



Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Committee (MBTIFAC)

Bruce Agid – Chair
Sarah Bertram – Vice Chair
Bernadette Jimenez
Yoyo Murphy

Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Committee Meeting

Thursday, September 25th, 2025 @ 10am-12pm
Conference Room 107 – 490 Illinois St, San Francisco, CA 94158
Minutes - **DRAFT**

Advisory Committee Seats
Seat 1 (Golden State Warriors) Yoyo Murphy, Voting member Vacant, Alternate
Seat 2 (UCSF) Bernadette Jimenez, Voting member Erik Zandhuis, Alternate
Seat 3 (Neighborhood resident) Bruce Agid, Voting member Bruce Huie, Alternate
Seat 4 (Neighborhood business owner) Vacant, Voting member Terezia Nemeth, Alternate
Seat 5 (Neighborhood resident) Sarah Bertram, Voting member Sarah Davis, Alternate

1. Call to order/roll call - Bruce Agid, Chairperson/Jillian Johnson, SFMTA Liaison
 - a. Called to order at 10:00AM
 - b. Voting members in attendance: Yoyo Murphy, Erik Zandhuis, Bruce Agid, Sarah Bertram
 - c. Absent: Terezia Nemeth

11. Advisory Committee Comments and Future Agenda Items
 - a. Bruce Agid called Item 10 out of order to provide comment on the work of the City’s Commission Streamlining Task Force, noting that the task force recommended elimination of the MBTIF Advisory Committee (AC) based on information from SFMTA Staff that there was no funding in the TIF, but that it was not a final decision and would require concurrence from the Board of Supervisors.
 - b. Yoyo Murphy emphasized Chase Center’s commitment to continuing to coordinate on operations with local stakeholders.
 - c. Sarah Bertram agreed on the need for continued coordination with Chase Center.

- d. Erik Zandhuis discussed the importance of continued collaboration on traffic measures around Chase Center events, and questioned what would happen with the Fund and what body would oversee local coordination if it was not under committee purview.
- e. Advisory Committee members acknowledged that the legislation clearly states that the Fund will remain in effect for as long as events are held at the Chase Center, and that the Advisory Committee will remain in effect for as long as the fund remains in effect.

Public comment: None

2. Approve Minutes April 24th, 2025 (For Discussion and Possible Action)

- a. A motion to approve was made by Sarah Bertram and seconded by Eric Zandhuis.
- b. Public Comment: None
 - a. Ayes – Murphy, Zandhuis, Agid, Bertram
- c. Motion approved

3. Old Business (Discussion Item)

- a. Bruce Agid requested updates from the SFMTA liaison Jillian Johnson.
- b. Bruce Agid and Sarah Bertram commented that several of the issues were long outstanding and dated across several committee liaisons specifically the funding/legislative update from the Controller's office.
- c. Bruce Agid asked DPW to work with DPH on the issue of illegal hotdog vending and report back.
- d. Bruce Agid and Sarah Bertram requested updates from Recreation & Parks and the Port on the need for augmented grounds maintenance services related to Chase Center events and asked DPW to coordinate with these other departments on funding mechanisms to support their work.
- e. Bruce Agid mentioned that he and Sarah Bertram continue to work on a letter to SFMTA regarding having a consistent MTAP presence at Chase Center events.
- f. Bruce Agid asked the Committee Liaison to contact the Mayor's Appointments Director and offer the help of Advisory Committee in filling Seat 4.

4. Departments present FY 2024-25 Annual Budget Report (For Discussion)

- a. SFMTA
 - a. Bruce Agid asked if the budget vs actuals variance is due to fewer events being held than expected or smaller costs per event.
 - b. Sarah Bertram and Bruce Agid asked for budget information by event type. As previously requested, the budget per event type should be included on the actuals slide.
 - c. Bruce Agid asked for more information about the capital budget.
 - d. Bruce Agid asked for details on a small event that required additional service deployment beyond planned levels.
 - e. Sarah Bertram asked about the variance in traffic control and enforcement deployment from planned to actual.

- f. Sarah Bertram and Bruce Agid asked for more information about historical debt service payments and capital outlay costs in preparing for the Chase Center.
 - g. Erik Zandhuis noted that UCSF needs to better understand how the SFMTA is staffing events to adequately plan their own UCSF event staffing
 - h. Bruce Agid noted that all costs associated with augmented services provided to support Chase Center events be captured in the actuals. This was in relation to additional LRV service added which might not have been captured.
 - i. Public comment: None
- b. SFDPW
- a. Sarah Bertram noted that similarity in cost between street & sidewalk cleaning for large events and addressing illegal street vending incidents.
 - b. Bruce Agid noted the variance between planned and actual costs and suggested DPW may need to update their forecasting model (as this has been consistent over several budget cycles), and suggested the savings in DPW could be redirected to SFMTA to cover budget deficits. .
 - c. Bruce Agid noted that in previous letter to Mayor’s Budget Office there was a recommendation to move the DPW department savings (\$700,000) to partially cover SFMTA’s budget deficit, and would like to again make such a recommendation.
 - d. Public comment:
 - i. Madison Tam of Supervisor Matt Dorsey’s office expressed an interest in how a recent state bill on street vending was being implemented and how it affected illegal street vending at Chase.
- c. SFPD
- a. Bruce Agid requested clarity regarding the stated budget in the slides for FY2025-26, and asked how it compared to the previously reported budget, as no new funding was added as submitted in the budget request to the Mayor’s Budget Office in the current budget cycle.
 - b. Sarah Bertram asked each department how much had been allocated to them through the Transportation Improvement Fund.
 - c. Bruce Agid asked for SFPD to include budget amounts in future presentations to clearly state the variance.
 - d. Bruce Agid and Sarah Betram asked for clarity on the definitions of Part 1 violent and nonviolent crimes for incidents metrics.
 - e. Public comment: None
5. Review FY 2025-26 expenditure/revenue projection (*For Discussion*)
- a. Bruce Agid noted the limited time remaining in the meeting and indicated SFPD & SFDPW had already discussed their FY2025-26 budget & YTD actuals. Bruce noted SFMTA had not but should do so at the October meeting.
 - b. Yoyo Murphy noted that while the TIF provides a vehicle for funding services related to Chase Center events, that there is no directive to put money in the Fund.

- c. Zandhuis recalls the committee drafting a letter to Mayor's Office and asking where the funds went.
 - d. Advisory Committee members largely expressed confusion/concern as to how the Fund could be zeroed out in FY2025-26 without an impact to service delivery in the area and agreed on a desire to have the Mayor's Office or the Controller's Office come to a future meeting to discuss the matter. If there is either none or insufficient dollars in the TIF, how are augmented services being covered?
 - e. Sarah Bertram is requesting the Controller's Office report on how much the City is benefiting from Chase and how those funds can be distributed to the TIF
6. FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 initial budget plan per department (For Discussion)
 - a. Bruce Agid requested that Departments work with Chase Center to understand event levels to draft initial budget plan and be prepared to present their budget requests at the October meeting.
 - b. Public Comment: None
7. Chase Center Presentation – Fehr & Peers (For discussion and possible action)
 - a. Bruce Agid continued this item to next month.
8. Update the work plan (For Discussion and Possible Action)
 - a. Bruce Agid requested the Controller present on what is happening in the TIF, and how the expenditures are being funded today given there is no funding available to provide those services. Scheduled for October 23, 2025 meeting. Along with clarity from City Attorney's Office on an update on legislation regarding 5 year funding (from old business).
 - b. Bruce Agid requested Departments report on metrics quarterly scheduled for October 2025, January 2026.
 - c. Bruce Agid requests DPW work with Recreation and Parks and the Port to report on street & park cleaning from old business scheduled for November 2025.
 - d. Sarah Betram requested the following adjustments to the work plan:
 - a. Move the Recreation & Parks and Port matter to November
 - b. Move the Chase Center (Fehr & Peers) presentation to November
 - c. For the next meeting prioritize hearing from City Attorney or Controller on the budgeting process for the TIF and hearing from the City Departments on their 2-year budgets
 - d. Move the Department report out on metrics, DPH on vending, and Advisory Committee MTAP letter to January
 - e. Bruce noted in April 2026 a need to correct the year on Committee Chair and Vice-Chair elections
 - f. Bruce noted that Departments should present their initial budget requests for FY2026-27 in October and then finalize their TIF request in November.
 - g. Erik Zandhuis asked how service levels will change with the reduction of the Fund.
 - h. Public Comment: None
 - i. A motion to approve was made by Eric Zandhuis and seconded by Sarah Bertram.

- a. Ayes – Murphy, Zandhuis, Agid, Bertram
 - b. Motion passed.

- 9. Public Comments
 - a. No Public Comment

- 10. Advisory Committee Comments and Future Agenda Items
 - a. Bruce Agid reopened item 10 on Advisory Committee Comments and Future Agenda Items.
 - b. Sarah Bertram is interested in more information on the process of managing the Fund moving forward.
 - c. Erik Zandhius wants to make sure that the service levels will continue. Looking for clarity on how services are continuing without funding.
 - d. Bruce Agid expressed concern about how funding can be reduced in the TIF without affecting service levels.

- 11. Adjourn
 - a. Meeting adjourned at 11:57