SFMTA - TASC SUMMARY SHEET									
PreStaff_Date: 10/18/2022 Requested_by: SFMTA Handled: Nikki Kobayashi, 646-2524 Section Head : BW Geraldine de Leon	 Public Hearing Consent Public Hearing Regular Informational / Other 	No objections: Item Held: Other:							
Location: Jones Street and Pine S	Street								
Subject: Tow-Away, No Stopping	Anytime and No Left Turn on Re	d							
PROPOSAL / REQUEST: RESCIND – TOW-AWAY, NO STOPPIN Pine Street, south side, from 20 feet to 1 RESCIND – 2-HOUR PARKING, 8 AM T VEHICLES WITH AREA C PERMITS Pine Street, south side, from 20 feet to 1 ESTABLISH – NO STOPPING ANY TIM Pine Street, south side, from Jones Street ESTABLISH – NO LEFT TURN ON RED Pine Street, south side, westbound, at Jones ESTABLISH – TOW-AWAY LANE MUST Pine Street, south side, westbound, at Jones Comparison District 3)	38 feet east of Jones Street. O 3 PM, 6 PM TO 9 PM, MON THRO 38 feet east of Jones Street. E et to 138 feet easterly ones Street F TURN LEFT								
BACKGROUND INFORMATION / CO As part of the Contract 36 Traffic Signals left turn phase at the intersection of Jones collision involving a left turning vehicle an collisions in 2016. The fully protected left the pedestrians.	Upgrade Project, SFMTA will be inst s Street and Pine Street to address p d a pedestrian at the intersection wit	edestrian safety. There has been 1 hin the last 5 years plus 3 additiona							
Pine Street operates with only 2 lanes eximplementation of a fully protected westb									

implementation of a fully protected westbound left turn requires the addition of a left turn lane to provide storage space for the left turning vehicles while continuing to accommodate the volume of through traffic. (See attached Synchro analysis for further details) Removal of six un-metered parking spaces along the south side of Pine Street is needed to create a left turn lane.

No Muni routes travel through intersection.

No Bike Routes through the intersection.

HEARING NOTIFICATION AND PROCESSING NOTES:	ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE BY:
	SFMTA Attached Pending

CHECK IF PREPARING SEPARATE SFMTA BOARD CALENDAR ITEM FOR PROPOSAL: Tuesday, October 18, 2022

Jones Street & Pine Street

PROPOSAL/REQUEST:

RESCIND – TOW-AWAY, NO STOPPING 3 PM TO 6 PM, EXCEPT SATURDAY AND SUNDAY Pine Street, south side, from 20 feet to 138 feet east of Jones Street.

RESCIND – 2-HOUR PARKING, 8 AM TO 3 PM, 6 PM TO 9 PM, MON THROUGH SATURDAY, EXCEPT VEHICLES WITH AREA C PERMITS Pine Street, south side, from 20 feet to 138 feet east of Jones Street.

ESTABLISH – NO STOPPING ANY TIME Pine Street, south side, from Jones Street to 138 feet easterly

ESTABLISH – NO LEFT TURN ON RED Pine Street, south side, westbound, at Jones Street

ESTABLISH – TOW-AWAY LANE MUST TURN LEFT Pine Street, south side, westbound, at Jones Street

Proposal to remove existing PM peak tow-away no stopping restriction and parking on the south side of Pine St, to create a left turn lane at all times.

(Supervisor District 3)

Nikki Kobayashi, nikki.kobayashi@sfmta.com

BACKGROUND INFORMATION/COMMENTS

As part of the Contract 36 Traffic Signals Upgrade Project, SFMTA will be installing a fully protected westbound left turn phase at the intersection of Jones Street and Pine Street to address pedestrian safety. There has been 1 collision involving a left turning vehicle and a pedestrian at the intersection within the last 5 years plus 3 additional collisions in 2016. The fully protected left turn phase will allow separate phases for both left turning vehicles and the pedestrians.

Pine Street operates with only 2 lanes except during the PM Peak of 3 PM to 6 PM Monday through Friday. The implementation of a fully protected westbound left turn requires the addition of a left turn lane to provide storage space for the left turning vehicles while continuing to accommodate the volume of through traffic. (See attached Synchro analysis for further details) Removal of six un-metered parking spaces along the south side of Pine Street is needed to create a left turn lane.

No Muni routes travel through intersection.

No Bike Routes through the intersection.

INTERSECTION OF JONES STREET AND PINE STREET

= =

1

22

CR. SAISH-

C-TD

15

K

11

CICD.

Ν

IL EN

T

nD.

10 10

Stree

Pine Street

+TTL

9

Con to

61









M	N	0	Р

HICLE SIGNAL				PEDESTRIAN SIGNAL						
IOUNTING	VISORS	LOUVERS/BP	No.	TYPE	MOUNTING	REMARKS				

8



NTRACT NO.

RAWING NO.

NC

E-1.0

XXX.XXX REV. NO.

xxxxJ

JONES STREET AND PINE STREET DRAFT DESIGN

CONTRACT 36

TRAFFIC SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS



М	N N	0	Р

EQ	UIPMEN	NT SCH	IEDUL	_E			
SIGNAL				PEDESTRIAN SIG	NAL	2514.21/2	1
IG	VISORS	LOUVERS/BP	No.	TYPE	MOUNTING	REMARKS	
			(E) 69 (E) 88	(E) 1S-COUNT (E) 1S-COUNT	(E) SP-1 (E) SP-1		_
•	т		(E) 28	(E) 1S-COUNT	(E) SP-1		2
r	т		(E) 89	(E) 1S-COUNT	(E) SP-1		
I-T	(E) T		(E) 48	(E) 1S-COUNT	(E) SP-1		
r	TTT	BP BP	29	1S-COUNT	SP-1		3
	T T		(E) 49 (E) 68	(E) 1S-COUNT (E) 1S-COUNT	(E) SP-1 (E) SP-1	MOUNT SIGNAL 61 AT 16'	-



CONTRACT 36 TRAFFIC SIGNAL MODIFICATIONS

JONES STREET AND PINE STREET TRAFFIC SIGNAL WORK

xxxxJ RAWING NO. E-1.0 N XXX.XXX REV. NO.

V/C Ratio Summary for Jones/Pine

	Dial 111				Dia	al 212		Dial 313					
Pine	Street	Jones	Street	Pine Street Jones Street		Pine	Street	Jones Street					
	Vol	umes			Volumes				Volumes				
180 LT	1239	590	62 RT	159 LT	792	630	54 RT	201 LT	1686	549	70 RT		
	Existing												
1 Thru/LT	Γ + 1 Thru	1 Thru +	1 Thru/RT	1 Thru	/LT + 1 Thru	1 Thru +	1 Thru/RT	1 Thru/L	Ր + 3 Thru	1 Thru +	1 Thru/RT		
0.9	98	0.	85		0.67	0	.74	0.	66	0	.77		
	Proposed Timing w/ WBLT Phase												
1 LT	1 Thru	1 Thru +	1 Thru/RT	1 LT	1 Thru	1 Thru + 1 Thru/RT		1 LT	3 Thru	1 Thru +	1 Thru/RT		
0.37	1.61			0.31	1.03				0.66	0.77			
1 LT	2 Thru	0.	85	1 LT	2 Thru	0	0.74						
0.34	0.75			0.3	0.48								
				Propose	d Timing w/ W	/BLT Phase	+ 4P/8P LPI						
1 LT	1 Thru	1 Thru +	1 Thru/RT	1 LT	1 Thru	1 Thru +	1 Thru/RT	1 LT	3 Thru	1 Thru +	1 Thru/RT		
0.38	1.69			0.31	1.03			0.37	0.66	0	.96		
1 LT	2 Thru	0.	94	1 LT	2 Thru	0	.88						
0.37	0.79			0.3	0.48								

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 619: Jones St. & Pine St.

EXISTING

10/03/2022

	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	•	1	*	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					4ħ						A	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	630	54
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	630	54
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			-15%	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor					0.94						0.97	
Frt											0.988	
Flt Protected					0.992							
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	2749	0	0	0	0	0	2943	0
Flt Permitted					0.992							
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	2593	0	0	0	0	0	2943	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					65						12	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		489			470			318			369	
Travel Time (s)		11.1			10.7			8.7			10.1	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	400		400	400		400	400		400	400		400
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			10			10			10			10
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Parking (#/hr)	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0		10	0
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	630	54
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	951	0	0	0	0	0	684	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0	Ū		0	Ū		0	Ū		0	Ū
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.36	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.09	1.20	1.09
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Turn Type				Perm	NA						NA	
Protected Phases					6						4	
Permitted Phases				6								
Minimum Split (s)				23.5	23.5						24.5	
Total Split (s)				44.0	44.0						27.0	
Total Split (%)				58.7%	58.7%						36.0%	
Maximum Green (s)				38.5	38.5						21.5	
Yellow Time (s)				4.0	4.0						4.0	
All-Red Time (s)				1.5	1.5						1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					-2.0						-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					3.5						3.5	
Lead/Lag				Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?				- 0	- 0							
Walk Time (s)				7.0	7.0						7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)				11.0	11.0						12.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)				0	0						0	
Act Effct Green (s)					40.5						23.5	
											_0.0	

Scenario 1 NOMA-SOMA Retiming 09/25/2020 NoMa Final SFMTA



L	٥r	
Lane Group	Ø5	
Lane Configurations		
Traffic Volume (vph)		
Future Volume (vph)		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)		
Grade (%)		
Lane Util. Factor		
Ped Bike Factor		
Frt		
Flt Protected		
Satd. Flow (prot)		
Flt Permitted		
Satd. Flow (perm)		
Right Turn on Red		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		
Link Speed (mph)		
Link Distance (ft)		
Travel Time (s)		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)		
Peak Hour Factor		
Parking (#/hr)		
Adj. Flow (vph)		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)		
Lane Group Flow (vph)		
Enter Blocked Intersection		
Lane Alignment		
Median Width(ft)		
Link Offset(ft)		
Crosswalk Width(ft)		
Two way Left Turn Lane		
Headway Factor		
Turning Speed (mph)		
Turn Type Protected Phases	5	
Permitted Phases	5	
	10	
Minimum Split (s)	4.0	
Total Split (s)	4.0	
Total Split (%)	5%	
Maximum Green (s)	2.0	
Yellow Time (s)	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Walk Time (s)		
Flash Dont Walk (s)		
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		
Act Effct Green (s)		

Scenario 1 NOMA-SOMA Retiming 09/25/2020 NoMa Final SFMTA

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 619: Jones St. & Pine St.



	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.54						0.31	
v/c Ratio					0.67						0.74	
Control Delay					8.0						23.9	
Queue Delay					0.0						0.0	
Total Delay					8.0						23.9	
LOS					А						С	
Approach Delay					8.0						23.9	
Approach LOS					A						С	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	CBD											
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 40 (53%), Reference	ced to phase	5:Hold, S	tart of Gr	een								
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.74												
Intersection Signal Delay:					tersectior		_					
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 61.4%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	В					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 619: Jones St. & Pine St.



Lanes, Volumes, Timings 619: Jones St. & Pine St.

SCENARIO 1 1 THRU LANE + 1 LEFT TURN LANE

10/03/2022

	≯	+	*	4	t	•	•	Ť	*	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				ሻ	†						A	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	630	54
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	630	54
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			-15%	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor				0.68							0.97	
Frt											0.988	
Flt Protected				0.950								
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	1386	1296	0	0	0	0	0	2943	0
Flt Permitted				0.950								
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	942	1296	0	0	0	0	0	2943	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				151							11	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		489			470			318			369	
Travel Time (s)		11.1			10.7			8.7			10.1	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	400		400	400		400	400		400	400		400
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			10			10			10			10
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Parking (#/hr)	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0		10	0
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	630	54
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	684	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		11			11			0			0	
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.36	1.57	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.09	1.20	1.09
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Turn Type				Prot	NA						NA	
Protected Phases				1	6						4	
Permitted Phases												
Minimum Split (s)				9.5	21.5						24.5	
Total Split (s)				26.0	48.0						23.0	
Total Split (%)				34.7%	64.0%						30.7%	
Maximum Green (s)				20.5	42.5						17.5	
Yellow Time (s)				4.0	4.0						4.0	
All-Red Time (s)				1.5	1.5						1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)				-2.0	-2.0						-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)				3.5	3.5						3.5	
Lead/Lag				Lag							Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Walk Time (s)					7.0						7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)					9.0						12.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)					0						0	
Act Effct Green (s)				22.5	44.5						19.5	

Scenario 1 NOMA-SOMA Retiming 09/25/2020 NoMa Final SFMTA

10/03/2022

Lane Group	Ø2	Ø3	
Lane Configurations			
Traffic Volume (vph)			
Future Volume (vph)			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)			
Grade (%)			
Lane Util. Factor			
Ped Bike Factor			
Frt			
Flt Protected			
Satd. Flow (prot)			
Flt Permitted			
Satd. Flow (perm)			
Right Turn on Red			
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			
Link Speed (mph)			
Link Distance (ft)			
Travel Time (s)			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			
Peak Hour Factor			
Parking (#/hr)			
Adj. Flow (vph)			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)			
Lane Group Flow (vph)			
Enter Blocked Intersection			
Lane Alignment			
Median Width(ft)			
Link Offset(ft)			
Crosswalk Width(ft)			
Two way Left Turn Lane			
Headway Factor			
Turning Speed (mph)			
Turn Type			
Protected Phases	2	3	
Permitted Phases	2	U	
Minimum Split (s)	22.0	4.0	
Total Split (s)	22.0	4.0	
Total Split (%)	22.0	4.0 5%	
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	2.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)			
Total Lost Time (s)		1	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			
Walk Time (s)	7.0		
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0		
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0		
Act Effct Green (s)			

Scenario 1 NOMA-SOMA Retiming 09/25/2020 NoMa Final SFMTA

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 619: Jones St. & Pine St.

SCENARIO 1

1 THRU LANE + 1 LEFT TURN LANE

10/03/2022

	≯	→	\mathbf{F}	4	+	*	•	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Actuated g/C Ratio				0.30	0.59						0.26	
v/c Ratio				0.31	1.03						0.88	
Control Delay				17.4	67.8						45.1	
Queue Delay				0.0	0.0						0.0	
Total Delay				17.4	67.8						45.1	
LOS				В	E						D	
Approach Delay					59.4						45.1	
Approach LOS					E						D	
Intersection Summary												
	3D											
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to	phase 2:	Ped, Star	t of Greer	า								
Natural Cycle: 90												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.03												
Intersection Signal Delay: 53.4					tersectior							
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n 75.0%			IC	U Level o	of Service	D					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 619: Jones St. & Pine St.

Ø2 (R)	Ø1	●ø3 ↓ ø4
22 s	26 s	4s 23s
← Ø6		
48 s		

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 619: Jones St. & Pine St.

SCENARIO 2 (PROPOSED) 2 THRU LANE + 1 LEFT TURN LANE

10/03/2022

	٦	→	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	٠	Ť	1	5	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				<u>کر</u>	<u></u>						↑ ĵ≽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	630	54
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	630	54
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			-15%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	180		0	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	1		0	0		0	0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor				0.68							0.97	
Frt											0.988	
Flt Protected				0.950								
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	1386	2771	0	0	0	0	0	2943	0
Flt Permitted				0.950								
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	942	2771	0	0	0	0	0	2943	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				159							11	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		489			470			318			369	
Travel Time (s)		11.1			10.7			8.7			10.1	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	400		400	400		400	400	•••	400	400		400
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			10			10			10			10
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Parking (#/hr)	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0		10	0
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	630	54
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	159	792	0	0	0	0	0	684	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		11	J -		11	J -		0	J -		0	J -
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane								-			-	
Headway Factor	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.36	1.36	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.09	1.20	1.09
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15	-	9
Turn Type				Prot	NA						NA	-
Protected Phases				1	6						4	
Permitted Phases				-	-							
Minimum Split (s)				9.5	21.5						24.5	
Total Split (s)				26.0	48.0						23.0	
Total Split (%)				34.7%	64.0%						30.7%	
Maximum Green (s)				20.5	42.5						17.5	
Yellow Time (s)				4.0	4.0						4.0	
All-Red Time (s)				1.5	1.5						1.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)				-2.0	-2.0						-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)				3.5	3.5						3.5	
Lead/Lag				Lag	0.0						Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?				249							_ug	
Walk Time (s)					7.0						7.0	
					1.0						1.0	

Scenario 1 NOMA-SOMA Retiming 09/25/2020 NoMa Final SFMTA

10/03/2022

Lane Group	Ø2	Ø3	
Lane Configurations	~_		
Traffic Volume (vph)			
Future Volume (vph)			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)			
Grade (%)			
Storage Length (ft) Storage Lanes			
Taper Length (ft) Lane Util. Factor			
Ped Bike Factor			
Frt			
Flt Protected			
Satd. Flow (prot)			
Flt Permitted			
Satd. Flow (perm)			
Right Turn on Red			
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			
Link Speed (mph)			
Link Distance (ft)			
Travel Time (s)			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			
Peak Hour Factor			
Parking (#/hr)			
Adj. Flow (vph)			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)			
Lane Group Flow (vph)			
Enter Blocked Intersection			
Lane Alignment			
Median Width(ft)			
Link Offset(ft)			
Crosswalk Width(ft)			
Two way Left Turn Lane			
Headway Factor			
Turning Speed (mph)			
Turn Type			
Protected Phases	2	3	
Permitted Phases			
Minimum Split (s)	22.0	4.0	
Total Split (s)	22.0	4.0	
Total Split (%)	29%	5%	
Maximum Green (s)	18.0	2.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		5.0	
Total Lost Time (s)			
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Louu	2000	
Walk Time (s)	7.0		
	1.0		

Scenario 1 NOMA-SOMA Retiming 09/25/2020 NoMa Final SFMTA

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 619: Jones St. & Pine St.

SCENARIO 2 (PROPOSED) 2 THRU LANE + 1 LEFT TURN LANE

10/03/2022

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Flash Dont Walk (s)					9.0						12.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)					0						0	
Act Effct Green (s)				22.5	44.5						19.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio				0.30	0.59						0.26	
v/c Ratio				0.30	0.48						0.88	
Control Delay				16.6	21.8						45.1	
Queue Delay				0.0	0.0						0.0	
Total Delay				16.6	21.8						45.1	
LOS				В	С						D	
Approach Delay					20.9						45.1	
Approach LOS					С						D	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	CBD											
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75	5											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	d to phase 2:	Ped, Star	t of Gree	n								
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88												
Intersection Signal Delay:					tersectior							
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 53.0%			IC	CU Level	of Service	A					
Analysia Dariad (min) 15												

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 619: Jones St. & Pine St.

1 1 02 (R)	√ Ø1	● _{Ø3} ↓ _{Ø4}
22 s	26 s	4 s 23 s
←		
Ø6		
48 s		

Lane Group	Ø2	Ø3
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Intersection Summary		

TransBASE Internal Dashboard

5 YEAR COLLISION HISTORY

Geographic Extent: 25090000: PINE ST at JONES ST Spatial Intersect: SFMTA Intersection Related (<=20ft or <=150ft if Rear End) Data Range: 07/01/2017 to 06/30/2022 Pull Date: 8/11/2022

Collision/Party/Victim Table Showing 1 to 2 of 2 entries

Count of Fatal Collisions: 0 Count of Non-Fatal Injury Collisions: 2 Total Count of Fatal/Non-Fatal Injury Collisions: 2

Case ID	Collision Date	Collision Time	Day of Week	Primary Road	Secondary Road	Distance	Direction	Party 1 Type	Party 1 Direction of Travel	Party 1 Movement Preceeding Crash	Party 2 Type	Party 2 Direction of Travel	Party 2 Movement Preceeding Crash	Vehicle Code Violation	Highest Degree of Injury	Type of Collision	Motor Vehicle Involved With	Weather	Road Condition	Lighting
180653094 WB mo	08/28/2018	17:31 e sides	Tuesday SWipec		JONES ST Veh	0	Not Stated	Driver	West	Proceeding Straight	Driver	West	Proceeding Straight	CVC 21658(a)	lnjury (Other Visible)	Sideswipe	Other Motor Vehicle	Clear	No Unusual Condition/ Not Stated	Daylight
	01/15/2018		Monday nto Jo	PINE Nes s	jones st truck W	₀ /B ped	Not	Driver Jth X	_{West} Nalk	Making Left Turn	Pedestrian	West	Not Stated	CVC 21950(a)	Injury (Complaint of Pain)	Vehicle/ Pedestrian	Pedestrian	Clear	No Unusual Condition/ Not Stated	Dark - Street Lights

TransBASE Internal Dashboard

2016 COLLISION HISTORY

Geographic Extent: 25090000: JONES ST at PINE ST Spatial Intersect: SFMTA Intersection Related (<=20ft or <=150ft if Rear End) Data Range: 01/01/2016 to 07/01/2017 Pull Date: 10/7/2022

Collision/Party/Victim Table Showing 1 to 4 of 4 entries

Count of Fatal Collisions: 0 Count of Non-Fatal Injury Collisions: 4 Total Count of Fatal/Non-Fatal Injury Collisions: 4

	Case ID	Collision Date	Collision Time	Day of Week	Primary Road	Secondary Road	Distance	Direction	Party 1 Type	Party 1 Direction of Travel	Party 1 Movement Preceeding Crash	Party 2 Type	Party 2 Direction of Travel	Party 2 Movement Preceeding Crash	Vehicle Code Violation	Highest Degree of Injury	Type of Collision	Motor Vehicle Involved With	Weather	Road Condition	Lighting
1	160735941	09/10/2016	18:13	Saturday	PINE ST	JONES ST	0	Not Stated	Driver	West	Making Left Turn	Pedestrian	West	Proceeding Straight	CVC 21950(a)	lnjury (Other Visible)	Vehicle/ Pedestrian	Pedestrian	Cloudy	No Unusual Condition/ Not Stated	Daylight
2	160563479	07/12/2016	16:20	Tuesday	JONES ST	PINE ST	0	Not Stated	Driver	South	Making Left Turn	Pedestrian	East	Proceeding Straight	CVC 21950(a)	Injury (Complaint of Pain)	Vehicle/ Pedestrian	Pedestrian	Clear	No Unusual Condition/ Not Stated	Daylight
3	160431042	05/26/2016	15:03	Thursday	PINE ST	JONES ST	0	Not Stated	Driver	South	Making Left Turn	Driver	West	Proceeding Straight	CVC 22100(b)	Injury (Severe)	Broadside	Other Motor Vehicle	Clear	No Unusual Condition/ Not Stated	Daylight
4	160012783	01/05/2016	18:43	Tuesday	JONES ST	PINE ST	0	Not Stated	Driver	South	Making Left Turn	Pedestrian	West	Proceeding Straight	CVC 21950(a)	Injury (Complaint of Pain)	Vehicle/ Pedestrian	Pedestrian	Clear	No Unusual Condition/ Not Stated	Dark - Street Lights

- 1. WB veh making LT onto Jones struck WB ped in south crosswalk
- 2. WB veh making LT onto Jones struck ped in south crosswalk.
- 3. WB veh making LT onto Jones from #2 lane, cut off motorcycle in #1 lane
- 4. WB veh making LT onto Jones struck WB ped in south crosswalk

e,					, Е	XIS	STIN	IG										
Jones	and Pine	9	DES	CRIP	TION				etiming								AR,	
									aster, o ns, and				s, tran	sitions	s, oper	ation		
CHANC	ŝE·	18	NOT	FS		umea	, max	greer	15, and	101156	15. VV	3 3.0.			Page	e 1 of	2	
CNN #:		25090000		ASE	S	TRE	-т	Emer	. Flash	Prog	Flash	Cont	roller			70	۷	
ENGIN		D. Yeung		4		nes			R		-	Cabi		•		SF		
REVISION		7/5/2019	10	6		ine W			R	2.			Date:	De	ecemt		149	ب
Program	mmed by:		1	-			_					Syste				MA		on
												Mast			TBC-C		, ,	Jones
	d by: ۱۳	4:30								1.						/Hyde		a
Date Co	ompleted:	10-13-19								-		Case	cade:		-			and
								-					-					
					Actu	ation				Tran	sit Pr	iority			Pree	mptic	n	Pine
				-	•				·	•		Ţ			-		N.	e
				Ste	ady	Dem	and	Sec	luen	се								
X = Y	ES ·	= NO	S	M	Т	W	Т	F	S	CY	CLE	SP	LIT	OFF	SET	FL/	ASH .	
0	6:00 to	14:00		X	X	X	X	X			2		1		2	-	-	
1.	4:00 to	22:00		X	X	X	X	X			3		1		3	-	-	
AL	L OTHEF	RTIMES	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		1	•	1		1	-	-	
ST	REET	PHASE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
				1994									-					
Jones S	В	4		Conserved R	R	and the second second	-	0000	G	Y	R				-			
Pine WB	2	6	R		G	Y		. 16 (113)	R			1	-		-			
	3		K					and the	N	NULL REAL	-					12.3		
Peds Xin	g Jones SS	2P		4	FRH			F	RH	10-2-1-1				Street				
	9 001100 00		-	1		CTUDE D		Diens			-	0.000						
Peds Xin	g Pine WS	4P			RH	100000000		4	FRH	R	H	12020			-	1200		
	3		-	12.10														
Peds Xin	g Jones NS	6P		*	FRH	and the second second	-	F	RH			1.57.69		10-21-		10100		
	3	01		<u>a</u>		Conservation of the	-					15.45		100425			2	
Peds Xin	g Pine ES	8P		POINT INCOME	RH	And the same		4	FRH	R	Н	ENG V				18		
	3			07.535		1005720				Estimat		Contra de				12000		
				1835		STAUSAN								1000				
				1000		18,81								-3 K			-	
—				1 7.2 1			-									Contraction of the second		
-					5	1								101			-	
				-														
<u> </u>				1.2.3						100 MH								~
						304								1			vs3.0	C T
	CYCLE	OFFSET		1 10			SI	GNAI				econ	de)				v35.0	CHANGE
CSO	(seconds)	(seconds)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	N
111	75.0	38	-	the second second	11.0	OWNERS AND	1.5	The second days	12.0	Parcent 1	1.5	10		12	13	14	15	G H
212	75.0	40			11.0		1.5	-	12.0		1.5			Tion and		See 5		
313	75.0	36			11.0	_	1.5		12.0		1.5	Contraction of the second				States and		
	10.0		-7.0	20.0	11.0	4.0	1.5	0.0	12.0	4.0	1.5			201110		1		
<u> </u>							—	19/10/07		1281				CONTRACT!		100000		18
								The second second					1	1		12		

EXISTING

PAGE 2: BASE TIMING, ACTUATION, COORDINATION SETTINGS



18

PROPOSED

								-									
Jones and Pi	ne-DRAFT	DES	CRIP	TION	: Con	tract 3	36 Sig	nal Up	grade	Proje	ect. Ac	Ided r	new le	ft turn	Ph 1	and	
							for 4			ed FR	H, miı	n & m	ax gro	eens,	offset	s,	
	a				splits	s, and	l trans	itions.									
CHANGE:	19	ΤΟΝ	ES:											Page	e 1 of	2	
CNN #:	25090000	PH	ASE	5	STRE	ET	Eme	rFlash	Prog	Flash	Cont	troller	-		070		ے
ENGINEER:	N. Kobayashi		1	Pi	ne WI	BLT		R			Cabi	inet:			ISF		<u>0</u>
Revision date:	8/11/2022		4	J	ones	SB	1	R				Date:	П		ber 19	010	Jones
Programmed I			6		Pine V			R			1					543	
Installed by:			•	'	110 4			IX .			Syst				AMC		ar
installed by.											Mast	ter:			GPS to		and
														Busł	n/Hyde	1	
Date Completed	<u>. </u>										Netw	vork:					5
			[]Actu	ation				Tran	sit Pri	ority			70.00	mptio	~	
									-	51111	onty			Jeiee	mpuo	11	Pine-URAFI
					-	-	d Sec	<u> </u>						_			T
X = YES	= NO	<u> </u>	M	T	W	T	F	S	CY	CLE	SF	PLIT	OF	FSET	FL	ASH	
06:00	to 14:00					V				0	-	4	-	0			
			X	X	X	X	X			2	-	1		2			
14:00	to 22:00		X	X	X	X	X			3		1		3			
																	\square
ALL OTH	HER TIMES	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		1		1		1			
									1				-				1
STREET	PHAS	E 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	1
		_	- 1823 F	1	an co	1			sturnes)		123			2			1
Pine WBLT	1		Ŕ		15.2	ង	Ý	Stor.		ŧ	र	-313	Mir Se				
	······		-		Hill.		1.025		1		17253			8			
Jones SB	4					R	R. VINCAN				G	Y	R			ļ	
		-	12365				1.18	1	14.7		144.01					1	
Pine WB	6			G	21 23 2		Y				२		-				
		_	1.1.1		11023		2334						18.6			<u> </u>	
Peds Xing Jones	ss 2P	- *	FRH	11131	Thoracity		2.000	R	H		A CONTRACT					-	
Peds Xing Pine W	/s 4P				RH	1.49	10.24				FRH	F	RH	1			
										a	6425		10004				
Peds Xing Jones	NS 6P	26.53	1222	ř		FRH			Contraction of the	RH	7.376	249796 5	-2.5-13				
Peds Xing Pine E	s 8P	al and	Carlotter Carlotter		RH	3 2.00	enoneses e		AND DESIGN		FRH	F	RH	1			
			CTR NO		1877811				Training and	<u>n</u>			10000		Sances The Sances		1
		=	1.1		10.02								1 2650 12 No. 5		1999		
										_			100-5-2				
					TENES:						- Annes		The He	-	201000	1.	
		_					No.					-			Section 1	1	
		-	the second		CREEK.		14-216-30 E23070-308		AND STORE		TOP OF		EXANCTLY IS MICHT	1	10000		C C
CSO CYC			Arra: - 476		Line of the second second		SIGNA			18/0	acand		C EXAMPLE		S.C. A	<u>ws3.0</u>	Ā
(seco			2	3	4	5	6			LS (S	econa 10	<u> </u>	10	40	4.4	45	CHANG
111 75		7.0	11.0	4.0	4	_	4.0					11	12	13	14	15	G
212 75								1.5	4.0	5.5	12.0	4.0	1.5	<u> </u>	5.80%	 	Π
		7.0	11.0	4.0	11.5	9.0	4.0	1.5	4.0	5.5	12.0	4.0	1.5	<u> </u>	253	 	
313 75	.0 40	7.0	11.0	4.0	12.5	9.0	4.0	1.5	4.0	4.5	12.0	4.0	1.5				
				L		L	1240						Sales.		19 A		-
			1500		制度				运济加增		C. W. S.		6495		122120	1	19

PROPOSED PAGE 2: BASE TIMING, ACTUATION, COORDINATION SETTINGS



Special Comments

startup all-red = 6 seconds





CEQA Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address		Block/Lot(s)	
SFMTA Contract 36 – T	raffic Signal Modifications		
Case No.		Permit No.	
2021-007075ENV			
Addition/ Alteration	Demolition (requires HRE for Category B Building)	New Construction	
Project description for	Planning Department approval		

Project description for Planning Department approval.

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) proposes to modify and upgrade existing traffic signals at 14 intersections throughout the city (see memo for details).

The scope of work would include upgrades to existing traffic signal components (mast arms, signal heads, controllers, conduit, wiring, and signal poles), pedestrian countdown signals, and accessible (audible) pedestrian signals. Curb ramps would be upgraded, installed, or replaced at all intersections. Certain intersections would receive full curb ramp upgrades at all corners of the intersection, while curb ramps would be added to just one or two corners of other intersections where a new mast arm would be placed. Curb bulbouts would be constructed at the intersections of 9th Street at Bryant Street and 10th Street at Bryant Street.

The maximum depth of excavation would be thirteen (13) feet for pole foundations, eighteen (18) inches for the pull boxes, sixteen (16) inches for the cabinet foundation, and twenty-four (24) inches for the underground conduits.

The proposed work would be carried out by a licensed contractor managed by San Francisco Public Works with funding/oversight from SFMTA. SEE ATTACHED FOR FULL DESCRIPTION.

STEP 1: EXEMPTION TYPE

The p	The project has been determined to be exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).							
	Class 1 - Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.							
	Class 3 - New Construction. Up to three new single-family residences or six dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.							
	 Class 32 - In-Fill Development. New Construction of seven or more units or additions greater than 10,000 sq. ft. and meets the conditions described below: (a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations. (b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than 5 acres substantially surrounded by urban uses. (c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered rare or threatened species. (d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality. (e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. 							
	Other							
	Common Sense Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)(3)). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility of a significant effect on the environment.							

STEP 2: ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g. use of diesel construction equipment, backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (<i>refer to the Environmental</i>
	Hazardous Materials: Maher or Cortese Is the project site located within the Maher area or on a site containing potential subsurface soil or groundwater contamination and would it involve ground disturbance of at least 50 cubic yards or a change of use from an industrial use to a residential or institutional use? Is the project site located on a Cortese site or would the project involve work on a site with an existing or former gas station, parking lot, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing use, or a site with current or former underground storage tanks? <i>if Maher box is checked, note below whether the applicant has enrolled in or received a waiver from the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, or if Environmental Planning staff has determined that hazardous material effects would be less than significant.</i> Note that a categorical exemption shall not be issued for a project located on the Cortese List
	Transportation : Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities? Would the project involve the intensification of or a substantial increase in vehicle trips at the project site or elsewhere in the region due to autonomous vehicle or for-hire vehicle fleet maintenance, operations or
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeology review is required.
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (<i>refer to the Environmental Information tab on</i> <i>https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/</i>) If box is checked, Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
	Average Slope of Parcel = or > 25%, or site is in Edgehill Slope Protection Area or Northwest Mt. Sutro Slope Protection Area: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, or (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area? (<i>refer to the Environmental Information tab on https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/</i>) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is likely required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
	Seismic Hazard: Landslide or Liquefaction Hazard Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area, or (4) grading performed at a site in the landslide hazard zone? (refer to the Environmental Information tab on https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
Com	ments and Planner Signature (<i>optional</i>):
Worl and	project's potential to adversely affect archeological resources may be avoided by implementation of Public ks Standard Archeological Measure II (Monitoring) at four intersections (3 rd and Carroll, 10 th and Bryant, 9 th Bryant, Turk and Stanyan). The remaining 14 intersections under the scope of this project would require ementation of Standard Archeological Measure I (Discovery during Construction).
	er applicable Public Works Standard Construction Measures include 2: Air Quality (Construction Dust ControlOrdinance), raffic (SFMTA's Blue Book), and 5: Noise (local noise ordinances)

STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map)				
	Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.			
	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.			

STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Check	heck all that apply to the project.						
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.						
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.						
	3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations.						
	4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.						
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.						
	 Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 						
	7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning</i> Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows.						
	8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right -of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.						
Note:	Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.						
	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.						
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.						
	Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.						
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.						

STEP 5: ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW

TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER

Chec	Check all that apply to the project.				
	1. Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I)				
	Reclassify to Category A Reclassify to Category C				
	a. Per HRER	(No further historic review)			
	b. Other <i>(specify)</i> :				
	 Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 				
	 Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces that do not remove, alter, or obscure character defining features. 				
	4. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in -kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.				
	5. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.				

	 Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character -defining features. 			
	7. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.			
	8. Work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (Analysis required):			
	9. Work compatible with a historic district (Analysis required):			
	10. Work that would not materially impair a historic resource (Attach HRER Part II).			
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST sign below.			
	Project can proceed with exemption review . The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.			
	ents (<i>optional</i>):			
Preser	Preservation Planner Signature:			

STEP 6: EXEMPTION DETERMINATION TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Project Approval Action:	Signature:			
City Traffic Engineer Directive	Lauren Bihl			
	08/24/2021			
Supporting documents are available for review on the San Francisco Property Information Map, which can be accessed at https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/. Individual files can be viewed by clicking on the Planning Applications link, clicking the "More Details" link under the project's environmental record number (ENV) and then clicking on the "Related Documents" link. Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code. In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the approval action.				

STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional

MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Modified Project Description:

DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

Com	Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:				
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;				
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;				
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?				
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?				
If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required					
DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION					
	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.				

If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can

Planner Name:	Date:



Contract 36 – Traffic Signal Modifications

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) proposes to modify and upgrade existing traffic signals at various locations throughout the city, as listed in Table 1 below.

	Table 1 – Contract 36 Traffic Signal Modifications					
#	Street 1	Street 2	Excavation Depth	Improvement Description	Historic Districts	
1	3rd Street	Carroll Ave	13 feet	Replace mast arm pole	No designated Historic Districts	
2	4th Street	Howard St	18 inches	Replace pull boxes	No designated Historic Districts	
3	7th Avenue	Kirkham	13 feet	Full curb ramp upgrade	No designated Historic Districts	
4	9th Street	Brannan	13 feet	Replace mast arm pole	No designated Historic Districts	
5	9th Street	Bryant Street	13 feet	Install bulbout and mast arm	No designated Historic Districts	
6	10th Street	Bryant Street	13 feet	Install bulbout and lane assignment signs, open closed crosswalk across on- ramp	No designated Historic Districts	
7	17th Street	Folsom Street	13 feet	Full curb ramp upgrade	No designated Historic Districts	
8	20th Street	Dolores	13 feet	Full curb ramp upgrade	Located within the Inner Mission North Boulevards and Alleys Reconstruction Historic District	
9	Bush	Taylor	13 feet	Install mast arm	Located within the Lower Nob Hill Apartment Hotel Historic District ¹	
10	California	Presidio	13 feet	Full curb ramp upgrade	No designated Historic Districts	
11	Essex	Harrison	13 feet	Install mast arm	No designated Historic Districts	

Table 1 – Contract 36 Traffic Signal Modifications

¹ The fire call box at the northeast corner of Bush and Taylor streets will remain in its existing location.

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

SFMTA.com

🖪 311 Free language assistance / 免費語言協助 / Ayuda gratis con el idioma / Бесплатная помощь переводчиков / Trợ giúp Thông dịch Miễn Phí / Assistance linguistique gratuite / 無料の言語支援 / Libreng tulong para sa wikang Filipino / 무료 언어 지원 / การช่วยเหลือทางด้านภาษาโดยไม่เสียค่าใช้จ่าย / حط المساعدة المجاني على الرقم / Libreng tulong para sa wikang Filipino / 무료 언어 지원 / การช่วยเหลือทางด้านภาษาโดยไม่เสียค่าใช้จ่าย



12	Jones	Pine	13 feet	Install mast arm	No designated Historic Districts
13	Pine	Taylor	13 feet	Install mast arm	No designated Historic Districts
14	Stanyan	Turk	13 feet	Full curb ramp upgrade	No designated Historic Districts

The scope of work would include upgrades to existing traffic signal components (mast arms, signal heads, controllers, conduit, wiring, and signal poles), pedestrian countdown signals, and accessible (audible) pedestrian signals.

Curb ramps would be upgraded, installed, or replaced at all intersections. As noted in Table 1, certain intersections would receive full curb ramp upgrades at all corners of the intersection, while curb ramps would be added to just one or two corners of other intersections where a new mast arm would be placed.

Curb bulbouts would be constructed at the intersections of 9th Street at Bryant Street and 10th Street at Bryant Street. One existing on-street parking space would be removed for the bulbout proposed on 9th Street at Bryant Street. Curb bulbouts would otherwise not require the removal of any existing on-street parking or loading spaces. The curb bulbout at 9th Street at Bryant Street would be six feet wide and would extend 30 feet east and 24 feet west from 9th Street. The curb bulbout at 10th Street and Bryant Street would be 23 feet wide and would extend 56 feet south from Bryant Street. Curb bulbouts at both locations would require the relocation of one catch basin with a maximum excavation depth of twelve (12) feet.

For traffic signals, the maximum depth of excavation would be thirteen (13) feet for pole foundations, eighteen (18) inches for the pull boxes, sixteen (16) inches for the cabinet foundation, and twenty-four (24) inches for the underground conduits.

The proposed work would be carried out by a licensed contractor managed by San Francisco Public Works with funding/oversight from SFMTA. The contractor would be required to comply with the Standard Environmental Procedures 01-35-49, 01-35-50, and 01-35-51. This would include the procedure to distribute the San Francisco Planning Department archeological resource "ALERT" notice to all prime and sub-contractors involved in excavation.

Approval Action:

City Traffic Engineer Directive Municipal Transportation Agency Board