need: Fleet projections increased and procurement accelerated Need: Consolidated component rebuild & complex maintenance

Opportunity: Cameron and Green are underutilized, and MME has expansion space. Evaluate how best to use these for fleet growth, component rebuild and complex maintenance. Alternative might be to use Woods for motor and trolley coach component rebuild and complex maintenance, Green for rail component rebuild and complex maintenance, and MME for additional fleet.

en Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect and IDEO, SFMTA Facilities Workshop 18 December 2015

## NEEDS, PROBLEMS AND OPPORTUNITIES

### **Potential New Facility**

Issue: SFMTA planning new facility for motor coaches, paratransit, non-revenue vehicle service, training, enforcement and overhead lines.

Opportunity: Evaluate benefits and savings of using Scott for enforcement, and Burke for overhead lines which are SFMTA owned, underutilized and more central.

Opportunity: Evaluate deferring Woods renovation for 60' motor coaches until New Facility complete.

Facility	Current Use	2040 Planned Use	Needs, Planning and Opportunities
Administration			
South Van Ness 1/11 South Van Ness Avenue	Headquarters	Headquarters	SFMTA developing plan to optimize. May need more space to accommodate growth
Motor Coach			
Flynn 1940 Harrison Street	60' Motor Coach Facility Islais Creek Service	60' Motor Coach Facility	SFMTA preference not to electrify for tem- porary trolley use
Islais Creek 1301 Cesar Chavez Street	40' & 60' Motor Coach Yard	40' & 60' Motor Coach Facility	Limited use until maintenance & operations facility completed in 2017
Kirkland 2301 Stockton/151 Beach	40' Motor Coach Facility	Rebuilt 40' & 60' Motor Coach Facility	Limited service, most done at Woods Possible Central Subway extension station
Woods 1095 Indiana Street	40' Motor Coach Facility Kirkland Service Motor & Trolley Component Rebuild	40' & 60' Motor Coach Facility	Evaluate deferring renovating Woods for 60' buses until New Facility and possibly Kirkland rebuild complete.
Potential New Facility 2000 Toland Street	NA	40' & 60' Motor Coach Facility Training, NRV Service, Paratransit, En- forcement & Overhead Lines	Evaluate locating overhead lines at Burke and Enforcement at Scott which are SFMTA owned, more central and underutilized.
Trolley Coach			
Potrero 2500 Mariposa Street	40' & 60' Trolley Coach Facility	Rebuilt 40' & 60' Trolley Coach with Transit Oriented Development	SFMTA preference to develop Potrero first, then Presidio.
Presidio 949 Presidio Avenue	40' Trolley Coach Facility	Rebuilt 40' Trolley Coach with Transit Oriented Development	If Potrero & Presidio developments phased/ coordinated, may not need to electrify Flynn
Rail			
Cable Car Barn 1201 Mason Street	Cable Car Facility Museum	Cable Car Facility Museum	
Cameron Beach 2301 San Jose	Street Car Body & Paint Light rail vehicle yard	Light Rail Vehicle & Street Car Storage	Underutilized. Evaluate using for street car restoration and one-offs storage
Green 2200 San Jose Avenue	Light Rail Facility Street Car Restoration Rail Component Rebuild	Light Rail Facility Rail Component Rebuild	Underutilized. Evaluate moving street car res- toration to Cameron and consolidating com- ponent rebuild to increase light rail capacity
Green Annex 425 Geneva Avenue	Operations, Offices, Storage Electronic and Radio Shops	Operations, Offices, Storage Electronic and Radio Shops	Evaluate needs to better use space.
Muni Metro East 601 25th Street	Light Rail & Street Car Facility	Light Rail & Street Car Facility New multi-modal body/paint	Transit preference for consolidated compo- nent rebuild & complex maintenance. Evalu- ate use of MME, Green & Cameron

wen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect and IDEO, SFMTA Facilities Workshop 18 December 2015

Facility	Current Use	2040 Planned Use	Needs, Planning and Opportunities
Streets & Support			
Bancroft 1508 Bancroft Avenue	Sign, Temporary Sign & Meter Shops	Sign, Temporary Sign & Meter Shops	SFMTA developing shell and infrastructure upgrades. Underutilized, evaluate sharing.
Bayshore 2650 Bayshore, Daily City	Tow, Traffic Signal Shop, Video and Vacant Space	Lease with option to negotiate pur- chase expires in 2032. Purchase or relocate functions.	SFMTA moving Central Subway to Bayshore. Evaluate moving Video to Penn to maximize office surge space at Bayshore
Bryant 1401 Bryant Street	Overhead Lines	Vacate	City plans to use Bryant for ACC, so SFMTA considering moving overhead lines to Burke or Potential New Facility.
Burke 1570/1580 Burke Avenue	Warehouse	Warehouse and possibly Overhead Lines	SFMTA developing shell and storage up- grades. Consider moving Overhead Lines to Burke rather than new facility
Lenox 131 Lenox Way	Transit Control	Back-Up Transit Control	
Marin 1399 Marin Street	Motor Coach Acceptance Facility Rail Tack No Operating Street Cars	Vacate when lease expires in 2021	
Market 1455 Market Street	Transit Operations Management	Transit Operations Management	Lease expires September 2023, has (2) 10 year extension options
Howard 821 Howard Street	Central Subway Project Office	Vacate	Lease expires May 2016. Central Subway Project Office moving to Bayshore
Pennsylvania 700 Pennsylvania Avenue	Buildings & Grounds Offices, and Car- pentry, Electric, Machine Shops	Buildings & Grounds Offices, Carpen- try, Electric, Machine Shops	Evaluate relocating Video to Penn to share shops. Evaluate cable car and street car sharing machine shop.
Scott 1849 Harrison Street	Non Revenue Vehicle Service Staff Parking	Non Revenue Vehicle and Enforce- ment Vehicle Service or consolidated Enforcement Office & Vehicle Parking	SFMTA considering moving non revenue vehicle service to New Facility. Evaluate consolidating Enforcement at Scott
Yosemite 1528 Yosemite Avenue	Paint Shop Non Revenue Vehicle Parking	Paint Shop Non Revenue Vehicle Parking	Lease expires July 2016, has option to pur- chase or extend 3 years and then 18 months
505 7th Street 571 10th Street 6th & Townsend 2323 Cesar Chavez	Enforcement Office Enforcement Vehicle Parking		SFMTA considering consolidating enforce- ment at new facility. Evaluate consolidating enforcement office and parking at Scott which is SFMTA owned & more central

## Workshop #2



SFMTA FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT & WORKSPACE PLANNING SECOND WORKSHOP 19 JANUARY 2016 Administration South Van Ness 1/11 South Van Ness

#### Motor Coach

Flynn 1940 Harrison St Islais Creek 1301 Cesar Chavez St Kirkland 2301 Stockton/151 Beach Woods 1095 Indiana St Potential New Facility 2000 Toland St

#### Trolley Coach Potrero 2500 Mariposa St Presidio 949 Presidio Ave

Rail

Cable Car Barn 1201 Mason St Cameron Beach 2301 San Jose Ave Green San Jose Ave/425 Geneva Ave Muni Metro East 601 25th St

## FACILITIES

571 10th Street

6th and Townsend

2323 Cesar Chavez Street

The SFMTA Campus

#### Streets, Support, Enforcement

Bancroft 1508 Bancroft Ave Bayshore 2650 Bayshore, Daly City Bryant 1401 Bryant St Burke 1570/1580 Burke Ave Lenox 131 Lenox Way Marin 1399 Marin St Market 1455 Market St Howard 821 Howard St Pennsylvania 700 Pennsylvania Ave Scott 1849 Harrison St Yosemite 1528 Yosemite Ave





## CONDITION ASSESSMENT

Assessment of the physical condition of each facility, and a prioritized list of maintenance items for all facilities.

### Preliminary Maintenance Costs for SFMTA Owned Facilities

Facility	Building(s)	Site	2016	20 Year
Flynn	266,000 sf	6.2 acres	\$5,000,000	\$20,900,000
Kirkland	13,200 sf	2.6 acres	\$1,800,000	\$2,900,000
Woods	158,000 sf	8.2 acres	\$15,000,000	\$28,000,000
Presidio	158,000 sf	5.4 acres	\$11,700,000	\$22,600,000
Potrero	155,000 sf	4.4 acres	\$4,700,000	\$10,200,000
Cable Car	83,700 sf	1 acre	\$6,800,000	\$18,400,000
Green	191,000 sf	6.7 acres	\$4,200,000	\$27,100,000
MME	163,000 sf	16.9 acres	\$6,000,000	\$18,700,000
Alameda	7,000 sf		\$200,000	\$9,400,000
Bancroft	90,000 sf	1 acre	\$300,000	\$2,700,000
Bryant	48,000 sf	1.1 acres	\$1,200,000	\$2,500,000
Burke	103,000 sf	2.4 acres	\$900,000	\$8,100,000
Lenox	10,000 sf	NA	\$200,000	\$900,000
Pennsylvania	88,000 sf	2 acres	\$20,000	\$13,700,000
Scott	118,000 sf	1.1 acres	\$800,000	\$7,700,000
Total	1,650,000 sf	59 acres	\$60,000,000	\$195,000,000

Some items and mark ups being reviewed. Currently include 50% markup for design contingency, construction contingency & soft costs + 3%/year escalation

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

## Facility Condition Assessment Process and Findings

- EMG conducted visual surveys to determine the condition and maintenance needs of SFMTA facilities and reviewed draft reports with staff.
- Found significant deferred maintenance needs.
- Roofing, HVAC, and Yard Pavement are recurring issues that could be handled as "campaigns" to address efficiently.
- Maintenance includes known regulatory compliance and risk management items but not code or function upgrades.

wen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SEMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

### Maintenance Prioritizing

### Criteria

- Safety
- Regulatory Compliance
- Risk Management (ie grievance, ADA, hazard)
- Physical Deterioration
- Structural Problem
- Weather Protection
- HVAC

High

Site Lighting

Item Ranking

• Fire Protection

Risk Mitigation

• Regulatory Compliance

Highest

### Medium

- Finishes
- Stairs & Elevators
- Building Systems

### Prioritizing provides even maintenance of facilities

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016



## WORKPLACE PLANNING

Since completing the Vision, new needs have emerged. Address these as incremental and cohesive steps towards the Vision.

## Staff Growth

Need: More office space to supplement South Van Ness

Opportunity: Use underutilized Bayshore & Green Annex

## Bayshore - 20,000 sf office space for 80-100

Green - 5,000 -10,000 sf office space for 20-40





Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

## Light Rail Vehicle Fleet Growth

Need : Fleet growth increased and procurement accelerated

Opportunity: MME, Cameron Beach, & Green are underutilized

## Muni Metro East

LRV Facility Future Maintenance Facility

Green PCC & LRV Facility

Cameron Beach Historic Street Car Facility

### Muni Metro East



Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

## Bayshore Second Floor Test Fit

## **Test Fit Capacity**

- 20 offices
- 80 workstations
- 6 conference rooms

### **Potential Uses**

- Special Project Teams
- Overflow from 1SVN
- (IT groups are typically candidates)

offices		offices
workstations		workstations
	• • •	FACTACTACTACTACTACA
	m w	
[]	•  ····	ר+ֿרר+רר+רר+רר
	conference	

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

## Motor Coach Fleet Growth and Aging Facilities

### Issues

- Potrero, Presidio and Kirkland need to be rebuilt
- Electrifying Flynn as a temporary trolley facility adds cost
- Impact of approach and timing of rebuilding aging facilities on planned New Facility not known
- Potrero and Presidio identified as transit oriented development sites but not tested

### Opportunity

• Develop alternative approaches for rebuilding Potrero and Presidio that test electrifying Flynn as a trolley facility, and phasing rebuilding so do not need to electrify Flynn

## Rebuild while electrified Flynn is "full service" trolley facility



• New Facility capacity would need to (180- 220) 60' coaches

en Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

Could deck Potrero yard for a larger development, but decking could limit changes for new trolley technology

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

### Rebuild in phases while sharing Flynn yard





- New Facility capacity would need to (130-180) 60' coaches
- Smaller Potrero development but more long term flexibility

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SEMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

## Potential New Facility

Issue: SFMTA planning lease facility for motor coaches, paratransit, vehicle service, training, enforcement & overhead lines.

Opportunity: Evaluate using Burke for overhead lines, Scott for enforcement, and Bayshore for training which are SFMTA owned and underutilized.



Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

## **Streets Shops**

Issue: Pennsylvania, Bancroft and Yosemite have redundant and underutilized office, shop and storage space but limited parking

Opportunity: Share functions to optimize use of facilities



Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

Facility	Current Use	Needs, Issues and Opportunities	2040 Use
Administration			
South Van Ness 1/11 South Van Ness Avenue	Headquarters 900 +/- capacity	Plan to increase capacity, and improve adja- cencies and workspace	Headquarters 1000 +/-capacity
Motor Coach			
Flynn 1940 Harrison Street	60' Motor Coach Facility Islais Creek Service	Could use as shared or dedicated trolley coach when rebuilding Potrero & Presidio	60' Motor Coach Facility
Islais Creek 1301 Cesar Chavez Street	40' & 60' Motor Coach Yard	Limited use until maintenance & operations building completed in 2017	40' & 60' Motor Coach Facility
Kirkland 2301 Stockton/151 Beach	40' Motor Coach Facility with limited service	Rebuild with subway station or consolidate at Woods & lease/sell except for subway	Rebuilt Motor Coach and Subway Station or Development and Subway Station
Woods 1095 Indiana Street	40' Motor Coach Facility Kirkland Service Motor & Trolley Component Rebuild	Evaluate decking yard to include Kirkland fleet or future growth. Rebuild after New Fa- cility and needs beyond 2040 better known.	40' Motor Coach Facility
Potential New Facility 2000 Toland Street	NA	Evaluate locating Overhead Lines at Burke, Enforcement at Scott, Training at Bayshore which are SFMTA owned and underutilized	40' & 60' Motor Coach Facility NRV Service, Para transit, Overhead Lines, Enforcement and Training
Trolley Coach			
Potrero 2500 Mariposa Street	40' & 60' Trolley Coach Facility	Could rebuild as trolley coach facility with development space above M&O building or if deck yard above entire site	Rebuilt 60' Trolley Coach Facility Transit Oriented Development
Presidio 949 Presidio Avenue	40' Trolley Coach Facility	Could rebuild as separate trolley coach facil- ity and housing development	Rebuilt 40' Trolley Coach Facility Transit Oriented Development
Rail			
Cable Car Barn 1201 Mason Street	Cable Car Facility Museum		Cable Car Facility Museum
Cameron Beach 2301 San Jose	Street Car Body & Paint Light rail vehicle yard	Underutilized. Evaluate using for street car restoration and one-offs storage	Historic Street Car Facility Restoration and Storage
Green 2200 San Jose Avenue	Light Rail Facility Street Car Restoration Rail Component Rebuild	Underutilized. Evaluate consolidating com- ponent rebuild to increase light rail capacity	PCC Street Car and Light Rail Facility Rail Component Rebuild
Green Annex 425 Geneva Avenue	Operations, Offices, Storage Electronic and Radio Shops	Underutilized. Evaluate consolidating func- tions to better use space.	Operations, Offices, Storage Electronic and Radio Shops
Muni Metro East 601 25th Street	Light Rail & Street Car Facility	Transit preference for consolidated compo- nent rebuild & complex maintenance.	Light Rail Facility Future multi-modal complex maintenance

Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect, SFMTA Facilities Second Workshop 19 January 2016

Facility	Current Use	Needs, Planning and Opportunities	2040 Planned Use
Streets & Support			
Bancroft 1508 Bancroft Avenue	Sign, Temporary Sign & Meter Shops	Underutilized; evaluate sharing.	Sign, Temporary Sign & Meter Shops
Bayshore 2650 Bayshore, Daily City	Tow Traffic Signal Shop and Video Shop Vacant Space	Underutilized. Evaluate moving Video to Penn or Bancroft and using first floor for Training and second floor for Central Sub- way and other office needs. Lease expires in 2032, has option to negotiate purchase.	Tow Traffic Signal Shop, Video Shop or Training Office Space
Bryant 1401 Bryant Street	Overhead Lines	Underutilized. City to use for ACC. Eval- uate moving Overhead Lines to Burke or New Facility.	Vacate
Burke 1570/1580 Burke Avenue	Warehouse	Evaluate moving Overhead Lines to Burke.	Warehouse and possibly Overhead Lines
Lenox 131 Lenox Way	Transit Control		Back-Up Transit Control
Marin 1399 Marin Street	Motor Coach Acceptance Facility Rail Tack Shop, Street Car Storage	Evaluate moving Rail Track Shop to Penn, and Street Cars to Bayshore.	Vacate when lease expires in 2021.
Market 1455 Market Street	Transit Operations Management	Lease expires September 2023, has (2) 10 year extension options	Transit Operations Management
Howard 821 Howard Street	Central Subway Project Office	Central Subway Project Office moving to Bayshore	Vacate when lease expires May 2016.
Pennsylvania 700 Pennsylvania Avenue	Buildings & Grounds Offices, and Car- pentry, Electric, Machine Shops	Evaluate relocating Marin Track Shop and Yosemite Paint Shop to Penn. Evaluate ca- ble car & street car sharing machine shop.	Buildings & Grounds Offices Carpentry, Electric, Machine Shops
Scott 1849 Harrison Street	Non Revenue Vehicle Service Staff Parking	If move non revenue vehicle service to New Facility, evaluate consolidating En- forcement at Scott	Enforcement or Vacate
Yosemite 1528 Yosemite Avenue	Paint Shop Non Revenue Vehicle Parking	Lease expires July 2016, has option to purchase or extend 3 years and then 18 months	Paint Shop Non Revenue Vehicle Parking
505 7th Street 571 10th Street 6th & Townsend 2323 Cesar Chavez	Enforcement Office Enforcement Vehicle Parking	Evaluate consolidating enforcement office and parking at Scott which is SFMTA owned & more central.	Vacate all except one parking lot



# SFMTA

Municipal Transportation Agency

## **Executive Briefing on Organizational Management**



#### OVERVIEW

#### THE ASK

IDEO was brought in to investigate how the SFMTA currently manages its facility campus (meaning the buildings and grounds that the SFMTA is responsible for), how those services are functioning, identify where opportunities for improvement were and create recommendations for how to address them from an organizational design perspective.

#### OVERVIEW

### CLARIFYING DEFINITIONS

#### **Facilities Management**

For the purposes of this project, Facilities Management was defined as how the SFMTA manages the acquisition, maintenance, and sale of buildings and grounds that support the maintenance and operational functions of the SFMTA. For the purpose of this project, the maintenance of tracks, overhead lines, and other operational components was not considered. While subway stations and other stops could be considered "facilities" in the traditional sense, they were not specifically included or excluded in this research.

#### OVERVIEW

#### CLARIFYING DEFINITIONS

#### **Building Maintenance**

For the purposes of this project, Building Maintenance was defined as the process for conducting maintenance on SFMTA facilities. This definition is not intended to include the maintenance of tracks, overhead lines, trolley car, buses, streets, or any other operational component of the SFMTA. Again, while physical subway stations and other stops could be considered "buildings", they were not specifically included or excluded in this research.

Though previously referred to as "Facilities Maintenance" we are making the change to "Buildings" for clarification based on feedback that was received during this project.



### OVERVIEW

#### WORKSHOPS

Research Workshop: shared our findings with stakeholders and had them prioritize and build on

Mid-Phase Workshop: Created high level vision and process flows and invited stakeholders back to critique and build on our ideas



#### OVERVIEW Mid-Phase Workshop Groups Group 1: Group 2: Group 3: Corrective Maintenance Deferred/Preventative Maintenance Facility & Space Planning Lisa Walton Matt Lee Darton Ito Steve Shih Paul Bignardi David Greenaway George Reynolds Adrienne Anderson Monique Webster Rashid Herd Eileen Housteau Kerstin Magary Cameron Samii Lee Summerlott Jonathan Rewers Neal Popp · Leanne Nhan Terry Fahey Pamela Adams Laura Blake




#### RESEARCH "How Might We" questions are how IDEO represents opportunities for Design within the organization Planning Process Org + Talent Funding HMW define and articulate HMW incorporate a strategic HMW design an HMW attract greater a clear process for planning process for Facilities organization with clearly funding for capital and executing all functions of Management that reduces defined roles. operational facilities cost and increases the management for an facilities management for responsibilities, and all members of the reporting structure that organization that spends it efficiency and productivity of SEMTA. our facilities. delivers strategic facilities efficiently. management for SFMTA.

SFMTA Facilities Assessment and Workplace Planning Report - 20 January 2017 - by Owen Adams Consulting with Laura Blake Architect

то <u>s</u>	MOST IMPORTANT	BOTH AGREE/IMPORTANT	DISAGREE WITH
oritization	No clear funding story     Needs OPs budget for	<ul> <li>Unclear/confusing process</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No one looking at strategic FM planning</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Transit First culture - needs to include all of SFMTA</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>No designated FM for sites</li> </ul>
			***



#### New Structure & Roles

The following **component functions** that are responsible for managing SFMTA facilities are split among multiple parts of the org chart:

ssues



and executing preventative measures to ensure its

buildings and grounds operate successfully. Budgeting and Finance acquires, administers, and distributes of capital and operational funds supporting management of SFMTA

Asset Planning ensures that the SYMTA facelities meet the SYMTA facelities meet the Statuspic needs of SYMTA rover ensures 2-20) syntamic status management and execution of preventiging windpression manage deferred and preventative maintenance Facility Project Delivery Facility Project Delivery is an

Facility Project Delivery is an execution arm, providing expertise on design and construction for large scale construction, renovations, and campaigns.



#### SHIFTS

- The right people with the right skills making the right decisions/providing input at the right time.
- Defined process for Facilities Management with roles and responsibilities clearly defined.
- Single points of accountability, with shared understanding of the "one" for each decision.
- A Facilities Management organization that promotes a unified SFMTA culture and is recognized for its strategic importance to the organization.
- Defined operating budget















# **Executive Briefing on Facilities Framework**



## Background

On May 26, 2015 the Executive Team reviewed and approved the Facilities Task Force Implementation Plan.

- 17 recommendations (16 approved)
- Project Scopes Approved
- Program Budget Approved
- Schedules Approved
- Recommendation 3.4 was to develop an update of the original 2013 Facilities Vision Report
- That update is the **2016 Facilities Framework**

#### Background

# What is the Facilities Framework?

- The SFMTA needs a **dynamic plan** for the upgrade, management, acquisition and development of its buildings and grounds (the SFMTA Campus).
- The 2013 Facilities Vision Report largely dealt with the needs of the Muni Transit Fleet, but did not fully consider the operational needs of other SFMTA Divisions or units.
- A **flexible improvement program** is needed to deal with facility conditions, safety, workforce satisfaction and a changing and growing fleet.
- Updated costs and **realistic constrained financial scenarios** needed to be developed.

## Background

# What is the Facilities Framework?

## SHORT TERM

- Develop Facilities Condition Assessment
- Implement an annualized prioritized Program of Improvements

## MID TERM

- Renovate existing facilities
- Plan for upcoming expiring leases
- Work toward maintenance and office space consolidation/optimization

## LONG TERM

- Use a flexible program (planned options for changing conditions)
- Add additional space for growth, work toward own verses lease
- Replace deficient facilities and work to include TOD

#### Background

# **Facilities Framework Development Process**

Gather Data	2/18/2016 2/18/2016 4/5/2016 4/18/2016 5/24/2016 5/11/2016 7/18/2016	Fleet and Facilities Analysis presented to DOT/Executive Team Discussions of preliminary scopes for Muni Metro East PB/Transit Peer Review with Director of Transit Burke Coordination with CAO + Cost and Impact to the SFMTA Met with Director of Transit & Transit staff RE: Future of Kirkland Met with DOT/Executive Team – Peer Review Results & New Facility Program Presented updated New Facility Program to DOT/Executive Team Presented Draft Facilities Framework to DOT/Executive Team
Refine	Program	n for New Facility   Design Guidance (Peer Review)
	D	evelop Cost Estimates for Framework Scenarios
	Updat	ted Framework Developed + Integrated ACC (15 <sup>th</sup> )
Test/ Risks	11/14/2016 11/28/2016 12/7/2016	Presented to RED, MTA Planning, Capital Finance, Transit and SFPV Met with CFO RE: Financial Feasibility Met with Edgar Lopez & SFPW RE: Implementation Feasibility

## Facilities Framework – July 2016

## Long Term Facility Planning Scenarios

Scenario 1: New Facility	Scenario 1A: Smaller New Facility	Scenario 2: Existing Sites
New Facility • Motor Coach • Paratransit • NRV Maintenance • Training Enforcement	New Facility • Motor Coach • Paratransit • NRV (or Enforcement)	Marin • motor coach swing MME Expansion • add building for trolley swing/future back-shop
		Woods Yard • add deck for Paratransit
	Bayshore • Training	• fit out second floor for training
• Vacate	Scott • Enforcement (or NRV)	Scott & Office Lease • NRV & Enforcement
Potrero • rebuild with TOD	Potrero • rebuild with TOD	Potrero • rebuild decked with TOD
<ul> <li>residio</li> <li>rebuild with TOD</li> </ul>	Presidio • rebuild with TOD	Presidio • rebuild decked with TOD
Kirkland • rebuild	Kirkland • rebuild	Kirkland • rebuild

#### Long Term Facility Planning Scenarios

	Scenario 1 \$1,135M / \$1,931M	Scenario 1A \$1,170M / \$1,725M	Scenario 1B \$1,065M / \$1,610M	Scenario 2A SE67M / SL154M	Scenario 2 B
New Facility	motor coach paratransit NRV enforcement training	motor coach paratransit	motor coach		-
MME	rail	rail	rail	swing then rail & backshop	rail
Potrero	rebuild	rebuild training	rebuild training	rebuild decked training	rebuild decked training
Presidio	rebuild	rebuild	rebuild	rebuild decked	rebuild decked
Kirkland	rebuild	rebuild	rebuild	rebuild	rebuild
Scott	vacate	NRV enf parking	NRV enf parking	NRV enf parking	NRV enf parking
15th St (ACC)		enforcement	enforcement	enforcement	enforcement
Other		Sec. 10	paratransit	paratransit	paratransit
Swing	New Facility	New Facility	New Facility	MME	Kirkland & Lease

## Background

## **Refinements**

## To minimize cost and optimize the Framework

- Included the opportunity for ACC/15<sup>th</sup> St property swap for Bryant (OHL moving to Burke)
- Developed scenarios for a smaller New Facility (Scenarios 1A/1B)
- Developed new more cost effective Scenario 2
- Updated implementation strategy, methods
- Analyzed Risks schedule, budget/financial, delivery

#### Facilities Framework - December 2016



#### Facilities Framework – December 2016

## **Facility Needs**

### Maintenance and incremental improvements

- Facility Condition Assessment identified \$53M backlog and \$201M 20 year need to maintain state of good repair of SFMTA owned facilities.
- . Improvements for emerging needs such as the fare box replacement project

### More capacity for growing fleets

 Expanded facilities to accommodate planned fleet growth through 2040, including 132 more motor/trolley coaches and 109 more LRVs.

### **Rebuild Devictent Facilities**

Rebuild Potrero, Presidio which house 100% of trolley coach fleet and Kirkland which houses 25% of motor coach fleet

## Permanent Solutions for Enforcement and Paratransit

 Permanent facilities for SSD Enforcement (358 vehicles) and and growing SFMTA Paratransit Fleet (160 vehicles)

## **Facility Scenarios**

	Scenario 1 \$1,335M / \$1,931M	Scenario 1A \$1,170M / \$1,735M	Scenario 1B \$1,065M / \$1,610M	Scenario 2A \$867M / \$1,374M	Scenario 2 B \$819M / \$1,317M
New Facility	<ul> <li>motor coach</li> <li>paratransit</li> <li>NRV</li> <li>enforcement</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	motor coach     paratransit	motor coach		
MME	• rail	• rail	• rail	<ul> <li>swing then rail &amp; backshop</li> </ul>	• rail
Potrero	<ul> <li>rebuild</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>rebuild</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	rebuild     training	<ul> <li>rebuild decked</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>rebuild decked</li> <li>training</li> </ul>
Presidio	<ul> <li>rebuild</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>rebuild</li> </ul>	rebuild	<ul> <li>rebuild decked</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>rebuild decked</li> </ul>
Kirkland	• rebuild	• rebuild	• rebuild	rebuild	• rebuild
Scott	<ul> <li>vacate</li> </ul>	NRV     enf parking	NRV     enf parking	NRV     enf parking	NRV     enf parking
15th St (ACC)		enforcement	enforcement	enforcement	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>
Other			paratransit	paratransit	paratransit
Swing	New Facility	New Facility	New Facility	• MME	Kirkland & Lease

Capital Cost / Scenario Cost (capital, maintenance, and contingency)

#### Facilities Framework – December 2016

## Scenario 1/1A/1B

1. MME - build expanded rail	2. Potrero - rebuild coach facility with TOD above	<b>3. Presidio</b> - rebuild coach facility with TOD adjacent	4. Kirkland - rebuild coach facility
7-1			
She		International Provide	
		- mum	一直最周

Assumes use New Facility for swing while rebuilding Potrero, Presidio, and Kirkland

Facilities Framework – December 2016

## Scenario 2A



Assumes use MME Expansion for swing while rebuilding Potrero, Presidio, and Kirkland and then for rail and back shop

#### Facilities Framework – December 2016



#### Facilities Framework – December 2016

## Scenario Cost Estimates

**Scenario Estimates** 

Capital Cost/Scenario Cost

Capital cost includes project, new facility and lease savings

Scenario cost includes capital, maintenance/TI and program contingency costs

Projects Costs developed by cost consultant; include hard and soft costs escalated to mid point of construction

New Facility Costs derived by SFMTA. based on information from developer; assume purchase over ten years

Lease Savings provided by SFMTA

Maintenance & T) Costs based on Facility Condition Assessment

Progrem Contingency is for EIR, Hazardous Materials Abatement, Unforeseen Conditions, etc

TOD Savings not known and thus not included



### Facility Framework Costs

	Scenario 1 \$1,335M / \$1,	931M	Scenario 1A \$1,170M / \$1,7	735M	Scenario 1B \$1,065M / \$1,	610M	Scenario 2A \$867M / \$1,3	74M	Scenario 2 B \$819M / \$1,81	7M
New Facility	<ul> <li>coach</li> <li>paratransit</li> <li>NRV</li> <li>enforcement</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	\$138M \$73M		\$577M \$135M	• coach	\$579M				
MME	• rail	\$39M	• rail	\$39M	• rail	\$39M	• rail & swing	\$136M	• rail	\$39M
Potrero Presidio Kirkland	<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> </ul>		<ul><li>rebuilds</li><li>training</li></ul>	\$419M \$18M	<ul><li>rebuilds</li><li>training</li></ul>		<ul><li>rebuilds</li><li>training</li></ul>		rebuilds     training	\$737M \$18M
Scott	<ul> <li>vacate</li> </ul>		NRV     enf parking	\$0 \$0	NRV     enf parking		NRV     enf parking		NRV     enf parking	\$0 \$0
15th St (ACC)			<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23N
Other	-				• paratransit	\$30M	• paratransit	\$30M	• paratransit	\$30N
Lease		-\$42M		-\$41M		-\$43M		-\$27M		-\$28M

Capital Cost / Scenario Cost (capital, maintenance, and contingency)

#### Facilities Framework – December 2016

# Facility Framework Costs

	Scenario 1 \$1,335M / \$1,	931M	Scenario 1A \$1,170M / \$1,1	735M	Scenario 1B \$1,065M / \$1,	610M	Scenario 2A \$867M / \$1,3	74M	Scenario 2 B \$819M / \$1,31	7M
New Facility	<ul> <li>coach</li> <li>paratransit</li> <li>NRV</li> <li>enforcement</li> <li>training</li> </ul>		coach     paratransit     S165     lower c	W	• coach	\$579M				
MME	• rail	\$39M	• rail	\$39M	• rail	\$39M	<ul> <li>rail &amp; swing</li> </ul>	\$136M	• rail	\$39M
Potrero Presidio Kirkland	<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	\$419M \$18M	<ul><li>rebuilds</li><li>training</li></ul>		<ul><li>rebuilds</li><li>training</li></ul>		<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	\$737M \$18M
Scott	<ul> <li>vacate</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>NRV</li> <li>enf parking</li> </ul>	\$0 \$0	NRV     enf parking		<ul><li>NRV</li><li>enf parking</li></ul>		<ul><li>NRV</li><li>enf parking</li></ul>	\$0 \$0
15th St (ACC)			<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M
Other					<ul> <li>paratransit</li> </ul>	\$30M	<ul> <li>paratransit</li> </ul>	\$30M	• paratransit	\$30M
Lease		-\$42M		-\$41M		-\$43M		-\$27M		-\$28M

Capital Cost / Scenario Cost (capital, maintenance, and contingency)

Facilities Framework – December 2016

## **Facility Framework Costs**

	Scenario 1 \$1,335M / \$1.	,931M	Scenario 1A \$1,170M / \$1,	735M	Scenario 1B \$1,065M / \$1,	610M	Scenario 2A \$867M / \$1,37	74M	Scenario 2 B \$819M / \$1,31	7M
New Facility	<ul> <li>coach</li> <li>paratransit</li> <li>NRV</li> <li>enforcement</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	\$138M \$73M	<ul> <li>coach</li> <li>paratransit</li> </ul>	\$577M \$135M	• coach \$10 lower					
MME	• rail	\$39M	• rail	\$39M	• rail	\$39M	<ul> <li>rail &amp; swing</li> </ul>	\$136M	• rail	\$39M
Potrero Presidio Kirkland	rebuilds	· Concenter	<ul><li>rebuilds</li><li>training</li></ul>	\$419M \$18M	<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	-	<ul><li>rebuilds</li><li>training</li></ul>		<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	\$737M \$18M
Scott	vacate		NRV     enf parking	\$0 \$0	NRV     enf parking		NRV     enf parking		NRV     enf parking	\$0 \$0
15th St (ACC)			<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23N
Other					<ul> <li>paratransit</li> </ul>	\$30M	• paratransit	\$30M	• paratransit	\$30M
Lease		-\$42M		-\$41M		-\$43M		-\$27M		-\$28M

Capital Cost / Scenario Cost (capital, maintenance, and contingency)

# Facilities Framework – December 2016

	Scenario 1 \$1,335M / \$1,	931M	Scenario 1A \$1,170M / \$1,	735M	Scenario 1B \$1,065M / \$1,	610M	Scenario 2A \$867M / \$1,3	74M	Scenario 2 B \$819M / \$1,31	7M
New Facility	<ul> <li>coach</li> <li>paratransit</li> <li>NRV</li> <li>enforcement</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	\$138M \$73M	<ul> <li>coach</li> <li>paratransit</li> </ul>	\$577M \$135M	• coach	\$579M	No	\$198M ower cos		
MME	• rail	\$39M	• rail	\$39M	• rail	\$39M	<ul> <li>rail &amp; swing</li> </ul>	\$136M	• rail	\$39M
Potrero Presidio Kirkland	<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	\$419M \$18M	<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> <li>training</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> <li>training</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>rebuilds</li> <li>training</li> </ul>	\$737M \$18M
Scott	<ul> <li>vacate</li> </ul>		NRV     enf parking	\$0 \$0	NRV     enf parking		NRV     enf parking		NRV     enf parking	\$0 \$0
15th St (ACC)			<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23M	<ul> <li>enforcement</li> </ul>	\$23N
Other					paratransit	\$30M	<ul> <li>paratransit</li> </ul>	\$30M	paratransit	\$30M
Lease		-\$42M		-\$41M		-\$43M		-\$27M		-\$28N

### Facility Framework Costs

Capital Cost / Scenario Cost (capital, maintenance, and contingency)

## Facility Framework First Steps

	November - December 2016 Executive Direction	January - March 2017 Refine & Analyze	April - June 2017 Refine & Analyze	June - September 2017 Refine & Analyze	October- December 2017 Executive Direction	January 2018 Start Major Project		
Scenarios	5 options Scenario1, 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B	4 options Scenario 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B	2 options Scenario1A or 1B, 2A or 2B	2 options Scenario1A or 16, 24 or 28	1 option			
	identify next manlenance	Establish MOU with SFPW	SFPW p	repare construction documents a	s needed	PRETAR		
MAINTENANCE	projects	Select construction procurement for projects	SFPW or SF	MTA prepare As Needed or Core	struction RFP	MILLINE		
NEW FACILITY	Eliminate Scenario 1 Confirm ability to purchase	Developer revise conce Confirm cost and d	ept and start project EIR efine deal structure	Compare refined scope, schedule, budget,				
NEW PACILITY	New Facility	SFMTA refine program & estu Facility an	ablish building criteria for New nd Potrero	procurement and funding of two Scenarios			050	
POTRERO	Issue RFP for YOD analysis for	Potero, Presidio and Kirkland	Phase 1 of TOD Study for P	otrero, Presidio, and Kiskland	Samo scenero			
POTRERO	Issue RFP for Program SFMTA expanse		Phase 1 of I	Program EIR				
MME		Select Scenario 2A with MME swing or Scenario 2B with	Refine MME rail	im concept and cost concept and cost -operating Historic Street Cars	Move Historic Street Cars and clean out Marin	INVEN		
MARIN		Kinkland & lease swing	SFPW or SF	MTA prepare As Needed or Cons	struction RFP	- Aller		
15th ST ENFORCEMENT)	Approve swap of 15th St for Bryant St. use of 15th & Scott for Enforcement & NRV	Refine program and concept, establish cost and identify funding	Establish MOU with SFPW	BURLINNI				
PARATRANSIT	Confirm Options: 1. Include in New Facility	-	Search 1	I or purchase of existing facility(iet	s) or yard	MIREMENT		
PARATRANSIT	<ol> <li>Purchase existing facility</li> <li>Purchase existing yard, outsource maintenance</li> </ol>	Analyze & Select Option	Explore extending Vendor leas	cks if cannot purchase existing	- ALAN			

Next Steps

# **Actions/Decisions**

Recommend Eliminate Scenario 1

Reduce scenarios; New facility should be smaller, Scenario 1A (Bus and Paratransit) and 1B (Bus Only) are more cost effective.

- **Recommend Advance ACC Jurisdictional Transfer** More cost effective; Enforcement is at a permanent location and superior geographic location.
- Recommend MME for Swing not Kirkland Reduce scenarios; Kirkland as swing is feasible, however results in greater community/political risk early in the program
- Confirm Preferred Paratransit Facility Strategy Extend current leases as bridge to permanent

# **Reference Materials**

The SFMTA's Real Estate and Facilities Vision for the 21st Century, dated February 5 2013 and Addendum to SFMTA's Real Estate and Facilities Vision for the 21st Century, Vision Refinement for Coach Facilities, dated June 24, 2014, prepared by Parsons Brinckerhoff

The Vision Report provided a basis for the consultant team to develop an updated long-term facilities planning framework. See a brief summary of the report on page 192.

## SFMTA Rebuild 2040, Draft 1 dated April 14, 2015

This document prepared by SFMTA staff (but never adopted) contains additional background for long-range facilities planning. For instance, it provided guidance on adjustment of the Vision cost estimates to correspond to City of San Francisco and SFMTA-specific factors and considerations.

- SFMTA Strategic Plan Fiscal Year 2013-Fiscal Year 2018. This document describes the Agency's direction for six years and is the basis for the next two year budget cycle.
- 2014 SFMTA Transit Fleet Management Plan, dated March 2014 This document outlines SFMTA's transit fleet plan

SFMTA Short Range Transit Plan Fiscal Year 2015 – Fiscal Year
 2030, approved by the SFMTA Board of Directors June 16, 2015
 This document, which SFMTA is required to submit to the
 Metropolitan Transportation Commission is the source for
 much background information for this study. It contains an
 organizational overview of SFMTA, Performance Measures

and Key performance Indicators, Operations Plan and Budget, Capital Improvement Program, etc. The report, combined with the *Transit Fleet Management Plan* is the source of much of the revenue and non-revenue fleet information.

State of Good Repair Report, published February 2015 This document provided background and context to the consultant team's work on Facility Condition Assessment and planning for deferred and preventive maintenance.

SFMTA 20 Year Capital Plan, adopted September 15, 2015 The consultant team's Facility Assessment and proposed Framework Plan for future improvements will contribute to ongoing update of the Capital Improvement Plan.

SFMTA Facilities Task Force Recommendations + Next Steps, dated May 26 2015 presentation to DOT/Executive Team SFMTA 20 Year Capital Plan, adopted September 15, 2015

This document provided specific priorities from the DOT/Executive Team which has guided consultant work, including:

- Create a Deferred Maintenance Program for the SFMTA Rea Estate Portfolio
- Create a Space Plan and Program for the SFMTA Real Estate Portfolio
- Provide an Organizational assessment and design for SFMTA Facility Management
- The team has coordinated with SFPW on some aspects of the work, such as planning for 1 South Van Ness and renovation of the Burke warehouse.

# **Vision Report**

The SFMTA Real Estate and Facilities Vision for the 21st Century (Vision Report) is a guide to optimize the use of the SFMTA real estate portfolio by consolidating functions, and reconfiguring, expanding and replacing existing facilities to best meet SFMTA's operational needs while identifying cost savings and revenue-generating opportunities. The Vision Report outlines recommendations to meet. SFMTA's operational needs, with an emphasis on fleet operations. It includes projects that could be undertaken at any time as well as series of projects that need to be undertaken in sequence to accommodate fleet growth, improve function, and replace leased and physically deficient facilities.

The Vision Refinement for Coach Facilities (Vision Addendum) updates the recommendations for the larger motor and trolley fleets but not the larger rail fleet described in the 2014 SFMTA Transit Fleet Management Plan.

The Vision Report and Addendum recommendations for each facility are summarized on the right.



# Motor Coach

- Flynn electrify to use as swing facility for Potrero and Presidio trolley coach facility replacements
- Islais Creek implement design modifications and build to increase yard capacity and provide service for standard and articulated coaches
- Kirkland Replace aging, limited and inefficient facility with new facility that can accommodate standard and articulated coaches
- Woods Relocate component rebuild and body/paint, and renovate facility to accommodate standard and articulated coaches.
- New Add new facility to accommodate 2040 fleet.

# **Trolley Coach**

- Potrero Replace physically and functionally deficient facility with Transit Oriented Development that includes new trolley coach facility
- Presidio Replace physically and functionally deficient facility with Transit Oriented Development that includes new trolley coach and overhead lines facility

# Rail

- Cable Car Barn- Continue current use
- Cameron Beach Replace physically and functionally deficient rail facility with yard
- Cameron Beach Upper Yard Transit Oriented Development

- Green Consider outsourcing some functions, relocate body/paint to MME & consolidate components to increase service bays
- Green Annex Rearrange electronic shop for more efficient use
- Muni Metro East Procure equipment to complete facility fit-out; build multi-modal body paint facility

# **Streets and Support**

- Alameda Power Control Continue current use
- · Bancroft Sign and Meter Shop Upgrade facility
- Bayshore Tow, Traffic Signal Shop, Video and Training -New lease facility
- Bryant Overhead Lines Move from current unreinforced masonry building.
- Burke Warehouse Upgrade facility
- Lenox Transit Control Move to South Van Ness (SFMTA subsequently revised to move to Market)
- Marin Swing space
- Pennsylvania Maintenance and Shops Consolidate shop functions
- Scott NRV Maintenance and Enforcement increase service capacity or make consolidated Enforcement Facility
- Yosemite Street Paint Shop Continue current use

