Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Committee

Bruce Agid – Chair Sarah Davis Amit Kothari – Vice-Chair Manoj Madhavan Catherine Sharpe

APPROVED

Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes September 23, 2021 10:00 am – 12 Noon On-line Meeting

Advisory Committee Seats
Seat 1 (Golden State Warriors)
Manoj Madhavan, Voting member
Yoyo Chan, Alternate
Seat 2 (UCSF)
Amit Kothari, Voting member
Clare Shinnerl, Alternate
Seat 3 (Neighborhood resident)
Bruce Agid, Voting member
Bruce Huie, Alternate
Seat 4 (Neighborhood business owner)
Catherine Sharpe, Voting member
Terezia Nemeth, Alternate
Seat 5 (Neighborhood resident)
Sarah Davis, Voting member
Sarah Bertram, Alternate

1. Call to order/rollcall -Bruce Agid, Chairperson

Voting members in attendance: Agid; Madhavan; Kothari. Excused absences: Sharpe; Davis; Alternates in attendance: Chan Alternates not in attendance: Huie; Shinnerl; Bertram; Nemeth

2. Approve minutes of July 22, 2021 Meeting

A motion was made by Manoj Madhavan to approve the minutes of the Jull 22,2021 meeting and was second by Amit Kothari. Member Comment – None Public Comments – None



Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Committee Meeting minutes September 23, 2021

On the motion to approve the July 22, 2021 minutes: Ayes: Agid; Madhavan; Kothari

Nays: None. The motion passed.

3. Old Business

a. Approve revised 2021-2022 Workplan & Budget Schedule

Amit Kothari made a motion to approve the 2021-22 Work Plan with one change; under January 2022, the activity will be "Review metrics to understand Port and Mission Bay Parks needs" and was seconded by Manoj Madhavan.

Member comments - None Public Comments - None

On the motion to approve the 2021-2022 Work Plan with revision: Ayes: Agid Madhavan; Kothari

b. <u>Feedback from City Attorney regarding the feasibility of providing funding to other entities, (</u> <u>Port and Mission Bay Parks)</u>

Kim Walton, SFMTA reported that the SF City Attorney recommended that the most efficient way to fund the cleaning of Port property and Mission Bay Parks would be to have Public Works provide the service and seek reimbursement.

Bruce Agid stated that it was known what the intent of the legislation was (provide cleaning services to areas impacted by Chase Center events) however when it was written, it's clear that the authors were not aware of the differences in how services are provided in Mission Bay as compared to other neighborhoods throughout the City.

Kimberley Beal, Port of S.F. stated that the Mission Bay Parks current maintenance/cleaning vendor will be going out of business as of June 2022. The S.F. Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (OCII) and Mission Bay Parks are currently in discussion regarding who the next vendor would be.

Committee Comment - Bruce stated that he would like the Advisory Committee to be kept informed on the outcome of who the future cleaning vendor will be post June 2022.

Public Comment – Kathy Hickey stated that her company is in discussion with Mission Bay Parks about being considered as the vendor to clean the parks.

4. High level update on planning assumptions for Chase Center events

Manoj Madhavan provided an update on Chase Center events.

Committee comments:

Amit Kothari asked about overlapping events (days where events at both Oracle Park and Chase Center). Manoj Madhavan stated that to date transit ridership has increased, Center parking garages are not full, and ride share patronage is about half of what it was in prior years.

Bruce Agid asked that the Committee be provided with a monthly update on Chase Center events.

Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Committee Meeting minutes September 23, 2021

Public Comments: None

5. Budget vs. Actuals update for FY2020-2021, Adjusted and Associated Services FY2021-2022

Samuel Thomas, SFMTA, presented on Muni transit service, SF Public Works, and SF Police Department budget versus actuals for FY 2020-2021 and adjusted and associated services for FY 2021-2022. (See attached presentation)

6. Departments present proposed budgets and proposed service levels for FY 2022-2023, FY2023-2024

Samuel Thomas and staff from SF Public Works and SF Police Department provided the Committee with background on their budget requests.

Committee Comments:

- Amit Kothari asked for clarification about Muni service levels in relation to funding.
- Bruce Agid stated that additional information is needed to understand why the SFMTA-Muni funding request for LRV service was the same for each service level.

Committee members also asked both the SF Police Department and SF Public Works questions about their service level assumptions in relation to funding requests. Funding requests should be broken down by the 3 previously agreed to event levels (1-3) to provide insight on increases in funding requests over FY2019-2020 and associated risks if not approved.

Bruce Agid asked that the SFMTA -Muni transit staff, SF Police Department, and SF Public Works, return to the Committee in October 2021 meeting prepared to provide more detailed information regarding how they will provide services to the Chase Center area based on the three attendance levels outlined by the Committee when the Center opened

7. Metrics update

This item will be tabled and presented at the October 23, 2021 meeting.

8. Public Comment – there were no comments from the Public.

9. Advisory Committee Comments and Future Agenda Items

The October 23, 2021 agenda will include an update on the Metrics Dashboard, an update on Chase Center events and presentations from SF Public Works, SFMTA/Muni and Sf Police Department on proposed budgets and service levels.

Committee Comments – none Public Comment -none

Adjourn

Amit Kothari motioned that the September 23, 2021 meeting be adjourned, and it was seconded by Manoj Madhavan. The motion passed unanimously.