



Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Council (MBTIF AC) ÷

Bruce Agid – Chair ÷
Sarah Bertram – Vice Chair÷
Bernadette Jimenez ÷
Yoyo Murphy
Derrick Tyler÷

÷

÷

Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund Advisory Council Meeting

Thursday, July 23, 2026 @ 10 a.m.-12 p.m.

Mission Hall Building Room #2107

550 16th Street

Minutes - **DRAFT**

÷

Advisory Committee Seats
Seat 1 (Chase Center) Yoyo Murphy, Voting member Vanessa Gonzalez, Alternate
Seat 2 (UCSF) Bernadette Jimenez, Voting member Vicki Sundstrom, Alternate
Seat 3 (Neighborhood resident) Bruce Agid, Voting member Bruce Huie, Alternate
Seat 4 (Neighborhood business owner) Derrick Tyler, Voting member John Peck, Alternate
Seat 5 (Neighborhood resident) Sarah Bertram, Voting member Sarah Davis, Alternate

1. Call to order/roll call - Bruce Agid, Chairperson/Erin Swick, Advisory Council Liaison

- Called to order at 10:02 a.m.
- Voting members in attendance: Santi Manchanda (alternate for Yoyo Murphy), Bruce Agid, Sarah Bertram, Derrick Tyler
- Absent: Bernadette Jimenez

2. Approve Minutes: May 14, 2026

- Sarah Bertram moved to approve; Santi Manchanda seconded
- Public Comment: None
- Approved. 4-0 Motion passed.

3. Update on Commission Streamlining Task Force Actions

- Erin Swick, Advisory Council Liaison presented
 - Highlights from the Task Force reports:
 - Change to term limits
 - Changing the name from “Committee” to “Council”
 - Changing the sunset date of this council to June 2031
- Discussion: Actual ordinance was shown on screen, and it was noted by both Bruce Agid and Erin Swick that there appeared to be very little changes to the actual ordinance.
 - Discussion about standardizing term limits across all committees/councils; Madison Tam confirmed the language is standardized.
 - Erin Swick shared that any questions about these changes are due July 31, 2026.
 - Bruce Agid asked about how the 12-year term limit applies: is it a total of 12 years for either primary member and alternate or is it a 12-year limit for each? Erin Swick said that the answer would likely come from the City Attorney’s office. Bruce Agid requested clarity for this. He is in his 3rd 3-year term and Sarah Bertram started as an alternate in 2019 and is now the primary member.
 - Derrick Tyler asked if there was a logic for the sunset date of 2031, and Erin Swick stated that she cannot answer with certainty, but that she assumes that the date came out of the effort to standardize across committees/councils. Also asked if there is a process in place to determine extensions of a sunset date. Erin Swick highlighted in the ordinance that any extension would come from the Board of Supervisors.
 - Bruce pointed out that this council is supposed to last as long as there continues to be events held at the Chase Center, based on the original creation of the MBTIF and the MBTIF advisory council.
 - Erin Swick stated she would share these questions with the City Attorney’s office.
- Public Comment: Bettina Cohen appreciated Derrick Tyler’s questions re: ordinance.

4. Departments present FY 2025-26 Year End Budget Report

- Diana Hammons presented for SFMTA
 - Bruce Agid pointed out that the averages do not actually reflect averages; Diana Hammons stated that she would go back and verify this. Bruce Agid asked that the numbers be reconciled between what has been presented in the slides and what was provided in the letter to the Mayor’s Office. Slides are to be updated and presented at the next meeting as “old business”.
- Laurice Gonzales presented for SFPW
 - Upon looking at the budget amounts presented, Bruce Agid asked if there was a reason for the variances between event size work, i.e., \$2,470 for small and medium events versus \$3,298 for a large event. It appears the cost per event is the same across all three event sizes: small, medium and large. This needs to be rationalized. In addition, these expenditures all exceed future budgeted amounts. This difference between planned and actual amounts needs some clarification. Laurice Gonzales points out that the differences can come from the amount of effort that ends up being needed for the handling of events. It was also pointed out that the difference between planned work in 2026 compared to prior years is that SFPW have reduced their model for the number of

hours that they believe works for the level of effort. SFPW continues to fine-tune their budget forecasting numbers for the council. Laurice Gonzales to speak a little bit more in upcoming an item, stating that actual numbers could change if SFPW needs to also clean up based on illegal vending.

- Derrick Tyler asked if anyone is looking at a baseline of service per event type. The idea is that there is a certain number of staffing needed based on the type of event. SFPW stated that a supervisor is dispatched so as to assess the situation before any crew is dispatched; deploying is thus proportionate to what the situation is on the streets. Derrick Tyler suggested that there could be more communication between SFPW and Chase Center so as to find ways to tighten these numbers and perhaps create a baseline.
- Bruce Agid suggested that there be numbers for any amount of pre-cleanup and post event clean up so these resources can be rationalized. Sarah Bertram observes that the budget seems to have come under because illegal vending costs are less than expected, more than off-setting greater than expected street and sidewalk cleaning costs.
- Lieutenant Do shared that there is a relationship between SFPD, SFPW and the Public Health Department.
- Derrick Tyler raised questions about overtime expenses. SFPW states that they try to staff the efforts without overtime, to the best of their ability, especially considering that SFPW staff are also still working on cleaning tasks elsewhere in the city outside of Chase Center events.
- Lieutenant Do for SFPD to present.
 - Bruce Agid shared his appreciation for the way in which SFPD broke out the elements of their budgeting, showing what was budgeted and actuals from the MB TIF and what was needed from the department budget to cover expenses that could have been used to provide police services in other parts of the City. This highlights that the SFPD is taking funds their department budget for Chase Center events which takes away funds that could be used for services for the rest of the city, underscoring the necessity for funding of the MBTIF.
 - Derrick Tyler asked if the coverage for events is deterrence driven or incident driven. Lieutenant Do stated that it is a combination of both and is based on a security plan that the department is comfortable with.
- Public Comment
 - Bettina Cohen asked about the area that is covered by SFPW. Bruce Agid stated that the information is shared on the presentation slide under the metric section. Laurice Gonzales also shared that there is a 4-hour window after an event for cleanup.

5. Department Reports on Metrics

- Erin Swick reported for SFMTA
 - Erin Swick presented a couple of metrics:
 - Feedback from actual riders—too low of a number to offer any real conclusion
 - Transit ridership based on category of event—this shows the ridership numbers based on three types of events: special events and concerts, Warriors games, and Valkyries games. Ridership increased as the sports seasons went on. This includes ridership for the 22 Fillmore, 78X and the T Third.

- Additional ideas solicited in how SFMTA can change these metrics and answer any additional questions.
- Bruce Agid asked about providing information regarding weekday events, auto mode sharing, vehicle queuing, pedestrian flows, kind of a survey of these things. Erin Swick pointed out that some of the information already exists and can be shared with Chase Center. These are metrics required by the 2015 TMP. These are reported in the Fehr and Peers Annual Analysis. Bruce Agid also asked if the Chase Center was receiving any complaints about events or transportation through their hotline and if that can be summed up with the data that SFMTA has.
- In response to a question posed by Sarah Bertram, Erin Swick said that some of the ridership data can be a little messy, but that the information is compiled after an event rather than before because numbers could be skewed by people who were already on the train/bus, whereas at the end of an event is a safer assumption that riders are those who attended the event.
- Derrick Tyler asked about how data is collected in regard to how people are travelling to events. This would require surveying, and this is not something the SFMTA does. What about walking or ferry passengers?
- Laurice Gonzales presented for SFPW
 - Laurice presented a slide showing the service area. The metric used shows how many service orders come in via 311 calls and internal reporting. It is also pointed out that the department is carefully tracking the amount of work that they do. For example, a response to a call is performed, but then the employee also takes care of another incident that may be close by.
 - Bruce Agid asked how this tracking compares to post-event cleaning. Laurice Gonzales states that the post-cleanup is based on what a supervisor sees as needed. This is how staffing is determined. Bruce Agid asked for further clarification in the numbers presented. SFPW stated that they have changed their methodology for collecting data, stating that the increase in service orders is based on this new methodology for tracking work. Bruce Agid asked that additional documentation be provided to assist in trending purposes to ensure similar methodologies are being used and resources right-sized.
- Lieutenant Do presented for the SFPD
 - SFPD presented numbers of incident reports between January and June 2026. The categories are property crimes, violent crimes, general crimes (not part of the other two categories). Also presented was a comparison between fiscal year 2025 and fiscal year 2026.
 - Bruce Agid asked if in the future the category statistics can be presented by month. This would assist to provide clarity of how many and types of events per month and associated police activity.
- Public Comment
 - Bettina Cohen stated that the number of tickets being created is consistent with what she believed the volunteer cleanup crews is seeing in general around Mission Bay. She also asked if it would be possible for Chase to use their large screen to remind/ask people to clean up after themselves, like a public service announcement.
- Bruce Agid indicated that meeting will not be able to get through the remaining agenda items.

It was agreed unanimously by the AC members to move Items 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 to the September 2026 meeting.

Item 11: SFMTA Report on PCO Deployment

- Department Chief of Parking and Enforcement Scott Edwards introduced himself and Captain Shanika Bell of the same department presented.
 - Chief Edwards first shared with the council that the reason why they do not publicly release the deployment maps is due to safety concerns; if bad actors knew where parking enforcement officers were deployed, they would know where they could potentially cause serious problems. Such plans are protected by federal law. The information is also not shared even if there is a Sunshine request for public records. The department also works closely with the SFPD.
 - Sarah Bertram was the council member who requested this as part of the agenda, and she accepted and appreciated the clarification. Sarah shared the map for PCO coverage that the Advisory Committee was given before Chase Center opened, showing coverage at a large number of intersections in Mission Bay. Captain Edwards acknowledged that this map no longer reflects the coverage that is actually being provided, which covers significantly fewer intersections.
 - Captain Bell then presented information about safety concerns at 4th and King streets and 4th and Channel streets. Modifications have been made based on observations, and the feeling is that the areas are safer since there has been an increase in compliance.
 - Sarah Bertram asked why there was no staffing at 4th and Channel for Chase Center events, especially since there was an accident nearby. Chief Edwards said that there was staffing in general but that there could be more, and it is something that the department could look at. He also said that 4th and Channel would require 6 PCOs if it was to have coverage, and they would need to be coming from another intersection. Unfortunately, staffing for weekend events means that PCOs are working overtime and there may not be enough staffing. Events on weekdays are slightly better, but after 9 p.m. there might be 12 officers on regular time. Sarah Bertram asked if the staffing number is adequate. Captain Bell pointed out that the accident was more to do with a driver doing something wrong and not necessarily because of staffing since there was traffic control in place at the location of the accident. There was not staffing at this intersection at the time of the event.
 - Derrick Tyler asked if there is an opportunity to descale in order to be more strategic for areas/intersections. Chief Edwards answered that it is a great idea but that it comes down to legal authority between parking control officer and police officers. Lines between the two types of officers are very clear in terms of who can engage in general traffic control. The police department can do everything the parking officers do, but parking officers cannot do everything police officers can do.
- Public Comment:
 - Bettina Cohen observed that different types of crowds result in different types of public behavior. Can staffing reflect that difference?

12. General Public Comment: none

13. Advisory Council Comments and Future Agenda Items

- Items 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 will be moved to the September 2026 meeting.

14. Adjournment

- Motion to adjourn: Santi Manchanda 1st motion, Sarah Bertram 2nd.
- Adjourn at 12:03 p.m.